



Soft $\alpha\omega\checkmark_s$ -Connected Space in Soft Ideal Topological Spaces

V. Kiruthika^{1*}, N. Chandramathi² and P. Nithya³

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College,
Udumalpet, Tamilnadu, India. kiruthi.v3@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College,
Udumalpet, Tamilnadu, India. drmathimaths@gmail.com

³Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College,
Udumalpet, Tamilnadu, India. nithyapalanisamy2599@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce a soft $\alpha\omega\checkmark_s$ - Connected Space in soft ideal topological spaces. Furthermore, we introduce to Enlightenment and Edification of some properties, theorems and remarks with examples, which is the concept of soft mappings in soft ideal topological spaces.

Keywords: Soft set, soft ideal topological spaces, Soft $\alpha\omega\checkmark_s$ -Closed set, Soft connected space, Soft $\alpha\omega\checkmark_s$ -connected space.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of soft set theory was introduced by Molodstov [4] and the concept of soft ideal theory, soft local function was introduced by A.Kandil,et.al [1]. The concepts of soft connectedness and compactness was introduced by Zorlutuna et.al [12]. The concepts of soft connectedness in ideal was introduced by A.Kandil [2].

PRELIMINARIES

Let U be an initial universe and E be a set of parameters. Let $P(U)$ denote the power set of U .



DEFINITION 2.1 [3] Let D be a non-empty subset of E and a soft set over U is a parameterized family of subsets of an initial universe U . For a particular $e \in E$, $F(e)$ may be considered the set of e -approximate elements of the soft set (F, E) and if $e \notin E$, then $F(e) = \emptyset$, that is, $(F, E) = \{f(e): e \in D \subseteq E, f: E \rightarrow P(U)\}$ is called a soft set over U . Then the family of all these soft sets denoted by $SS(U)_E$.

DEFINITION 2.2 [4] Let \mathcal{C}_s be the collection of soft sets over U . Then \mathcal{C}_s is said to be a soft topology on U if satisfies the following axioms:

- (i). $(\emptyset, E), (U, E)$ belongs to \mathcal{C}_s
- (ii). The union of any number of soft sets in \mathcal{C}_s belongs to \mathcal{C}_s
- (iii). The intersection of two number of soft sets in \mathcal{C}_s belongs to \mathcal{C}_s .

The triplet (U, \mathcal{C}_s, E) is said to be soft topological space and we note that the member of \mathcal{C}_s are said to be \mathcal{C}_s -soft open sets.

DEFINITION 2.3 [1] Let $\check{\mathcal{I}}_s$ be a non-null collection of soft sets over an initial universe U with the same set of parameter E . Then $\check{\mathcal{I}}_s$ containing $SS(U)_E$ is called as a soft Ideal on U with same set E if,

- (i). $(F, E) \in \check{\mathcal{I}}_s$ and $(G, E) \in \check{\mathcal{I}}_s$ then $(F, E) \cup (G, E) \in \check{\mathcal{I}}_s$.
- (ii). $(F, E) \in \check{\mathcal{I}}_s$ and $(G, E) \subseteq (F, E)$ then $(G, E) \in \check{\mathcal{I}}_s$.

DEFINITION 2.4 [11] A soft topological space (U, \mathcal{C}_s, E) is called Soft Connected Space if U cannot be written as a disjoint soft union of two non-empty soft open sets.

DEFINITION 2.5 [2] A soft ideal topological space $(U, \mathcal{C}_s, \check{\mathcal{I}}_s)$ is called soft ideal connected if there is no pair of non-empty soft sets (F, E) and (G, E) in \mathcal{C}_s , such as $(F, E) \cap (G, E) = \emptyset$ and $(F, E) \cup (G, E) = U$ both (F, E) and (G, E) are soft ideal open.



SOFT $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - SEPARATED SETS IN SOFT IDEAL TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

In this section we introduce and study about soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - separated sets in Soft Ideal topological space and we workout some basic theorems.

