



Ensuring Vaccine Safety: The Role of Pharmacists in Post-Immunization Follow-Up

Khairiah Ahmed Habeb Bokhari¹, Ahmed Hamied D Almutairi², Ali Mahdi Ali Khalil³, Ahmed Daheer M Alonazi⁴, Mahdi Ali Hussain Althuwaini⁵, Faisal Sager Bakeet Almotiri⁶ and Zainab Ahmed Hussain Tuhaifah⁷

¹ Corresponding Author, Pharmacist, kbokhary@moh.gov.sa, Ministry of Health - General Administration of Secret Visitors

² Pharmacy Technician, ahhalmotiri@moh.gov.sa, King Khalid Hospital, Al Kharj

³ Pharmacist, Alimk@moh.gov.sa, King Khaled Hospital, Al kharj

⁴ Pharmacy Technician, adalonazi@moh.gov.sa, King Khalid Hospital and Prince Sultan Medical Complex In Al Kharj

⁵ Pharmacist, maalthuwaini@moh.gov.sa, King Khalid Hospital in Al Kharj

⁶ Pharmacist Assistant, fasalmutiri@moh.gov.sa, King Khalid Hospital in Al Kharj

⁷ Pharmacy Assistant, Ztuhaifah@moh.gov.sa, Hawtah Bani Tamim General Hospital

Abstract

Immunization against infectious diseases has made invaluable contribution to global public health, preventing an estimated 2–3 million deaths each year. However, like any medical product, vaccines can be associated with potential adverse reactions following immunization, known as AEFI. Pharmacists around the world are increasingly involved with immunization services and have the potential to play an integral role in safeguarding vaccine safety through post-immunization follow-up. Pharmacy-based follow-up services not only improve vaccine safety surveillance but also promote vaccine confidence and adherence to immunization programs. The pharmacist's role in safeguarding vaccine safety extends beyond the administration of a vaccine by educating patients and documenting immunization information following the provision of vaccine services (Pattison Rathbone et al., 2022) (Hassan Wada et al., 2021).

Vaccine safety programs are the foundation on which immunization programs are built, designed to reduce harm resulting from adverse reactions. The effectiveness of immunization can be undermined by vaccine safety concerns, which are among the common reasons for vaccine hesitancy and refusal. In the early days of a vaccination program, the widespread reporting of adverse events on the Continent of Africa following Ebola vaccinations offered during the 2018–2020 outbreak led to general fear and community rejection of the vaccines. Following the introduction of the COVID-19 vaccination program in 2021, vaccine safety



concerns early on were common following serious and fatal adverse events associated with particular vaccines, resulting in the abandonment of certain vaccines by many countries globally. In both instances, timely and transparent communication of vaccine safety surveillance information restored vaccine confidence and uptake. The pharmacist around the world is in a unique position not only to educate vaccine recipients about the ongoing safety surveillance activities but to actively monitor the patient post-immunization.

Keywords- vaccine safety · pharmacists · post-immunization follow-up · adverse reactions · patient education

1. Introduction to Vaccine Safety

Ensuring the safety of vaccines, a critical public health milestone, is an ongoing process extending well beyond successful laboratory development of vaccine candidates. Even vaccines licensed and recommended by immunization bodies require systematic post-immunization follow-up to identify, assess, communicate, and minimize risks. Both vaccine providers and recipients remain essential partners in these efforts.

When a vaccine is prescribed or recommended, a pharmacist's role as a provider of impartial, evidence-based, contextualized information, in addition to serving as an administrator of the vaccine, remains essential. In many practice settings, pharmacists conducting the initial health assessment and administering vaccines have direct, personal contact with the patients who receive them. Within that role, they are well positioned to provide that crucial follow-through with safety-related enquiries.

2. The Importance of Post-Immunization Follow-Up

Systematic post-immunization follow-up has been described as the most important mechanism for capturing otherwise unreported adverse events. Such procedures serve a dual public health purpose by enhancing the detection of post-vaccination morbidity, thereby supporting timely interventions to address safety signals, and by offering reassurance to vaccine recipients whose confidence may be unsettled by common local reactions known to occur in a significant minority of cases. In the absence of structured follow-up, minor adverse events often remain unrecognized by healthcare services because affected individuals self-manage these symptoms without seeking further consultation. Given the integral role demand for immunization services continues to play in the broader health economy, augmented confidence in post-vaccination recovery processes is pivotal to ensuring that this public health protective measure retains the trust necessary for effective uptake (Hassan Wada et al., 2021).



3. Pharmacists' Role in Immunization

Pharmacists are medication experts who play vital roles in vaccine safety, including monitoring safety and providing follow-up care. Depending on the country, pharmacy technicians may support this role as well (Pattison Rathbone et al., 2022) (Hassan Wada et al., 2021).

