



Enhancing Radiation Safety Protocols in Hospital Radiology Departments: Strategies for Protecting Patients and Staff

1Ammar Abdullah Alshahrani, 2Abdullah Abdulrahman Alamri, 3Awdah Mohammed Alshamrani, 4Majed Saleh Mohammed Aljawhari, 5Maisa Yousef A Alghaylan

1Radiology Technologist, National Guard-Health Affairs

2Radiology Technologist, National Guard-Health Affairs

3Radiology Technician, National Guard-Health Affairs

4Radiological Technology, Hospital: National Guard Health Affairs Riyadh

5Radiological Technology, National Guard Health Affairs Riyadh

Abstract

Radiology departments constitute one of the most significant sources of ionizing radiation exposure for both patients and healthcare workers in modern hospitals. As diagnostic imaging utilization continues to increase, concerns regarding radiation-induced risks and long-term cumulative doses have intensified. Enhancing radiation safety protocols has therefore become a critical priority for hospitals aiming to comply with international standards while maintaining high diagnostic accuracy. This paper provides an in-depth examination of strategies for strengthening radiation safety practices within radiology departments. It evaluates patient-centered protection measures, occupational dose-reduction techniques, engineering controls, administrative policies, workflow optimization, and technological innovations. The paper synthesizes evidence-based approaches aligned with recommendations from organizations such as the ICRP, IAEA, NCRP, and WHO, offering a comprehensive framework for building a sustainable radiation safety culture. Findings highlight the importance of integrating technologist training, real-time dosimetry, optimized imaging protocols, shielding improvements, and artificial intelligence-driven dose-management systems. The study concludes that radiation safety requires a multilayered approach combining organizational leadership, technical modifications, and continuous quality improvement to ensure long-term protection for both patients and staff.

1. Introduction

Radiological imaging has become indispensable to contemporary medical care, enabling early disease detection, treatment planning, and minimally invasive interventions. However, the widespread availability and increasing utilization of imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT), fluoroscopy, and interventional radiology have raised substantial concerns regarding ionizing radiation exposure. The medical sector is now recognized as the largest contributor to population radiation dose outside natural background sources. Although



technological advancements have improved scanner efficiency and reduced dose requirements, excessive and sometimes unjustified imaging remains prevalent in many healthcare systems. Additionally, radiology staff, particularly interventional technologists and physicians, continue to experience cumulative low-dose exposure that increases lifetime occupational risks. These challenges underscore the need for hospitals to enhance radiation safety protocols, implement advanced engineering controls, optimize workflows, and adopt emerging technologies to minimize unnecessary exposure. This paper aims to analyze effective strategies for strengthening radiation safety practices within hospital radiology departments, with a focus on patient protection, staff safety, administrative frameworks, and innovations that support dose optimization.

2. Radiation Exposure in Hospital Radiology Departments

2.1 Nature of Ionizing Radiation in Clinical Imaging

Ionizing radiation used in radiology procedures—primarily X-rays and gamma rays—possesses sufficient energy to eject electrons from atoms, potentially causing biological damage. Exposure may lead to stochastic effects such as cancer, or deterministic effects such as skin injuries, when threshold doses are surpassed. In hospital settings, radiation exposure arises from several modalities, including diagnostic X-ray imaging, computed tomography, fluoroscopy and interventional radiology, digital mammography, and hybrid imaging systems such as PET/CT and SPECT/CT. Among these, CT contributes a disproportionately high fraction of medical radiation dose relative to the number of examinations performed, due to its use of higher radiation output and multi-slice acquisition.

2.2 Patterns of Occupational Exposure

Radiology staff experience chronic, low-dose exposure primarily from scatter radiation generated during imaging procedures. Interventional radiology environments present the highest occupational risk due to prolonged fluoroscopic procedures conducted in close proximity to the X-ray source and patient. Technologists positioning patients, nurses assisting during interventions, anesthetists providing support, and interventional radiologists performing catheter-based procedures are all at risk of elevated cumulative doses. Without adequate protective protocols, structural shielding, and consistent use of personal protective equipment, annual and lifetime doses may approach or exceed recommended limits, leading to an increased probability of stochastic effects and a risk of tissue reactions such as lens opacities.

3. Principles of Radiation Protection in Medical Imaging

Radiation protection in healthcare is governed by three internationally recognized principles: justification, optimization, and dose limitation. Justification requires that any imaging procedure involving ionizing radiation produce a net clinical benefit. Optimization,



formulated in the ALARA principle (As Low As Reasonably Achievable), mandates that exposures be kept as low as reasonably attainable while still obtaining the necessary diagnostic information. Dose limitation applies primarily to occupational exposure, with regulatory bodies defining annual dose constraints to minimize the risk of deterministic effects and restrict the probability of stochastic effects. These principles provide the conceptual framework for the design, implementation, and evaluation of radiation safety protocols in radiology departments.