DEFINITION 2.1 Two non-empty soft subsets (F,Q) and (G,Q) of a soft ideal topological space (V,C_s,Q,\check{I}_s) are said to be soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - separated if $(F,Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}_s(Cl(G,Q)) = \alpha\omega\check{I}_s(Cl(F,Q)) \cap (G,Q) = \{\}$. If $(V,Q) = (F,Q) \cup (G,Q)$ such that (F,Q) and (G,Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated sets, then (F,Q) and (G,Q) form a soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separation of (V,C_s,Q,\check{I}_s) .

EXAMPLE 2.2 Let $V = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$, $Q = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, $C_s = \{(\{ \}, Q), (V, Q), (F_1, Q), (F_2, Q), (F_3, Q)\}$, $\check{I}_s = \{(\{ \}, Q), (F_4, Q), (F_5, Q), (F_6, Q)\}$ where $(F_1, Q) = \{\{\}, \{\alpha\}\}$, $(F_2, Q) = \{\{\beta\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}\}$, $(F_3, Q) = \{\{V\}, \{\beta\}\}$, $(F_4, Q) = \{\{\}, \{\gamma\}\}$, $(F_5, Q) = \{\{\}, \{\beta, \delta\}\}$, $(F_6, Q) = \{\{\beta, \delta\}, \{\beta, \gamma, \delta\}\}$ are soft sets over V . Here, $\{\{\}, \{\beta, \delta\}\}$ and $\{\{\}, \{\gamma\}\}$ are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separation and also disjoint of (V,C_s,Q,\check{I}_s) .

THEOREM 2.3 For the soft subsets (F,Q) and (G,Q) be a soft ideal topological space (V,C_s,Q,\check{I}_s) the following statements are equivalent:

- (i). (F,Q) and (G,Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated.
- (ii). There exist soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed sets (F_1,Q) and (F_2,Q) satisfying $(F,Q) \subseteq (F_1,Q) \subseteq ((V,Q) - (G,Q))$ and $(G,Q) \subseteq (F_2,Q) \subseteq ((V,Q) - (F,Q))$.
- (iii). There exist $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Open sets (G_1,Q) and (G_2,Q) satisfying $(F,Q) \subseteq (G_1,Q) \subseteq ((V,Q) - (G,Q))$ and $(G,Q) \subseteq (G_2,Q) \subseteq ((V,Q) - (F,Q))$.

PROOF (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that (F,Q) and (G,Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated. Then there exist disjoint soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Open sets (U,Q) and (V,Q) such that, $(F,Q) \subseteq (U,Q)$, $(G,Q) \subseteq (V,Q)$ and $(U,Q) \cap (V,Q) = \{\}$ then $(F_1,Q) = (V,Q) - (V,Q)$, $(F_2,Q) = (V,Q) - (U,Q)$ which implies that



(F_1, Q) is the complement of a soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Open set, so (F_1, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed set. Similarly, (F_2, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed. Since $(G, Q) \subseteq (V, Q)$, we have $(V, Q) - (V, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (G, Q)$, so $(F_1, Q) = (V, Q) - (G, Q)$ and $(F, Q) \subseteq (U, Q) = (V, Q) - (F_2, Q)$, so $(F, Q) \subseteq (F_1, Q)$. Similarly, $(G, Q) \subseteq (F_2, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (F, Q)$. Hence, There exist soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed sets (F_1, Q) and (F_2, Q) satisfying $(F, Q) \subseteq (F_1, Q) \subseteq ((V, Q) - (G, Q))$ and $(G, Q) \subseteq (F_2, Q) \subseteq ((V, Q) - (F, Q))$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Assume that there exist soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed sets (F_1, Q) and (F_2, Q) such that, $(F, Q) \subseteq (F_1, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (G, Q)$ and $(G, Q) \subseteq (F_2, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (F, Q)$ then $(G_1, Q) = (V, Q) - (F_2, Q)$ and $(G_2, Q) = (V, Q) - (F_1, Q)$, (F_2, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed which implies that (G_1, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Open and (F_1, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed which implies that (G_2, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Open. Since $(G, Q) \subseteq (F_2, Q)$ then $(V, Q) - (F_2, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (G, Q)$, so $(G_1, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (G, Q)$ similarly, $(F, Q) \subseteq (F_1, Q)$ which implies that $(G_2, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (F, Q)$. Also $(F, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (F_2, Q) = (G_1, Q)$, $(G, Q) \subseteq (G_2, Q)$. Hence, There exist $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Open sets (G_1, Q) and (G_2, Q) satisfying $(F, Q) \subseteq (G_1, Q) \subseteq ((V, Q) - (G, Q))$ and $(G, Q) \subseteq (G_2, Q) \subseteq ((V, Q) - (F, Q))$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that there exist soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed sets (F_1, Q) and (F_2, Q) such that, $(F, Q) \subseteq (G_1, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (G, Q)$ and $(G, Q) \subseteq (G_2, Q) \subseteq ((V, Q) - (F, Q))$, $(G_1, Q) \cap (G_2, Q) \subseteq ((V, Q) - (G, Q)) \cap ((V, Q) - (F, Q)) = (V, Q) - ((F, Q) \cup (G, Q))$ so $((G_1, Q) \cap (G_2, Q)) \cap ((F, Q) \cup (G, Q)) = \Phi$. Then $(F, Q) \subseteq (G_1, Q)$, $(G, Q) \subseteq (G_2, Q)$, $((G_1, Q) \cap (G_2, Q)) = \Phi$. Thus (F, Q) and (G, Q) are contained in disjoint soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Open sets which implies that (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated.