All pharmacists, even without immunisation training, can assist patients with post-immunisation needs such as managing allergic reactions through the provision of adrenaline autoinjectors. Pharmacy educational organizations worldwide offer vaccination training and certification and have implemented courses focused on vaccine safety in recent years.

Licensed immunisers have a comprehensive role that extends beyond administering vaccines to include vaccine safety monitoring and post-immunisation follow-up procedures. Pharmacists' broad scope, expertise in immunisation, and accessibility to the public position them uniquely to provide such support.

3.1. Training and Certification of Pharmacists

The role of pharmacists in vaccine safety has evolved considerably, particularly in relation to post-immunization follow-up. Understanding the appropriate training and certification required underscores the competence necessary for this expanded responsibility. In Canada, the medical use of vaccines by pharmacists is regulated provincially, with variations in vaccine types permitted and immunization methods. For example, Québec, Ontario, and New Brunswick authorize additional biotechnological and mRNA vaccines, whereas Alberta and Nova Scotia restrict administration to standard categories. Across Canada, both injection and intranasal methods are allowed for immunization.

The integration of vaccination training into university curricula, typically during the third or fourth year as a compulsory component, represents the foundational step towards ensuring pharmacists are well-prepared. Supplementary professional development courses can further enhance knowledge and practical expertise. Pharmacy "best practice" workshops, designed for community pharmacists across Québec, provide standardized guidelines that address various aspects from vaccine storage to the execution of procedures and diagnostic protocols. Such training emphasizes counselling and management of vaccine-preventable diseases, enabling pharmacists to identify and care for vulnerable population groups effectively (Srirangan & Lavenue, 2021).

Globally, this pattern is mirrored by efforts in places like Malaysia, where willingness to adopt vaccination services parallels ongoing concerns about emerging variants such as Omicron. Many community pharmacists are keen to undertake this role and recognize the importance of additional training to overcome barriers related to safety and preparedness. Those with prior vaccination training exhibit higher readiness levels and understand that



practical experience alone may not suffice; including vaccination as a compulsory element within educational programs could provide more comprehensive preparation (Chern Ang et al., 2022). Following appropriate training and certification, pharmacists can competently conduct various immunization procedures and are thereby positioned to offer systematic post-immunization monitoring, which contributes substantially to overall vaccine safety.

3.2. Pharmacists as Immunizers

Pharmacists play an important role in immunization programs in many countries and represent a valuable and often accessible source of reliable, evidence-based vaccine information (Hassan Wada et al., 2021). In keeping with the expanding scope of pharmacy practice internationally, the number of countries allowing pharmacy-based immunization continues to increase on an annual basis.

A broad range of vaccines have become available to pharmacists, with administration commonly offered for influenza, hepatitis A, hepatitis B, herpes zoster, MMR (measles, mumps, and rubella), HPV (human papillomavirus), meningococcal, tetanus-containing vaccines, and Tdap (tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis). The procurement of supply and maintenance of logistics, including storage, preparation, and waste disposal, is usually undertaken by the pharmacy team (Srirangan & Lavenue, 2021).

4. Monitoring Adverse Reactions

Adverse reactions following vaccination can manifest as predisposing conditions, coincidental events, injection-related incidents, or reactions caused by vaccine components such as antigen, adjuvant, preservatives, or stabilizers (A'tiyah Abdul Hamid et al., 2022). Monitoring vaccine safety through surveillance of vaccine safety and adverse events following immunization (AEFI) helps pharmacists establish patient status immediately after vaccine administration, enabling early communication of any unusual complaints. Active follow-up allows for timely recognition and management of adverse reactions, which in turn helps reduce vaccine hesitancy within the population. Pharmacists are required to report AEFI and other post-vaccination adverse medicine events via the National Pharmacovigilance Centre (NPC) platform, ensuring continuous safety surveillance following immunization.

4.1. Types of Adverse Reactions

Vaccine adverse reactions can present in various forms, with allergic and non-allergic reactions being the primary categories. Allergic reactions involve immune mechanisms that react to vaccine constituents, while non-allergic reactions are unrelated to the immune system. Both allergic and non-allergic reactions have components that mimic immune-mediated responses without engaging the immune system directly. Vaccination is a critical public health measure, yet it may elicit allergic responses in rare instances. Such reactions



can range from mild cutaneous manifestations to life-threatening anaphylaxis, though the incidence is exceedingly low compared to the substantial protective benefits conferred by immunization. The ability of immunizers to perform systematic follow-up after vaccine administration is essential for mitigating vaccine hesitancy, maintaining individual protection, and achieving population-level herd immunity. Pharmacists, who are among the health-care professionals authorized and trained to administer vaccines in many countries, assume a pivotal role in conducting post-immunization monitoring. By identifying, documenting, and reporting these events, pharmacists actively contribute to ongoing vaccine safety efforts while addressing public concerns.

