



The Critical Role of Paramedics in Hospital Emergency Departments: Bridging Prehospital and In-Hospital Care

1Riyadh Uodah Ali Alahmari, 2Ali Saif Ali Alhayan Alqahtani, 3Abdullah Ali Abdullah Al Jarullah, 4Mohammed Khalid Abdullah Alqahtani, 5Hamdan Dahwi Sultan Alruwili, 6Hussien Ali Mohammed Almuhamidh

1Ems, Riyadh Third Health Cluster

2Ems, Aseer Cluster - Medical Transport

3Ems, Aseer Cluster - Medical Transport

4Ems, Ministry Of Health - Emergency Operations Center

5Senior Specialist Emergency Medical Services, Riyadh Third Health Cluster

6Paramedic, Aseer Cluster - Medical Transport

Abstract

Paramedics are central to contemporary emergency care systems. While historically positioned in prehospital emergency medical services (EMS), many health systems increasingly deploy paramedics inside hospital emergency departments (EDs) to strengthen continuity of care, accelerate time-critical interventions, and improve patient flow. This paper reviews the evolving hospital-based role of paramedics, describing core clinical functions (assessment, triage support, procedural assistance, resuscitation roles, monitoring, and disposition support), information handover and coordination across the prehospital–in-hospital interface, contributions to safety and quality, and the workforce and governance structures that enable effective integration. The paper also examines common barriers—scope-of-practice ambiguity, credentialing differences, documentation expectations, and interprofessional dynamics—and outlines practical strategies for implementation such as standardized handover tools, competency frameworks, joint training, and data-driven quality metrics. Strengthening paramedic integration within ED teams can reduce delays, enhance team capacity during surges, and improve patient experiences when supported by clear role definitions, appropriate supervision, and robust clinical governance.

Keywords: paramedics; emergency department; EMS; handover; continuity of care; patient safety; triage; workforce

1. Introduction

Emergency departments are a crucial entry point for patients with acute illness, trauma, and rapidly deteriorating conditions. The ED's ability to deliver timely, coordinated, and safe care depends on effective teamwork, rapid clinical decision-making, and seamless transitions



between care settings. One of the most vulnerable transitions occurs at the boundary between prehospital care and hospital care. During this handover, incomplete information, inconsistent documentation, competing priorities, and high patient volumes can create delays and increase the risk of error. Paramedics—clinicians trained to assess, stabilize, and transport patients in the community—are uniquely placed to strengthen this interface. Their capability to deliver advanced life support, recognize time-critical pathologies, and operate under pressure has long been valued in the prehospital domain. Increasingly, these capabilities are being extended into the ED itself.

The evolution of hospital-based paramedic roles is driven by several converging trends. ED overcrowding and access block have increased globally, creating pressure to expand clinical capacity and optimize workforce utilization. At the same time, patient acuity is rising, with more older adults presenting with multimorbidity and complex needs. Health systems are also prioritizing continuity of care and patient safety, recognizing that transitions are high-risk moments. Within this context, paramedics can function as “bridging clinicians”: they understand prehospital assessment, treatment limitations in the field, and transport decision-making, while also adapting to hospital workflows, documentation, and multidisciplinary pathways. When strategically integrated, paramedics can help standardize and improve handover, assist with triage and early management, and support resuscitation and procedural teams during surges.

This paper explores the critical role of paramedics within hospital emergency departments, emphasizing how they bridge prehospital and in-hospital care. It synthesizes evidence and practice insights regarding role development, clinical responsibilities, interprofessional collaboration, and outcomes related to safety, efficiency, and patient experience. It also discusses governance, training, and implementation considerations relevant to health systems seeking to expand or formalize ED paramedic roles.

2. Background: From prehospital practice to integrated emergency care

2.1 Traditional prehospital practice and strengths

Paramedics are educated to manage undifferentiated emergencies with limited resources. Their practice emphasizes rapid assessment, scene safety, airway and ventilation support, resuscitation (including advanced cardiac life support), trauma care, pain management, and transport decisions. In many jurisdictions, paramedics work with structured clinical guidelines and online medical oversight, balancing protocol adherence with clinical judgement. A central strength of paramedics is the ability to synthesize information quickly—history, vital signs trends, mechanism of injury, environmental clues, and bystander accounts—often in chaotic circumstances. This situational awareness is directly relevant to ED environments where clinicians must triage incomplete information under time pressure.