PROPOSITION 2.4 Let (F, Q) and (G, Q) be a soft subsets of a soft ideal topological space (V, Cs, Q, \check{I}_s) . If (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated, $\{ \} \neq (C, Q) \subseteq (F, Q)$ and $\{ \} \neq (D, Q) \subseteq (G, Q)$, then (C, Q) and (D, Q) soft are $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated.



PROOF Since (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated sets, $(F, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}_s Cl(G, Q) = \{ \}$ and $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s Cl(F, Q) \cap (G, Q) = \{ \}$. By hypothesis $(C, Q) \subseteq (F, Q)$, we $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s Cl(C, Q) \cap (D, Q) = \{ \}$. Similarly, we have $(C, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}_s Cl(D, Q) = \{ \}$. Therefore, (C, Q) and (D, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated sets.

THEOREM 2.5 For a soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed soft subset (S, Q) of a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$, then (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated sets, such that $(S, Q) = (F, Q) \cup (G, Q)$, then (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed sets.

PROOF Let $(S, Q) = (F, Q) \cup (G, Q)$, where soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s(Cl(F, Q)) \cap (G, Q) = \{ \} = (F, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}_s(Cl(G, Q))$. Now, $(S, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}_s(Cl(F, Q)) = ((F, Q) \cup (G, Q)) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}_s(Cl(F, Q)) = (F, Q)$. As the intersection of soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed sets is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed, (F, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed. Similarly (G, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed.

THEOREM 2.6 Let (F, Q) and (G, Q) be a nonempty soft subsets of a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$

The following statements hold:

- (i). If (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated and $(F_1, Q) \subseteq (F, Q)$, $(G_1, Q) \subseteq (G, Q)$, then (F_1, Q) and (G_1, Q) are so.
- (ii). If $(F, Q) \cap (G, Q) = \{ \}$ such that (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed (soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Open), then (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated.
- (iii). If (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Closed (soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Open) and if $(H, Q) = (F, Q) \cap ((V, Q) - (G, Q))$ and $(G, Q) = (G, Q) \cap ((V, Q) - (F, Q))$, then (H, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}_s$ - Separated.



PROOF. (i). Since $(F_1, Q) \subseteq (F, Q)$, $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q)) \subseteq \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F_1, Q))$. Then $(G, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q)) = \{\}$ implies $(G_1, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q)) = \{\}$ and $(G_1, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F_1, Q)) = \{\}$. Similarly $(F_1, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(G_1, Q)) = \{\}$. Hence (F_1, Q) and (G_1, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated.

(ii). Since $(F, Q) = \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q))$, $(G, Q) = \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(G, Q))$ and $(F, Q) \cap (G, Q) = \{\}$, $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q)) \cap (G, Q) = \{\}$ and $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(G, Q)) \cap (F, Q) = \{\}$. Hence (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated sets. If (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open, then their complements are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Closed.