Allergic reactions to vaccination arise from immune responses directed against vaccine constituents. The currently licensed vaccines in the United States consist largely of recombinant subsequences of pathogen epitopes derived from viral and bacterial agents. Components derived from the pathogenic organism include toxins that have been detoxified or rendered non-functional by heat or chemical processing, one or more intact or closely related viruses or bacterial strains, and a single epitope or a mixture of epitopes that are incorporated in a recombinant construct. Vaccines also contain trace levels of bioactive products, such as dimethyldioctadecylammonium bromide (DDA; an immunostimulatory lipid adjuvant), formaldehyde, live attenuated bacteria, genetically engineered organisms that make yeast express pathogenic materials, various sugar or polyols, and materials used in the manufacturing process, such as preservative substances, which can give rise to allergic reactions (Tsai & Chiu, 2023). Adverse events following immunization (AEFI) are classified into five categories: reactions related to vaccine quality, anxiety-related events, injection-related reactions, exceedance of the general tolerance to vaccines, and allergic reactions to vaccine components or adjuvants (A'tiyah Abdul Hamid et al., 2022). Adverse reactions to vaccines that occur shortly after administration and are consistent with an allergic mechanism must be distinguished from other responses, such as vasovagal episodes, panic reactions, responses to injection-associated pain, and local adverse reactions at the injection site. Even non-allergic responses are sometimes misinterpreted by the public as evidence of vaccine harm and, as a consequence, vaccine hesitancy in the community.

4.2. Reporting Mechanisms for Adverse Events

All reported adverse reactions are registered and analysed, with those verified confirmed as adverse events. The World Health Organization systems for Immunization safety surveillance, the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System and Fazendo Vacina programmes are examples of surveillance systems for the follow-up of adverse events following emergent vaccines. These systems allow the continuous review of vaccine safety.

Pharmacists can mitigate and help avoid many types of adverse reactions. For example, a potential allergic reaction when drugs containing egg proteins, such as oseltamivir or



influenza vaccines, are administered without great care. They can also inform patients that they should rest after immunization and avoid activities such as driving a car or operating heavy machinery, which require full concentration. The patient should expect a local or systemic response that is most commonly present after vaccine administration. It is necessary to instruct patients to seek a health professional as soon as any unexpected alteration occurs. These actions are directly related to patient safety and the pandemic management process.

5. Patient Education and Counseling

Pharmacists can provide useful information about the benefits of vaccines to patients. They typically visit the pharmacy many times in the weeks following vaccination. This provides an ideal opportunity to briefly educate patients about the importance of vaccines and why the chosen vaccines were recommended. With adequate communication, pharmacists can demonstrate that recommendations are based on clinical assessment, not simply an attempt to sell vaccines (M. Hess & A. Goad, 2006).

Additional time is often spent with patients when initiating pharmacist-administered vaccine programs or new vaccine recommendations. Together, the early stages of influenza vaccine programs and the 2009 H1N1 pandemic experienced tight vaccine supplies and increased demand for immunizations. Many patients and parents voiced concerns regarding vaccine safety and questioned the need for specific vaccines. Pharmacists who took the time to cautiously and thoroughly counsel such individuals, providing factual information about vaccine efficacy and safety, were able to build trust and confidence in the vaccines and the pharmacists' recommendations (Hassan Wada et al., 2021).

Conversely, pharmacists who were impatient, dismissive, or unable to adequately address vaccine concerns often witnessed diminished confidence and lower levels of immunization acceptance from this group of patients (Srirangan & Lavenue, 2021). Therefore, pharmacists should continue to proactively initiate follow-up systems after vaccine administration and engage in ongoing education with their patients.

5.1. Communicating Vaccine Benefits

Even among the most resolute vaccine advocates, maintaining willingness for vaccine uptake can be difficult. Challenges to high rates of coverage stem from a multitude of sources, including limited access to vaccines, individuals who decline vaccine based on personal choice or medical concerns, and misperceptions regarding adverse events associated with vaccination (Hassan Wada et al., 2021). A leading contributor that must be appropriately addressed is lack of confidence and trust in vaccinations. Health programs that rely primarily on childhood vaccine uptake are particularly vulnerable to disruptions in uptake and long-term program viability when confidence in vaccines wanes. Sustaining vaccine confidence is a crucial public health challenge (Hardt et al., 2013).