Another prehospital strength is early recognition of time-sensitive conditions. For example, paramedic identification of suspected stroke and pre-notification can expedite imaging and thrombolysis pathways. Similarly, early recognition of ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) can activate catheterization teams. In trauma, paramedics can implement hemorrhage control, immobilization when appropriate, and rapid transport to trauma centers. These competencies have traditionally improved outcomes through earlier intervention and coordinated destination decisions.

2.2 Drivers of hospital-based paramedic roles

Several factors have accelerated the incorporation of paramedics into ED teams. First, ED crowding reduces the time available for physicians and nurses to perform every assessment and procedure, especially during peak demand. Second, workforce shortages in emergency nursing and medicine have led hospitals to explore skill-mix innovations. Third, the complexity of handover between EMS and ED staff remains a recognized patient safety concern, with studies reporting variable quality and completeness of information transfer. Fourth, there is growing recognition that paramedics can contribute to in-hospital care beyond “bringing the patient in,” particularly in triage support, procedural assistance, observation units, and clinical decision units.

In some systems, expanded scope roles such as advanced paramedic practitioners or emergency care practitioners include independent assessment, ordering of investigations, and discharge planning for low-acuity conditions. Even where paramedics do not practice at this advanced level, the standard paramedic skill set can still offer substantial value within ED workflows when aligned with local regulations and governance.

3. Paramedics as the bridge: handover and continuity across the interface

3.1 Why the interface matters

Transitions of care are well-known risk points for errors, including medication discrepancies, missed allergies, incomplete histories, and delayed recognition of deterioration. The prehospital-to-ED handover occurs in a context of competing demands: multiple arriving ambulances, busy triage desks, limited resuscitation bays, and high ambient noise. In such circumstances, critical details—changes in mental status, response to interventions, evolving vital signs, exact timing of symptom onset—can be lost. This loss can delay diagnostic pathways or lead to duplication of tests and procedures.

3.2 Structured handover communication

Paramedics can strengthen the interface by using structured handover tools that standardize information delivery. Tools such as IMIST-AMBO (Identification, Mechanism/Medical complaint, Injuries/Information, Signs, Treatment and trends, Allergies, Medications,



Background, Other) or SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) promote completeness and clarity. When ED-based paramedics are part of the receiving team, they can also translate prehospital terminology into ED priorities, ensure documentation is captured promptly, and clarify uncertainties with the arriving crew without delaying care.

3.3 Pre-notification and pathway activation

Beyond bedside handover, paramedics contribute to bridging care through pre-notification and activation of ED pathways. Pre-notification for stroke, STEMI, sepsis, major trauma, and airway compromise can mobilize ED resources in advance. Hospital-based paramedics can support these activations by coordinating room allocation, assembling equipment, and ensuring the receiving team is ready, thereby decreasing door-to-intervention times. They can also ensure that prehospital data—ECGs, glucose measurements, medication doses, and response to treatment—are available to guide immediate decisions.

3.4 Documentation continuity

Differences in documentation standards between EMS systems and hospitals are another source of discontinuity. ED-based paramedics can assist by integrating prehospital records into the hospital electronic health record (EHR), ensuring that time stamps, medication administrations, and clinical observations are accurately recorded. Where direct integration is not possible, they can ensure that scanned or attached documents are complete and accessible. This helps avoid re-administering medications unintentionally, repeating assessments unnecessarily, or missing critical prehospital findings.

4. Clinical roles and responsibilities of paramedics in the ED

Hospital-based paramedic roles vary by jurisdiction, hospital policy, and credentialing, but several common functions have emerged.

4.1 Triage support and early assessment

Paramedics can assist triage nurses by performing initial vital signs, focused histories, and early risk stratification within defined protocols. In fast-track or minor injury units, paramedics may manage low-acuity cases under supervision, including wound care, splinting, analgesia administration, and patient education. In more acute areas, paramedics can support early recognition of deterioration through observation, repeated vital signs, and early warning score awareness.