(iii). If (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open, then $(V, Q) - (F, Q)$ and $(V, Q) - (G, Q)$ are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Closed. Since $(H, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (G, Q)$, $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(H, Q)) \subseteq \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl((V, Q) - (G, Q))) = (V, Q) - (G, Q)$ and so $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(H, Q)) \cap (G, Q) = \{\}$. Thus $(G, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(H, Q)) = \{\}$. Similarly, $(H, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(G, Q)) = \{\}$. Hence, (H, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated sets.

THEOREM 2.7 The soft subsets (F, Q) and (G, Q) be a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}s)$ are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated if and only if there exist $(U, Q), (V, Q) \in \alpha\omega\check{I}sO(V, Q)$ such that $(F, Q) \subseteq (U, Q)$, $(G, Q) \subseteq (V, Q)$, $(F, Q) \cap (V, Q) = \{\}$ and $(G, Q) \cap (U, Q) = \{\}$.

PROOF. Let (F, Q) and (G, Q) be soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated sets. Then $(V, Q) = (V, Q) - \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q))$ and $(U, Q) = (V, Q) - \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(G, Q))$. Then $(U, Q), (V, Q) \subseteq \alpha\omega\check{I}sO(V, Q)$ such that $(F, Q) \subseteq (U, Q)$, $(G, Q) \subseteq (V, Q)$, $(F, Q) \cap (V, Q) = \{\}$ and $(G, Q) \cap (U, Q) = \{\}$. On the other hand, let $(U, Q), (V, Q) \in \alpha\omega\check{I}sO(V, Q)$ such that $(F, Q) \subseteq (U, Q)$, $(G, Q) \subseteq (V, Q)$, $(F, Q) \cap (V, Q) = \{\}$ and $(G, Q) \cap (U, Q) = \{\}$. Since $(V, Q) - (V, Q)$ and $(V, Q) - (U, Q)$ are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Closed sets, $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q)) \subseteq (V, Q) - (V, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (G, Q)$ and $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(G, Q)) \subseteq (V, Q) - (U, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (F, Q)$. Thus $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q)) \cap (G, Q) = \{\}$ and $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(G, Q)) \cap (F, Q) = \{\}$.

THEOREM 2.8 Let $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}s)$ be a soft ideal topological space. If (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separation of (V, Q) itself, then (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Closed sets of $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}s)$.



PROOF Since (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\check{I}_s$ - Separated, $(F, Q) \cap \alpha\check{I}_s(\text{Cl}(G, Q)) = \alpha\check{I}_s(\text{Cl}(F, Q)) \cap (G, Q) = \{\}$. Then $(F, Q) \cap \alpha\check{I}_s(\text{Cl}(G, Q)) = \{\}$ if and only if (G, Q) is soft $\alpha\check{I}_s$ - Closed in $(F, Q) \cup (G, Q) = (V, Q)$.

SOFT $\alpha\check{I}_s$ - CONNECTED SPACE IN SOFT IDEAL TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

In this section, we introduce and study about soft $\alpha\check{I}_s$ -connected spaces and also investigate some of their basic properties.

DEFINITION 3.1 A subset (F, Q) of a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ is said to be soft $\alpha\check{I}_s$ - Connected if it cannot be expressed as the union of two soft $\alpha\check{I}_s$ - Separated sets. Otherwise, the set (F, Q) is called soft $\alpha\check{I}_s$ - disconnected.

EXAMPLE 3.2 Let $V = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$, $Q = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, $\mathcal{C}_s = \{(\{\}, Q), (V, Q), (F_1, Q), (F_2, Q), (F_3, Q)\}$, $\check{I}_s = \{(\{\}, Q), (F_4, Q), (F_5, Q), (F_6, Q)\}$ where $(F_1, Q) = \{\{\}, \{\alpha\}\}$, $(F_2, Q) = \{\{\beta\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}\}$, $(F_3, Q) = \{\{V\}, \{\beta\}\}$, $(F_4, Q) = \{\{\}, \{\gamma\}\}$, $(F_5, Q) = \{\{\}, \{\beta, \delta\}\}$, $(F_6, Q) = \{\{\beta, \delta\}, \{\beta, \gamma, \delta\}\}$ are soft sets over V . Here, $\{\{\}, \{\alpha, \delta\}\}$ and $\{\{\gamma\}, \{\beta, \gamma\}\}$ are soft $\alpha\check{I}_s$ -connected because it cannot be expressed as the union of two soft $\alpha\check{I}_s$ -separated sets.