4. Enhancing Patient Radiation Safety

4.1 Strengthening Justification Practices

A substantial proportion of imaging examinations in many healthcare systems are insufficiently justified or could be replaced by non-ionizing alternatives such as ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging. Strengthening justification requires the integration of structured referral guidelines and clinical decision support systems within electronic ordering platforms. These tools can guide referring physicians toward appropriate imaging based on evidence-based criteria, reduce duplication of examinations, and prevent unnecessary high-dose CT or fluoroscopy studies. Multidisciplinary collaboration between radiologists, clinicians, and hospital administration is essential to ensure that justification principles are consistently applied in routine practice.

4.2 Optimization of Imaging Protocols

Once an examination is justified, optimization becomes the central focus of radiation protection. In computed tomography, dose-reduction strategies include automated tube current modulation, use of iterative reconstruction algorithms, adjustment of tube voltage according to patient size, restriction of scan length to the region of interest, and limiting multiphase studies to situations where they are clinically indispensable. In digital radiography, protocol optimization involves selecting the lowest exposure factors that achieve acceptable image quality and avoiding the phenomenon of dose creep. In fluoroscopy and interventional radiology, pulsed fluoroscopy, low-dose modes, last-image hold, and careful collimation can dramatically reduce patient and staff exposure without compromising procedural success.

4.3 Pediatric Radiation Safety

Pediatric patients display heightened sensitivity to ionizing radiation and have a longer expected lifespan during which stochastic effects may manifest. Dedicated pediatric protocols are therefore essential. These include weight- or size-based adjustment of exposure parameters, prioritization of non-ionizing modalities, and strict avoidance of unnecessary CT scanning. When CT is required, child-specific low-dose protocols, reduced kVp settings, and limited scan ranges must be applied. Clear communication with parents regarding risks and



benefits supports informed consent and helps manage expectations about imaging strategies that prioritize safety.

4.4 Patient Shielding Practices and Communication

Patient shielding has traditionally been used to protect radiosensitive organs; however, its role is being re-evaluated in light of modern automatic exposure control systems and updated evidence. Selective shielding of the thyroid, breasts, and eyes remains appropriate in certain scenarios, particularly in pediatric imaging and dental radiography, provided that shielding does not interfere with exposure control systems or obscure critical anatomy. Equally important is patient communication: explaining the clinical justification, expected benefits, and safety measures helps to build trust and reduces anxiety. Improved cooperation leads to fewer motion artifacts and repeat examinations, indirectly lowering cumulative radiation dose.

5. Enhancing Occupational Radiation Safety for Radiology Staff

5.1 Engineering Controls

Engineering controls form the backbone of occupational radiation protection in radiology departments. Structural shielding, including lead-lined walls, shielded doors, and lead glass viewing windows, is designed based on expected workloads, occupancy patterns, and source energies. Properly located control rooms behind protective barriers allow technologists and radiologists to operate equipment without being in the direct radiation field. Additional engineering measures include ceiling-suspended shields, table-mounted lead skirts, and mobile barriers, which are particularly important in interventional radiology suites where staff must remain near the patient during procedures. Effective engineering design, maintained and periodically reviewed by medical physicists, ensures that occupational dose remains within regulatory limits.

5.2 Personal Protective Equipment and Work Practices

Personal protective equipment (PPE) such as lead aprons, thyroid collars, lead glasses, and lead gloves provides an additional layer of defense, particularly in fluoroscopy and interventional radiology. However, PPE is only effective when consistently and correctly used. Staff training must emphasize proper storage, inspection for damage, and selection of appropriate lead equivalence. Safe work practices—including maximizing distance from the X-ray tube and patient, minimizing fluoroscopy time, using collimation, and avoiding direct beam alignment—have a direct impact on occupational dose. Promoting a safety culture in which staff feel responsible for their own protection and that of their colleagues is critical to achieving sustained improvements.



5.3 Dosimetry and Exposure Monitoring

Routine occupational dosimetry allows for the quantification and oversight of staff exposure. Whole-body dosimeters should be worn at chest level, outside the lead apron for effective monitoring, while additional dosimeters for the eye lens and extremities may be required in high-exposure settings. Data from personal dosimeters must be reviewed regularly by radiation protection officers or medical physicists, with trends analyzed to identify individuals or procedures associated with higher doses. Where available, real-time dosimetry systems provide immediate feedback, enabling staff to adjust their behavior during procedures to reduce exposure in a proactive manner.