4.2 Resuscitation and airway support

In resuscitation bays, paramedics often contribute directly to airway management (assisting with bag-valve-mask ventilation, preparing suction and oxygen delivery devices, setting up airway adjuncts), cardiac arrest management (compressions, rhythm recognition support,



medication preparation), and trauma resuscitation (hemorrhage control support, IV/IO access, monitoring setup). Their familiarity with resuscitation algorithms and ability to coordinate tasks under pressure makes them effective team members, particularly in settings with frequent surges.

4.3 Procedural assistance and technical skills

Paramedics bring technical proficiency in IV cannulation, intraosseous access, ECG acquisition, point-of-care testing support (where credentialed), and basic procedural preparation (sterile setup, equipment checks). By performing these tasks, paramedics reduce bottlenecks and allow nurses and physicians to focus on higher-level clinical decisions. In some EDs, paramedics also assist with sedation preparation, splinting, and immobilization decisions, and may help perform safe patient transfers within the department.

4.4 Monitoring, reassessment, and observation unit support

ED crowding often leads to patients waiting in corridors or monitored areas for extended periods. Paramedics can contribute to patient safety by supporting reassessment, monitoring vital sign trends, identifying pain escalation or respiratory compromise, and escalating concerns promptly. In short-stay or observation units, paramedics may support standardized pathways for conditions like asthma exacerbations, mild head injuries, or chest pain observation under clinical governance. Their consistent presence and focus on trends can be valuable in preventing unnoticed deterioration.

4.5 Patient flow and disposition coordination

Paramedics can also support operational aspects of ED care that directly affect clinical outcomes. This includes coordinating movement of patients between zones, assisting with rapid turnover of resuscitation bays, facilitating transport to imaging, and ensuring equipment readiness. Some EDs employ paramedics as flow coordinators, leveraging their situational awareness and communication skills to reduce delays and improve throughput. While flow tasks are not purely “clinical,” they contribute to safer care by ensuring that time-critical patients access appropriate spaces and staff.

5. Interprofessional collaboration and team dynamics

5.1 Complementary roles with nurses and physicians

Successful integration depends on clarity about how paramedics complement nurses and physicians. Nurses often coordinate holistic care, medication administration, and ongoing assessment; physicians lead diagnosis and definitive treatment decisions. Paramedics can augment both by performing focused assessments, supporting procedures, and maintaining continuity between EMS and ED. Overlap exists, particularly with technical skills and



monitoring. Therefore, role clarity and mutual respect are essential to prevent duplication or tension.

5.2 Communication, escalation, and shared mental models

ED teams rely on shared mental models—common understanding of the patient’s status, priorities, and plan. Paramedics can strengthen these models by communicating prehospital trends, clarifying uncertainties, and ensuring that changes in patient condition are noticed and escalated. Joint briefings during high-acuity cases, standardized communication tools, and participation in team training (e.g., simulation) improve collaboration.

5.3 Education and professional development within the ED

Paramedics working in EDs often contribute to education, particularly around prehospital considerations: scene factors, extrication issues, transport limitations, and EMS protocols. Conversely, ED staff can support paramedics in developing familiarity with hospital documentation, medication formularies, infection prevention practices, and specialty pathways. Cross-learning fosters interprofessional respect and creates a culture where the “bridge” role is recognized as integral rather than peripheral.

6. Impact on patient outcomes, safety, and ED performance

6.1 Patient safety and error reduction

Improved handover quality is a plausible pathway through which ED paramedics enhance safety. Structured handovers reduce omissions and miscommunication. Additional monitoring capacity supports earlier identification of deterioration. Technical assistance can decrease delays in obtaining ECGs, establishing IV access, or initiating oxygen therapy. While outcomes depend on context, these mechanisms align with broader safety literature emphasizing standardized communication and redundancy in high-risk environments.

6.2 Timeliness of care and pathway efficiency

Time is critical in emergency care. Paramedics can reduce door-to-ECG times, accelerate triage assessments, and support rapid initiation of interventions such as nebulized bronchodilators, analgesia, or fluid resuscitation within protocols. By supporting pathway activation and coordination, they may reduce time-to-imaging or time-to-consultation in certain cases. These improvements can translate to better outcomes in time-sensitive conditions and improved patient satisfaction due to reduced waiting and perceived attentiveness.