LEMMA 3.3 Let $(F, Q) \subseteq (G, Q) \cup (C, Q)$ such that (F, Q) be a nonempty $\alpha\check{I}_s$ - Connected set in a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ and $(G, Q), (C, Q)$ be a soft $\alpha\check{I}_s$ - Separated sets. Then only one of the following conditions hold:

1. $(F, Q) \subseteq (G, Q)$ and $(F, Q) \cap (C, Q) = \{\}$.
2. $(F, Q) \subseteq (C, Q)$ and $(F, Q) \cap (G, Q) = \{\}$.



PROOF Since $(F,Q) \cap (C,Q) = \{\}$, $(F,Q) \subseteq (G,Q)$. Also, if $(F,Q) \cap (G,Q) = \{\}$, then $(F,Q) \subseteq (C,Q)$. Since $(F,Q) \subseteq (G,Q) \cap (C,Q)$, then both $(F,Q) \cap (G,Q) = \{\}$ and $(F,Q) \cap (C,Q) = \{\}$ cannot hold simultaneously. Similarly, suppose that $(F,Q) \cap (G,Q) \neq \{\}$ and $(F,Q) \cap (C,Q) \neq \{\}$, then by Theorem 2.6 (1), $(F,Q) \cap (G,Q)$ and $(F,Q) \cap (C,Q)$ are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated sets, such that $(F,Q) = ((F,Q) \cap (G,Q)) \cup ((F,Q) \cap (C,Q))$ which contradicts with the soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connectedness of (F,Q) . Hence, the conditions (1) and (2) must hold.

THEOREM 3.4 If a soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected set (S,Q) of a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ is contained in $(F,Q) \cup (G,Q)$, where (F,Q) and (G,Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated sets, then either $(S,Q) \subseteq (F,Q)$ or $(S,Q) \subseteq (G,Q)$.

PROOF We have $(S,Q) = ((S,Q) \cap (F,Q)) \cup ((S,Q) \cap (G,Q))$ where $(S,Q) \cap (F,Q)$ and $(S,Q) \cap (G,Q)$ are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated sets. So either $(S,Q) \cap (F,Q) = \{\}$ or $(S,Q) \cap (G,Q) = \{\}$ and hence either $(S,Q) \subseteq (G,Q)$ or $(S,Q) \subseteq (F,Q)$.

THEOREM 3.5 Every soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected space is soft connected.

PROOF Let $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ be a soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected space. Suppose that $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ is not soft connected. Then $(V,Q) = (F,Q) \cup (G,Q)$ where (F,Q) and (G,Q) are disjoint non-empty open soft subsets of $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$. Then (F,Q) and (G,Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open and $(V,Q) = (F,Q) \cup (G,Q)$ where (F,Q) and (G,Q) are disjoint non-empty and soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open sets in $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$. This contradicts the fact that $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected and so $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ be a soft connected.

The converse of the above Theorem need not be true as seen from the following Example.

EXAMPLE 3.6 Let $V = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$, $Q = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, $\mathcal{C}_s = \{(\{\},Q), (V,Q), (F_1,Q), (F_2,Q), (F_3,Q)\}$, $\check{I}_s = \{(\{\},Q), (F_4,Q), (F_5,Q), (F_6,Q)\}$ where $(F_1,Q) = \{\{\}, \{\alpha\}\}$, $(F_2,Q) = \{\{\beta\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}\}$, $(F_3,Q) = \{\{V\}, \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}\}$, $(F_4,Q) = \{\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}\}$, $(F_5,Q) = \{\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}\}$, $(F_6,Q) = \{\{\alpha, \beta, \delta\}\}$.