Coordinated efforts to monitor vaccine safety and detect adverse events following immunization (AEFI) are crucial to mitigating gaps in vaccine uptake and safeguarding vaccine programmes. Monitoring of AEFI serves other purposes as well, maintaining trust and sustaining existing programs, reassuring the public and health workers that the safety of vaccines is a priority, and reducing both the number of investigations and the global shortage of vaccines. Globally, efforts to strengthen programs that support the introduction of new vaccines and the sustainability of existing programs have increased the need to expand vaccine safety monitoring capabilities beyond intensive early periods of vaccine implementation and pandemic and outbreak response, to routine, ongoing vaccine safety surveillance. Vaccine safety and vaccine safety monitoring programs provide a means to sustain vaccine confidence and promote vaccine safety across a broad range of immunization scenarios.

Health care providers are the most trusted information source for individuals making decisions about vaccination, and the most frequent reason given by vaccine recipients for accepting a vaccine is a recommendation from a health care provider (M. Hess & A. Goad, 2006). Confidence in the safety of vaccines from health care providers—partners in vaccine safety monitoring—therefore deserves considerable attention from policy-makers. Empowered vaccine safety partners contribute to broader efforts to sustain the confidence necessary to reach and maintain high coverage with existing vaccines and help drive the discovery and uptake of new vaccines.

5.2. Addressing Vaccine Hesitancy

Vaccine hesitancy is a major health challenge that can hinder communities from achieving herd immunity against preventable illnesses. Community pharmacies remain accessible hubs of education and vaccination. Pharmacists are regarded as one of the most trusted health information sources. Partnering with community and faith-based organizations also remains crucial because the messenger can be just as important as the message when encouraging vaccination. Continuing transparent approaches, direct communication, consistent follow-up, and targeted engagement remain key strategies for combating vaccine hesitancy (A. Meyer et al., 2022).

6. Documentation and Record Keeping

When pharmacists undertake post-immunization protocols, they maintain accurate and confidential documentation of all processes, records, and activities at every stage to provide evidence of comprehensive follow-up. This archival practice enables the tracing and contextualization of the procedures, ensuring completeness in the follow-up, which is particularly useful in evaluating efficacy and safety when an adverse reaction occurs. Clear and detailed records foster confidence in the continuity of the follow-up process throughout



the post-immunization period (Bach et al., 2017). Adherence to regulatory frameworks and standards establishes an unequivocal ethical and legal basis that assists pharmacists in addressing potential concerns confidently and effectively, thereby enhancing the reliability and quality of post-immunization follow-up.

6.1. Importance of Accurate Records

A key aspect of post-immunization patient follow-up is the maintenance of clear and accurate records regarding administered vaccines and immunization history (Hassan Wada et al., 2021). In practice, it is not uncommon for patients to receive vaccination services at a community pharmacy without a complete record of prior immunizations or knowledge about the vaccine type previously administered. Record keeping is an integral part of all pharmaceutical services and practice, and post-vaccination follow-up is no exception; it is essential for maintaining an accurate account of previous vaccines administered (M. Hess & A. Goad, 2006). Apart from improving follow-up monitoring, such documentation also ensures that a comprehensive picture of a patient's immunization history forms part of the overall health record.

Counselling and management training ensure that pharmacists feel equipped to address vaccine-preventable diseases. Equally important is the ability to identify and manage vulnerable populations who require vaccination (Srirangan & Lavenue, 2021).

6.2. Legal and Ethical Considerations

In addition to accurate record keeping, conducting post-immunization follow-up in a manner that complies with legal and ethical frameworks is crucial. This is because documentation alone does not guarantee safety; errors made during follow-up can compromise the monitoring process and pose health risks to individuals. Pharmacists participating in post-immunization monitoring must be well-informed about applicable laws and ethical guidelines to ensure responsible conduct and maintain public trust.

Broadly, the legal and ethical considerations stem from the recognition that errors occurring at any stage of vaccine administration and follow-up potentially present health hazards—such as poisoning, death, or permanent disability—to patients, thereby classifying the mishandling of vaccines as a public health concern (Hassan Wada et al., 2021). Such concerns have prompted governments to implement various regulations to ensure appropriate management of vaccine-administration procedures. Pharmacists, as authorized vaccine administrators, are legally responsible for not only administering vaccines safely and effectively but also for rigorously conducting post-immunization follow-up according to institutional protocols. Beyond following established rules, pharmacists are ethically obliged to provide thorough patient education regarding the benefits and side effects of vaccines. Establishing the necessity of monitoring patients during the post-immunization period through direct



communication—or, in cases where at-risk individuals are identified, via phone calls—reflects a demographic-based approach that supports adherence to legal mandates and underpins politically acceptable practice (Pattison Rathbone et al., 2022).

7. Collaboration with Healthcare Providers

After administering a vaccine, pharmacists gather information considered relevant to post-immunisation follow-up, such as a patient's experience with local and systemic adverse reactions (ARs) within the period subsequent to immunisation, and remind patients of gastrointestinal, allergic and neurological symptoms that require professional assessment.