6.3 ED crowding and throughput

ED crowding is influenced by multiple system factors, including inpatient bed availability. However, within the ED, workforce flexibility can affect throughput. When paramedics help



manage low-acuity streams, support procedures, and improve turnover, they may contribute to smoother patient flow. They may also reduce the workload burden on nurses and physicians, potentially decreasing burnout and improving staff retention—an indirect but important contributor to ED performance.

6.4 Cost and workforce sustainability

From a workforce planning perspective, using paramedics in defined ED roles can be cost-effective if it expands clinical capacity without compromising quality. However, cost-effectiveness depends on training costs, supervision requirements, and appropriate scope-of-practice. Importantly, cost should not be pursued at the expense of safety; integration must be accompanied by governance, competency assessment, and a clear understanding of what tasks are suitable for paramedics versus other professionals.

7. Challenges and barriers to effective integration

7.1 Scope-of-practice ambiguity and role overlap

Ambiguous role boundaries can lead to underutilization or conflict. In some EDs, paramedics may be restricted to basic tasks despite advanced competencies, leading to frustration and wasted potential. In other contexts, paramedics may be asked to perform tasks beyond their formal scope, creating medico-legal risk. A clear scope aligned with local regulation is essential.

7.2 Credentialing, supervision, and governance

Hospitals have different credentialing and privileging processes than EMS agencies. Paramedics may need hospital-specific credentialing for medication administration, documentation access, and participation in procedural teams. Supervision models must be explicit: who delegates tasks, how escalation occurs, and what documentation is required. Clinical governance should include audit, incident review, and ongoing competency verification.

7.3 Documentation and digital systems

Paramedics transitioning into ED environments may face a steep learning curve with hospital EHRs and documentation norms. Incomplete documentation can undermine legal defensibility and continuity of care. Adequate onboarding, templates, and training are therefore critical, as is ensuring that paramedics have appropriate access rights and support.

7.4 Interprofessional culture and acceptance

Integration can be hindered by professional identity concerns or hierarchical culture. Some staff may not understand paramedic capabilities or may perceive role encroachment. Addressing this requires leadership support, clear role articulation, and opportunities for



collaborative training. Emphasizing patient-centered goals—safety, timely care, and continuity—helps align the team.

7.5 Occupational stress and wellbeing

Emergency settings are stressful; paramedics already face high exposure to trauma and shift work in prehospital roles. Transitioning into ED work can add new stressors: crowded environments, continuous noise, competing priorities, and exposure to aggressive behaviors. Supportive scheduling, access to debriefing, and wellbeing initiatives are important to sustain the workforce and prevent burnout.

8. Implementation strategies for ED paramedic integration

8.1 Define purpose and model of care

Hospitals should clarify what problem the ED paramedic role is solving: improved handover, enhanced resuscitation capacity, reduced waiting times, better observation care, or flow coordination. Different goals imply different staffing models. A “resus paramedic” model focuses on high-acuity support, whereas a “rapid assessment” model supports triage and early diagnostics. Some departments use hybrid models across zones.

8.2 Establish competency frameworks and training pathways

A structured competency framework should outline required skills, knowledge, and behaviors for ED paramedics, including infection prevention, medication safety, documentation, escalation protocols, and ED-specific clinical pathways. Training should include orientation, supervised practice, and periodic assessment. Simulation-based training is particularly valuable for resuscitation teamwork, communication, and rare events.

8.3 Standardize handover processes

Adopting standardized handover tools (e.g., IMIST-AMBO) and ensuring protected time and space for handover can reduce information loss. ED-based paramedics can act as handover champions, monitoring adherence, coaching crews, and collaborating with nursing leadership to refine processes. Integrating prehospital electronic patient care records into ED systems, where feasible, further strengthens continuity.

8.4 Measure outcomes and iterate

Implementation should be accompanied by evaluation. Useful metrics include handover completeness, door-to-ECG time, time-to-analgesia, time-to-antibiotics in suspected sepsis (within policy), adverse event rates during ED waiting, staff satisfaction, and patient experience indicators. Data should be reviewed regularly, and role design should be adapted based on evidence and feedback.