$\beta \}$, $(F_4, Q) = \{\{\}, \{\gamma\}\}$, $(F_5, Q) = \{\{\}, \{\beta, \delta\}\}$, $(F_6, Q) = \{\{\beta, \delta\}, \{\beta, \gamma, \delta\}\}$ are soft sets over V . Here, $\{\{\}, \{\alpha, \gamma\}\}$ and $\{\{\}, \{\beta, \delta\}\}$ are not soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - connected.

THEOREM 3.7 A subset (M, Q) be a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected if there exists a soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected set (C, Q) satisfying $(C, Q) \subseteq (M, Q) \subseteq \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(C, Q))$.

PROOF Let $(M, Q) = (F, Q) \cup (G, Q)$, where (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated sets. Then either $(C, Q) \subseteq (F, Q)$ and $(C, Q) \subseteq (G, Q)$ and hence either $(M, Q) \subseteq \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(C, Q)) \subseteq \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q)) \subseteq (V - (G, Q))$ or $(M, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (F, Q)$. Therefore, either $(G, Q) = \{\}$ or $(F, Q) = \{\}$.

THEOREM 3.8 If $\{(M, Q)_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected subsets of a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ satisfying the property that any two of which are not soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated, then $(M, Q) = (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (M, Q)_\alpha)$ is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected.

PROOF Let $(M, Q) = (F, Q) \cup (G, Q)$, where (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated sets. Then for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ either $(M, Q)_\alpha \subseteq (F, Q)$ or $(M, Q)_\alpha \subseteq (G, Q)$. Since any two members of the family $\{(M, Q)_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ are not soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated, either $(M, Q)_\alpha \subseteq (F, Q)$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ or $(M, Q)_\alpha \subseteq (G, Q)$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. So either $(G, Q) = \{\}$ or $(F, Q) = \{\}$.

COROLLARY 3.9 If $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (M, Q)_\alpha)$, where each M_α is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected in a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}_s)$ and $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (M, Q)_\alpha \neq \{\}$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, then (M, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected.

PROOF Suppose $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (M, Q)_\alpha)$ is not soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected. Then we have $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (M, Q)_\alpha) = (H, Q) \cup (G, Q)$ where (H, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Separated sets in (V, Q) .



Since $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (M, Q)_\alpha \neq \{ \}$, we have a point V in $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (M, Q)_\alpha$. Since $(V, Q) \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (M, Q)_\alpha$, either $(V, Q) \in (G, Q)$ or $(V, Q) \in (H, Q)$. Suppose that $(V, Q) \in (H, Q)$. Since $(V, Q) \in (M, Q)_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $(M, Q)_\alpha$ and (H, Q) intersect for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Since (H, Q) and (G, Q) are disjoint $(M, Q)_\alpha \in (H, Q)$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and hence $(U, Q)_{\alpha \in \Delta} \subseteq (H, Q)$. This implies that (G, Q) is empty. This is a contradiction. Suppose that $(V, Q) \in (G, Q)$. By similar way, we have that (H, Q) is empty. This is a contradiction. Thus $(\{(U, Q)_{\alpha \in \Delta}\} \cup (M, Q)_\alpha)$ is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected.

THEOREM 3.10 For a soft ideal topological space $(V, \mathcal{C}_s, Q, \check{I}s)$, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. (V, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected.
2. (V, Q) cannot be expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open sets.
3. (V, Q) contains no nonempty proper soft subset which is both soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open and soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Closed.

PROOF (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that (V, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected and if (V, Q) can be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint soft sets (F, Q) and (G, Q) , such that (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open sets. Consequently $(F, Q) \subseteq (V, Q) - (G, Q)$. Then soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q)) \subseteq \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl((V, Q) - (G, Q))) = (V, Q) - (G, Q)$. Therefore, $\alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(F, Q)) \cap (G, Q) = \{ \}$. Similarly, we can prove $(F, Q) \cap \alpha\omega\check{I}s(Cl(G, Q)) = \{ \}$. This is a contradiction to the fact that (V, Q) is soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Connected. Therefore, (V, Q) cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open sets.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose that (V, Q) cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint soft sets (F, Q) and (G, Q) , such that (F, Q) and (G, Q) are soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open sets. If (V, Q) contains a nonempty proper soft subset (F, Q) which is both soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$ - Open and soft $\alpha\omega\check{I}s$