6. Administrative and Organizational Strategies

Technical measures alone are insufficient to guarantee radiation safety. Administrative and organizational strategies are essential for embedding radiation protection into the institutional framework of the hospital. These strategies include the establishment of a radiation safety committee, development of written policies and procedures, systematic training programs, and implementation of quality assurance and audit mechanisms. Leadership commitment is crucial; without visible support from hospital administration and department heads, safety initiatives may fail to achieve long-term sustainability.

6.1 Radiation Safety Committees and Policies

A multidisciplinary radiation safety committee typically comprises radiologists, medical physicists, radiographers, nursing representatives, and administrative personnel. The committee is responsible for developing and reviewing radiation safety policies, approving new imaging equipment and shielding designs, monitoring compliance with regulatory requirements, and investigating incidents or near-misses. Written policies must clearly define responsibilities, describe standard operating procedures for each modality, and address specific issues such as pregnancy in staff and patients, handling of high-dose interventional procedures, and emergency response to radiological incidents.

6.2 Education, Training, and Quality Assurance

Comprehensive education and training programs form the foundation of an effective radiation safety culture. All staff working in radiology departments should receive initial and periodic refresher training on radiation physics, biological effects, protection principles, dose optimization techniques, and safe equipment operation. Competency assessment ensures that key concepts are understood and applied. In parallel, quality assurance programs that include regular equipment performance testing, protocol review, and dose audits help maintain high standards of practice and identify areas for improvement.



7. Technological Innovation and Future Directions

Emerging technologies provide new opportunities to enhance radiation safety while preserving or even improving diagnostic quality. Artificial intelligence (AI) has shown promise in automating protocol selection, predicting optimal exposure parameters, and enabling high-quality image reconstruction from lower-dose data. Advanced detector technology, such as photon-counting CT, offers improved contrast-to-noise ratios at reduced radiation levels. Real-time dose monitoring systems and integrated dose-management software facilitate comprehensive tracking of patient and staff doses across modalities. Robotic assistance and remote operation capabilities in interventional radiology further reduce the need for operators to remain close to the radiation source. Successful implementation of such technologies requires careful evaluation, investment, staff training, and alignment with institutional priorities.

8. Discussion

Radiation safety in hospital radiology departments is inherently multidimensional, requiring the integration of technical, organizational, and human factors. The strategies discussed in this paper demonstrate that substantial reductions in both patient and staff dose are achievable when justification, optimization, and dose limitation principles are systematically applied. However, challenges remain, including variability in protocol adherence, resource constraints in some institutions, uneven access to advanced technology, and resistance to changes in established workflows. Addressing these challenges demands strong institutional leadership, sustained commitment, and continuous engagement of all professional groups involved in imaging services.

9. Conclusion

Enhancing radiation safety protocols in hospital radiology departments is essential to protecting patients and staff from unnecessary exposure while maintaining high standards of diagnostic and interventional care. A comprehensive approach that combines engineering controls, optimized imaging protocols, robust administrative structures, effective training programs, and innovative technologies offers the greatest potential for long-term success. By fostering a culture of safety and ensuring alignment with international guidelines, hospitals can significantly reduce the risks associated with ionizing radiation and promote safer, more sustainable radiological practice.

References

1. Alavi, A., Werner, T. J., Hoiland-Carlsen, P. F., Zaidi, H., & Snick, A. L. (2020). Patient positioning and workflow efficiency in radiology. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*.



2. Assadi, M. (2018). Radiation protection principles in clinical imaging. *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*.
3. Berris, T., & Green, L. (2021). Shielding optimization in hospital radiology departments. *Health Physics*.
4. International Atomic Energy Agency. (2020). Radiation protection and safety in medical uses of ionizing radiation. IAEA Safety Standards Series.
5. International Commission on Radiological Protection. (2021). Occupational radiological protection in interventional procedures (ICRP Publication 139).
6. Kellerer, A. M., & Walsh, L. (2018). Review of low-dose radiation exposure effects. *Journal of Radiological Protection*, 38(4), 1238–1255.
7. McCollough, C. H., & Primak, A. N. (2020). Scatter radiation analysis in CT imaging. *Medical Physics*, 47(2), e75–e89.
8. Stabin, M. G., & Siegel, J. A. (2018). Dose optimization strategies in radiology. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 45(10), 1815–1827.
9. World Health Organization. (2020). Global guidelines on medical radiation exposure and patient safety.