8.5 Build interprofessional support

Change management matters. Stakeholder engagement with ED nurses, physicians, hospital administration, and EMS leadership reduces resistance. Joint governance committees can align expectations and ensure consistent standards. Visible leadership endorsement and clear communication about how paramedics complement—not replace—other professionals can support acceptance.

9. Regional considerations and relevance to transforming health systems

In regions pursuing healthcare transformation and emergency care modernization, integrating paramedics into EDs can align with broader goals: strengthening urgent care pathways, improving emergency preparedness, and expanding the allied health workforce. Where EMS systems are developing advanced practice roles, ED-based positions can provide career development and retention pathways. However, local regulation, education standards, and workforce planning must guide implementation to ensure safety and sustainability.

For health systems with diverse staffing and variable training backgrounds, standardization becomes even more important. National or regional competency standards, continuing professional development requirements, and harmonized credentialing processes can improve consistency. Investment in education—especially around hospital pharmacology, documentation, infection control, and interprofessional teamwork—supports safe role expansion.

10. Conclusion

Paramedics are increasingly valuable contributors to hospital emergency departments, functioning as a critical bridge between prehospital and in-hospital care. Their strengths in rapid assessment, resuscitation support, and emergency decision-making can enhance continuity, reduce handover-related errors, and support ED efficiency during high-demand periods. The benefits of integration are maximized when roles are clearly defined, training and credentialing are standardized, and robust clinical governance is in place. By adopting structured handover tools, competency frameworks, and outcome metrics, EDs can integrate paramedics in ways that improve patient safety, timeliness of care, and staff wellbeing. In a healthcare landscape marked by rising acuity and ongoing workforce pressures, strategic utilization of paramedics within ED teams represents a practical and patient-centered approach to strengthening emergency care systems.

References

Bigham, B. L., Kennedy, S. M., Drennan, I., & Morrison, L. J. (2013). Expanding paramedic scope of practice in the community: A systematic review of the literature. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 17(3), 361–372. Cooper, S., & Grant, J. (2009). New and emerging roles in



out of hospital emergency care: A review of the international literature. *International Emergency Nursing*, 17(2), 90–98. O’Hara, R., Johnson, M., Siriwardena, A. N., Weyman, A., Turner, J., Shaw, D., & Mortimer, P. (2014). A qualitative study of systemic influences on paramedic decision making. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 32(10), 801–806. World Health Organization. (2020). *Strengthening emergency care systems to improve patient outcomes*. WHO Press.

11. Evidence base and emerging research themes

Although practice models vary, several research themes consistently appear in studies of paramedic role expansion and integration. First, qualitative work highlights that paramedic decision-making is shaped by system factors such as time pressure, availability of alternatives to ED conveyance, and organizational culture; these factors also influence how paramedics interact with ED teams during handover and escalation. Second, systematic reviews of expanded paramedic roles suggest potential benefits in service responsiveness, patient satisfaction, and system efficiency, while emphasizing that outcomes depend heavily on governance, education, and the clarity of clinical boundaries. Third, research on ED crowding repeatedly identifies that improving internal flow alone is insufficient without broader system solutions, but also notes that workforce flexibility can mitigate some operational impacts during surges. Taken together, the evidence supports ED paramedic integration as one component of a multi-strategy approach, rather than a single solution to complex system pressures.

Importantly, the outcomes most sensitive to ED paramedic roles are often process indicators rather than hard endpoints such as mortality. These include handover completeness, time to first clinical contact, time to key diagnostics (for example, ECG in chest pain), and the frequency of missed deterioration during waiting. Process improvements matter because they are linked to patient safety and experience, and because they can be measured reliably for quality improvement. Future research should prioritize robust study designs (controlled before-and-after studies, cluster trials where feasible, and mixed-methods evaluations) to clarify which models provide the greatest value in different contexts.

12. Quality, safety, and infection prevention considerations

Because EDs are high-throughput environments, infection prevention and control (IPC) is central to safe practice. Paramedics entering hospital roles must be competent in hand hygiene, personal protective equipment (PPE) selection, isolation precautions, safe sharps handling, and cleaning of shared equipment. ED paramedics frequently touch monitors, stretchers, airway equipment, and computers; without strong IPC training, there is risk of cross-contamination. Therefore, IPC competence should be built into credentialing and audited through observation and feedback.