- Closed. Then $(V, Q) = (F, Q) \cup ((V, Q) - (F, Q))$. Hence (F, Q) and $(V, Q) - (F, Q)$ are disjoint soft α öls - Open sets whose union is (V, Q) . This is the contradiction to our assumption. Hence, (V, Q) contains no nonempty proper soft subset which is both soft α öls - Open and soft α öls - Closed.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that (V, Q) contains no nonempty proper soft subset which is both soft α öls - Open and soft α öls - Closed and (V, Q) is not soft α öls - Connected. Then (V, Q) can be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint soft sets (F, Q) and (G, Q) such that $((F, Q) \cap (\alpha\text{öls}(\text{Cl}(G, Q))) \cup (\alpha\text{öls}(\text{Cl}(F, Q))) \cap (G, Q)) = \{ \}$. Since $(F, Q) \cap (G, Q) = \{ \}$, $(F, Q) = (V, Q) - (G, Q)$ and $(G, Q) = (V, Q) - (F, Q)$. Since $\text{soft } \alpha\text{öls}(\text{Cl}(F, Q)) \cap (G, Q) = \{ \}$, $\alpha\text{öls}(\text{Cl}(F, Q)) \subseteq (V, Q) - (G, Q)$. Hence, $\alpha\text{öls}(\text{Cl}(F, Q)) \subseteq (F, Q)$. Therefore, (F, Q) is soft α öls - Closed. Similarly, (G, Q) is soft α öls - Closed. Since $(F, Q) = (V, Q) - (G, Q)$, (F, Q) is soft α öls - Open. Therefore, there exists a nonempty proper soft set (F, Q) which is both soft α öls - Open and soft α öls - Closed. This is a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore, (V, Q) is soft α öls - Connected.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Kandil, O.A.E. Tantawy, S.A. EI-Sheikh and A.M. Abd EI-latif, Soft ideal theory, Soft local Function and generated soft topological spaces, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 8 (4) 1595-1603, 2014.
- [2] A. Kandil, et,al., Soft Connectness via Soft Ideals, journal of new results in science, ISSN : 1304-7981.
- [3] Ahmad AI-Omari, Soft topology in ideal topological spaces, AI al-Bayt University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics P.O.Box 130095, Mafraq 25113.
- [4] D. Molodstov, Soft set theory-First results, Comput. Math. Appl.37 (4-5), 1931,1999.



- [5] M.E. Abd El-Monsefa, A.A. Nasefb, A.E. Radwanc and R.B. Esmaeeld, On α -open sets with respect to an ideal, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, Vol. 5, Issue.3, pp. 1-9, 2014.
- [6] N. Chandramathi, V. Kiruthika and P. Nithya Soft $\alpha\omega$ s – Continuous Mappings in soft ideal topological spaces, Fuzzy System and Soft Computing, ISSN : 1819 - 436284.
- [7] N. Chandramathi and V. Kiruthika, Soft $\alpha\omega$ s – Closed sets in soft ideal topological spaces, Indian journal of natural sciences, ISSN : 0976 - 0997.
- [8] N. Chandramathi, V. Kiruthika and Soft $\alpha\omega$ s – Homeomorphism in soft ideal topological spaces, Indian journal of Creative Research Thoughts, ISSN : 2320 - 2882.
- [9] N.Chandramathi and K.Bhuvaneswari , On ω I – connectedness and compactness in ideal topological space, International Journal of Mathematical Archive – 2(9), 2011, ISSN: 2229- 5046
- [10] Rohit Gaur and Anjali Srivastava, Connectness and compactness in soft ideal, International Journal of Scientific Research in Mathematical and Statistical Science, Volume-7,issue 4,pp.46-49, August (2020).
- [11] S. Jackson and S. Chitra, Connectedness in soft topological spaces, DOI : 10.47750/pnr.14.03.17.
- [12] Zorlutuna I., Akdag M., Min W.K., Atmaca S., "Remarks on soft topological spaces", Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, vol 3, no. 2, pp.171- 185, 2012.