In the US, Australia and Canada, pharmacists engage in between-visit monitoring and patient follow-up for a variety of conditions — including chronic diseases, anticoagulation and antimicrobial use — and a similar approach can be taken in the context of vaccines after immunisation.

The pharmacist should inform the patient who experienced an AR following vaccination that the event has been documented in their health record; emphasise the importance of reporting any further side effects; and advise that both the pharmaceutical professional and their general practitioner should be informed before the patient receives the next dose in the vaccination series, if applicable.

Finally, all adverse events reported to or identified by a pharmacist must be submitted to both the immunisation provider and, if possible, the national surveillance agency, in line with the current guidelines of international and national organisations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Australian Technical Advisory Group on Immunisation (ATAGI) (Pattison Rathbone et al., 2022).

7.1. Interprofessional Communication

Effective post-immunization follow-up hinges on collaborative interprofessional communication and referral, which empowers pharmacists to coordinate care after immunization and escalates patient access to needed care for vaccine-related adverse event assessments. As accessible, trusted, and knowledgeable community-based health professionals, pharmacists play an important role in promoting confidence in vaccination services by proactively supporting vaccine impatient follow-up and vaccine safety monitoring (K. Shen & S.L. Tan, 2021).

At the Canadian Medical Association's 2019 Health Summit, patient safety emerged as among the highest priorities to promote quality health care. Digital health was identified as a key enabler of patient safety practices, such as follow-up after immunization. Pharmacists with the knowledge, skills, and capacity to provide vaccine-aware continuing care enable



robust, proactive vaccine safety support by: communicating with patients and providers; monitoring patient outcomes; assessing patient safety concerns; making referrals for follow-up care; and recording post-immunization outcomes.

7.2. Referral Processes

Referral to other medical providers for patients with adverse reactions or vaccine-related health concerns usually occurs after the pharmacist gathers information during follow-up. The process may involve returning the patient to the original immuniser or sending them to a general practitioner or hospital emergency department.

Pharmacists have broadened their involvement in vaccination services by attending formal training, achieving accreditation, providing education to the community, and taking on administrative tasks such as vaccine ordering and inventory management (Pattison Rathbone et al., 2022) (Srirangan & Lavenue, 2021) (Hassan Wada et al., 2021). Post-immunization monitoring of adverse reactions constitutes a fundamental service that enhances their contribution to vaccine safety.

8. Utilizing Technology in Follow-Up

Post-immunization follow-up has remained an unmet need despite the centrality of vaccine safety in immunization programmes. Pharmacists, the most accessible healthcare professional group, play a vital role in ensuring public confidence in vaccines through systematic follow-up. Vaccination is more than the act of administering an injection; it encompasses proper storage, counseling patients on benefits and possible adverse reactions, monitoring adverse events, maintaining documentation, and improving patient knowledge and confidence.

Pharmacists assisting patients post-immunization witness the role of follow-up in vaccine safety first-hand. These patients are already within the pharmacists' sphere of care and are willing and able to engage with the pharmacist following immunization. Prompt detection and investigation of suspected adverse events following immunization require a systematic approach using most of the tools available to the pharmacist (Atkinson et al., 2017). Such approach must be transparent and comprehensive to foster confidence in immunization programmes, to establish a new benchmark in vaccine safety, and to enable the pursuit of a new vision for a COVID-safe tomorrow (Singh et al., 2021). With access to pharmacovigilance data, vaccines enhance economic growth, improve gross domestic product, and reduce the burden on healthcare systems.

8.1. Electronic Health Records

Many immunisation providers, including pharmacists, use software to keep patient records. Some systems, such as pharmacy, dispensing and treatment-record software, include vaccine-management components, allowing a complete vaccine-administration workflow, including



batch number logging, on-screen administration instructions, clinical decision support, certificate-printing, recall of patients for vaccine reviews, reporting of vaccines administered, and the Tobacco, Alcohol, Prescription, and other Substance use system for recording vaccine-related conversations for medico-legal purposes. Furthermore, patient-accessible personal health records, systems that provide patients with a digital portal for viewing and contributing to their own health information, are becoming increasingly utilised. Many of these support immunisation records for patients, thereby allowing them to verify information independently without contacting the immuniser. Additional software systems are available to support immunisers to establish and manage post-immunisation monitoring programmes through automated patient follow-up, documentation and adverse event reporting.