Medication safety is another critical area. Prehospital formularies differ from hospital formularies; dose concentrations, infusion protocols, and documentation requirements may not align. Hospitals must define which medications paramedics may administer, under what supervision, and with what documentation. Double-check processes, labeling standards, and clear escalation pathways for adverse reactions help reduce error risk. For high-risk scenarios such as sedation support or vasoactive infusions, paramedic involvement should be guided by explicit competency thresholds and supervision plans.

Ethical and legal considerations also arise at the interface. Paramedics may arrive with patients who have limited capacity, unclear guardianship, or incomplete identification. ED-based paramedics can help ensure that time stamps, consent considerations, and safeguarding concerns are communicated promptly. They may also support culturally sensitive communication with families by explaining prehospital events and clarifying what interventions were initiated and why, while staying within their professional boundaries and local policy.

13. Operational models: where ED paramedics fit best

Hospitals have adopted several operational models for ED paramedics:

- Resuscitation support model: paramedics are assigned to resuscitation bays and trauma rooms, focusing on rapid setup, airway support, compressions, monitoring, and procedural assistance.
- Rapid assessment and triage model: paramedics support early patient assessment, basic investigations initiation (as permitted), and escalation for deteriorating patients.
- Fast-track/minor illness model: paramedics manage defined low-acuity presentations under agreed pathways, supporting discharge efficiency.
- Observation/clinical decision unit model: paramedics support protocolized monitoring and reassessment in short-stay areas.
- Flow coordination model: paramedics support operational flow, patient movement, and resource readiness, often working closely with charge nurses.

No single model is universally superior; the best fit depends on local patient mix, staffing gaps, and governance capacity. Many EDs use a blended approach, adjusting assignments by time of day or surge conditions. A key principle is to match paramedic skills to bottlenecks: if delays occur in obtaining ECGs and initial assessments, paramedics should be placed early in the pathway; if resuscitation rooms are frequently understaffed during peaks, paramedics may add most value there.

14. Recommendations for practice and policy

Based on the analysis above, several practical recommendations can guide safe and effective integration:

- 1) Establish a written role description and scope-of-practice statement endorsed by ED leadership, nursing leadership, and medical governance.
- 2) Implement a structured onboarding program covering ED workflows, EHR documentation, medication safety, IPC,



escalation protocols, and local clinical pathways. 3) Use standardized handover tools and designate responsibility for ensuring handover completion and documentation capture. 4) Provide joint interprofessional training (including simulation) to build shared mental models and clarify task allocation during resuscitation and trauma. 5) Monitor defined quality indicators and review incidents with a no-blame learning culture. 6) Create career pathways and continuing professional development plans to retain skilled paramedics and support advanced practice development where appropriate. 7) Coordinate with EMS agencies to align protocols, terminology, and feedback loops, including post-case debrief and outcome feedback when feasible.

15. Future research directions

Key gaps remain in understanding the most effective ED paramedic models and the conditions required for success. Research priorities include: (a) comparative evaluations of different operational models; (b) impact on nursing and physician workload and burnout; (c) patient-reported outcomes and experience measures; (d) equity considerations, including how integrated models affect vulnerable populations; and (e) digital interoperability solutions that connect prehospital records with hospital EHRs. As emergency care systems evolve, rigorous evaluation will be essential to ensure that role expansion improves care rather than merely redistributing workload.

16. Limitations

This paper is a narrative synthesis and does not represent a formal systematic review; therefore, conclusions should be interpreted as informed recommendations rather than definitive causal claims. Additionally, the applicability of specific role elements varies across jurisdictions due to differences in regulation, education, and hospital governance. Local implementation should be tailored to context and evaluated with appropriate safety oversight.

References

Braitberg, G., Gerdtz, M., Harding, S., Pincus, S., Thompson, J., & Knott, J. (2018). Behavioural assessment and management of aggression in the emergency department: A review. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 30(4), 414–420. Carter, A. J. E., Arab, M., Harrison, M., Goldstein, J., & Jensen, J. L. (2016). Paramedic and emergency department clinician perspectives on prehospital to ED handover: A qualitative study. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 20(5), 1–10.