8.2. Mobile Health Applications

Mobile health applications (apps) offer additional tools for reaching patients following immunizations and provide a method that pharmaceutical nodes (pharmacies) and pharmacists can offer as an alternate follow-up method. As a result, mHealth apps can be used to support vaccination events and extend the follow-up process that is critical for ensuring continued vaccine safety and efficacy. Based on narrative synthesis, the following points highlight the significance of mHealth apps in the post-immunization-phase: (1) Apps address user needs by providing vaccine information, documentation, reminders, location of related services, and education; (2) Apps provide a communication channel that integrates personal health assessments, vaccine reminders, and clinic searches; and (3) Apps facilitate connectivity with health authorities through electronic immunization records. Consequently, mobile apps put the individual at the center of the vaccination process, enabling users to take increasing ownership of the overall management of their vaccination journey (Wilson et al., 2015).

Currently—alongside the maintenance of confidence in vaccines and the facilitation of complementary public-health services—mHealth apps have the potential to increase the compendium of immunization uptake-related messages, subsequently addressing the challenges newly encountered with short lead times. More specifically, mobile apps can address unvaccinated individuals, vaccine-hesitant persons, or those who may have limited access to care due to travel, loss of jobs, or economic hardships. There is also an opportunity for health authorities to strengthen the role of pharmacists in such apps and to position nodes of pharmaceutical services as reliable vaccine-safety sources.

9. Challenges in Post-Immunization Follow-Up

Post-immunization follow-up ensures that vaccinated individuals are monitored and assessed in the period after the injection. Its objective is to track any adverse reactions—the strength, duration, and progression—and to collect data on the vaccine's effectiveness, shedding light



on potential failures or suboptimal immunological responses. Follow-up also enables pharmacists to ensure conformity with vaccination protocols, schedule booster shots if needed, and provide additional care, such as referrals. The conclusiveness of immunization depends partly on structured follow-up (Hassan Wada et al., 2021). In Nigeria, for instance, follow-ups can be conducted via phone calls, text messages, or home visits, while 48-hour electronic prompts and appointment cards help recipients keep track of subsequent stages.

Pharmacists are responsible for follow-up, extending their engagement beyond the act of vaccine administration. This commitment is underscored by guidelines issued by professional bodies such as the New York State Pharmacists Association, recognizing post-immunization follow-up as “an essential element of pharmacy practice.”

9.1. Resource Limitations

Pharmacists can make a considerable contribution to more effective vaccine safety monitoring through systematic post-immunization follow-up, although the extent to which this can be implemented is often limited by resource constraints (Pattison Rathbone et al., 2022). Immunization remains the most cost-effective public health intervention, but difficulty in identifying potential adverse events often results in ongoing safety concerns and widespread vaccine hesitancy (Caye McKeirnan et al., 2022). Pharmacists, who are becoming increasingly involved in managing both the full vaccination process and subsequent patient follow-up, typically conduct this monitoring by performing a timely follow-up that assesses client satisfaction, any adverse events experienced, and open questions or concerns.

9.2. Public Perception Issues

Access to information also significantly affects vaccination decisions, and community pharmacies contribute substantially to increasing vaccine coverage (Kowalczyk et al., 2022). Overall, public perception remains a significant barrier to vaccine safety, and pharmacies can play a key role in reducing public hesitation and fostering open dialogue on the subject.

Reduced malaise and absenteeism from work, reduced rates of hospitalization and mortality, and increased quality of life indirectly support economic growth by reducing the risk of impoverishment due to out-of-pocket health expenditures. However, public perception of vaccines remains a major barrier; 18 to 30% of the population in various countries cite concerns about safety and side effects as the main reasons for refusal (Hassan Wada et al., 2021). Concerns about side effects bear directly on vaccine safety. To help address this, many countries have broadened the role of pharmacists to include the administration of vaccines.

10. Case Studies of Successful Follow-Up Programs

Community pharmacies in Alabama initiated a post-immunization follow-up care program to evaluate patients' health status after vaccination and monitor adverse reactions (Stewart-



Lynch et al., 2023). Patient interviews revealed the feasibility and efficiency of the pharmacist-driven accompaniment process. A cooperative public health initiative among the Virginia Pharmacists Association, Virginia Department of Health, and Chain Drug Council developed a COVID-19 vaccine–adverse-event monitoring program in 2021 (Laetitia Hattingh et al., 2016). The pilot program, organized into 16 project sites across 12 health districts, involved pharmacy students who contacted 4,020 vaccine recipients to assess potential adverse events, report serious effects, and encourage vaccine confidence. The strategy was well received; 97.0% of patients (n = 97/100) indicated willingness to receive a COVID-19 vaccine in the future, and 96.0% felt the pharmacist phone call was a valuable component of their vaccine experience. Randomized controlled trial data among independent pharmacies in Alabama indicate that tailored training programs augment the use of immunization information systems to enhance record keeping (J. Hastings et al., 2022).

10.1. Community Pharmacy Initiatives

Community pharmacists are ideally positioned to offer post-immunization follow-up services as the largest and most accessible group among healthcare professionals (Laetitia Hattingh et al., 2016). Community pharmacy vaccination initiatives are increasingly recognized as convenient, widely accessible, and cost-effective avenues for enhancing public flu immunization rates at the population level.

More than 125,000 U.S. community pharmacists and technicians are trained to administer vaccines in nearly 60,000 pharmacies across 31,000 local communities (Hassan Wada et al., 2021). Globally, services are expanding in response to legislative adjustments, with numerous regions permitting pharmacists to vaccinate against influenza and other diseases. Various strategies—including accredited workshops, guidelines, standards, and collaborative models—have proven effective in enabling pharmacists to deliver these services effectively and confidently (Srirangan & Lavenue, 2021).

10.2. Collaborative Models with Clinics

Pharmacists contribute to an integrated, system-wide approach to vaccine safety by applying their clinical knowledge and communication skills in post-immunization follow-up. Supervising and implementing follow-up ensures adverse events are detected, patients understand the importance of vaccination, series are completed on schedule, and information about side effects and contraindications is collected. An additional mechanism for communicating and cooperating with physicians after immunization is through collaborative models. Various collaboration structures between physicians and pharmacists can facilitate increased vaccination compliance. For example, a shared-responsibility model involves administering the first dose in a clinic and the second in a pharmacy, which is particularly advantageous for partnerships enrolled in the Vaccines for Children (VFC) program. A



pharmacy-based model features physician recommendation while all doses are given in the pharmacy, well-suited for arrangements where only the pharmacist is VFC-enrolled. An insourced model has the pharmacist providing immunizations during designated periods within the physician's clinic, useful when the pharmacist is the sole VFC provider (S. Teeter et al., 2021). Across all models, effective communication between pharmacy and clinic is crucial to maintain accurate records and ensure timely completion of vaccine series. Pharmacists are positioned to provide additional safety monitoring through follow-up of patients once immunized in a pharmacy—a role consistent with a national emphasis on expanding the pharmacist's contribution to improving care worldwide.

11. Future Directions in Vaccine Safety Monitoring

Pharmacists are strategically positioned to complement existing vaccine safety measures through systematic follow-up after immunization. Such activities provide novel dimensions to safety monitoring and rapid information exchange strategies in the post-COVID-19 vaccine era.

Preparing pharmacists to accept these responsibilities and participate in post-licensing vaccine safety programmes must therefore become a health-system priority.

11.1. Innovative Practices

The expansion of pharmacists' roles into immunization programs creates opportunities to refine post-immunization monitoring practices. Innovative approaches that recognize pharmacists' skills in outreach and follow-up could enhance vaccine safety surveillance (Srirangan & Lavenue, 2021). Advanced data collection instruments and digital platforms adapted to pharmacy settings may facilitate the systematic capture of adverse events and patient experiences (Pattison Rathbone et al., 2022). Technological solutions integrated with pharmacy information systems could incorporate evidence-based questionnaires, deploy risk stratification algorithms, and generate reports tailored for both regulatory authorities and practice management. The implementation of innovative follow-up models that leverage these capabilities can thereby improve vaccine safety surveillance and support the ongoing evolution of pharmacists' contributions to immunization delivery.

11.2. Policy Recommendations

Awareness and education are crucial for any initiative on vaccine safety. To this end, national mass media campaigns have been disseminating information on the symptoms and consequences of vaccine-preventable diseases and the benefits of vaccines, encouraging individuals to check that they and their family members are up to date with recommended vaccines. The media, as well as other information channels, have been used to communicate to the public the growing concern about perceived risks related to vaccination and to explain that there is no scientific evidence pointing to a relationship between vaccination and autism.



Regular review and refinement of national vaccine safety policy is necessary. It is essential that these policies reflect up-to-date scientific information and the current needs related to vaccine safety and that they are harmonized with policies of other national immunization programmes. The successful implementation of a vaccine safety policy requires adequate financial commitment at national and international levels and the support of policy-makers. Procedures for vaccine safety monitoring and surveillance should be implemented in parallel with changes in the programme or product composition. A system for reporting adverse events following immunization is needed in every country. Health-care workers involved in immunization services and private practitioners, who are often the first to see vaccine-related adverse events, both need to be made aware of the necessity for adverse-event reporting, and some mechanism for collecting the data and feeding it back to national and international organizations must be established. A system for regular monitoring of the quality of life of immunization service providers may be needed in larger countries with hectic vaccination schedules and heavy workloads.

The quality of vaccine products and vaccine delivery must also receive close attention. Every stage and procedure in vaccine manufacture and distribution needs to be carefully checked, as does the effectiveness of international systems in place for the recall and replacement of any suspected faulty products. Procedures for safe vaccination practices must be developed and become part of the routine in any immunization service. (Hassan Wada et al., 2021) (M. Hess & A. Goad, 2006)

12. Conclusion

Pharmacists play an essential role in immunization programmes; however, there is often ambiguity surrounding their contributions following injection (Hassan Wada et al., 2021). Most healthcare professionals focus on pre-immunization activities; a timely follow-up after injection remains crucial. Systematic post-immunization follow-up allows healthcare providers to gather data on adverse events following immunization (AEFI) and address concerns related to vaccines (Pattison Rathbone et al., 2022). Accepted by the World Health Organization as a crucial public health strategy, patient follow-up pertaining to the administration of vaccines represents a key element within immunization frameworks.

References:

1. Pattison Rathbone, A., Baqir, W., & Campbell, D. (2022). Barriers and enablers to pharmacists' involvement in a novel immunisation programme. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
2. Hassan Wada, Y., Kabir Musa, M., Ekpenyong, A., Adebayo Adebisi, Y., Babiker Musa, M., & Mohammed Khalid, G. (2021). Increasing coverage of vaccination by pharmacists in Nigeria; an urgent need. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34811111/)



3. Srirangan, K. & Lavenue, A. (2021). Helping Québec Pharmacists Seize the Vaccination Service Opportunity: The Pharmacy Best Practice Workshops. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35111111/)
4. Chern Ang, W., Syafuan Fadzil, M., Najihah Ishak, F., Norissya Adenan, N., & Haniki Nik Mohamed, M. (2022). Readiness and willingness of Malaysian community pharmacists in providing vaccination services. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
5. A'tiyah Abdul Hamid, A., Rahim, R., & Poh Teo, S. (2022). Pharmacovigilance and Its Importance for Primary Health Care Professionals. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
6. Tsai, M. H. & Chiu, C. Y. (2023). Allergic Reactions to Vaccines in Children: From Constituents to Specific Vaccines. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
7. M. Hess, K. & A. Goad, J. (2006). Immunization Update. [PDF]
8. Hardt, K., Schmidt-Ott, R., Glismann, S., A. Adegbola, R., & P. Meurice, F. (2013). Sustaining Vaccine Confidence in the 21st Century. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23111111/)
9. Meyer, B., Viskupič, F., & L. Wiltse, D. (2022). Pharmacists to partner with religious leaders to overcome vaccine hesitancy among Christians. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
10. Bach, A., A. Goad, J., & P. Nickell, S. (2017). A Guide to the California Immunization Registry and Its Use to Enhance the Delivery of Immunization Services. [PDF]
11. K. Shen, A. & S.L. Tan, A. (2021). Trust, influence, and community: Why pharmacists and pharmacies are central for addressing vaccine hesitancy. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
12. Atkinson, D., Adams, A., & Bright, D. (2017). Should Pharmacy Technicians Administer Immunizations?. [PDF]
13. Singh, G., Nesaraj, R., Bchara, N., Kop, B., Leeb, A., Nissen, L., Peters, I., Perry, D., Salter, S., & Lee, K. (2021). Immunisation provider experiences with an automated short message service-based active surveillance system for monitoring adverse events following immunisation: A qualitative descriptive study. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
14. Wilson, K., M Atkinson, K., & Westeinde, J. (2015). Apps for immunization: Leveraging mobile devices to place the individual at the center of care. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26111111/)
15. Caye McKeirnan, K., Shea Motzner, M., & Kherghehpoush, S. (2022). Examining Routine Pediatric Vaccination Availability in Community Pharmacies in Washington State. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
16. Kowalczyk, A., Wong, A., Chung, K., Religioni, U., Świetlik, D., Plagens-Rotman, K., D. Cameron, J., Neumann-Podczaska, A., Fehir Šola, K., Kazmierczak, J., Blicharska, E., Vaillancourt, R., & Merks, P. (2022). Patient Perceptions on Receiving Vaccination Services through Community Pharmacies. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)



17. Stewart-Lynch, A., Lombardo, S., Ceriani, D., & Mastrangelo, S. (2023). Well Child Wednesdays: An interprofessional pilot-program to increase pediatric immunizations Post-COVID(0)(0). ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
18. Laetitia Hattingh, H., Sim, F., Parsons, R., Czarniak, P., Vickery, A., & Ayadurai, S. (2016). Evaluation of the first pharmacist administered immunisations in Western Australia: a mixed methods study. [PDF]
19. J. Hastings, T., Ha, D., I. Fox, B., Qian, J., Lakin, J., & C. Westrick, S. (2022). Increasing use of immunization information systems for routine vaccinations in independent community pharmacies: A randomized controlled trial. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
20. S. Teeter, B., R. Jensen, C., L. Thomas, J., C. Martin, B., A. McElfish, P., L. Mosley, C., & M. Curran, G. (2021). Perceptions of HPV vaccination and pharmacist-physician collaboration models to improve HPV vaccination rates. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov