



## Employee Motivation in Hospitals and Its Effect on Reducing Medical Errors

**1Mohameed Masud Musaad Alotibe, 2Ali Ayed Almusfer, 3Dr.Mohammed Obaid Alotaibi, 4Nahed Ali Qasim Alanazi, 5Lamees Jabr Alharbi, 6Osama Mohammed Aloufi, 7Reem Abdullah Alqurashi, 8Munirah Nassar Arabi, 9Abdulelah Ibrahim Alqhoson, 10Abeer Ali Alyehya**

1Emergency Medical Services

2Nursing Specialist, Ministry Of Defense

3Endocrinology Consultant, Najran Armed Forces Hospital, Ministry Of Defense Health Services, Najran, Saudi

4Medical Secretary, Ministry Of The National Guard

5Senior Registrar Endodontics, Northern Area Armed Forces Hospital, Ministry Of Defense Health Services, King Khalid Military City, Hafar Albatin, Saudi Arabia

6 Health Informatics Technician, Ministry Of National Guard Health Affairs

7Dental Assistant, National Guard Affairs

8National Guard Affairs

9National Guard Affairs

10Nurse Specialist, National Guard Affairs

### Abstract

Medical errors continue to pose a substantial threat to patient safety, quality of care, and organizational reputation. While hospitals have invested heavily in technology, protocols, and accreditation standards, preventable errors remain strongly influenced by human factors such as attention, fatigue, communication, and safety culture. Employee motivation is one of the most modifiable human factors because it shapes how healthcare workers allocate effort, comply with procedures, speak up, report near-misses, and participate in continuous improvement. Motivation in hospitals is multidimensional and includes intrinsic drivers (professional purpose, mastery, autonomy, and moral commitment to patients) and extrinsic drivers (pay, recognition, career progression, staffing adequacy, and organizational fairness).

This paper examines the relationship between employee motivation and medical error reduction. It synthesizes motivation theories and patient-safety frameworks to explain pathways through which motivation affects behavior in clinical units and supporting services such as laboratories, radiology, pharmacy, and nursing. The paper reviews evidence linking



motivational factors with safety performance and discusses practical interventions hospitals can implement at the leadership, team, and system levels. The overall conclusion is that strengthening motivation is a strategic patient-safety intervention: it improves vigilance and teamwork, increases reporting and learning from incidents, and reduces the likelihood that latent system risks translate into patient harm.

Keywords: employee motivation, medical errors, patient safety, safety culture, burnout, hospital management

## **1. Introduction**

Hospitals are high-reliability organizations in which small failures can produce serious consequences. Clinical work involves complex workflows, multiple handoffs, time pressure, emotional strain, and frequent interruptions. Under these conditions, medical errors can occur even among skilled professionals. Medical errors include mistakes of commission (doing the wrong thing) and omission (failing to do the right thing), as well as near-misses that do not reach the patient but signal vulnerability in the care system. Medication errors, diagnostic delays, procedural mistakes, documentation failures, and communication breakdowns remain common across healthcare settings.

Historically, efforts to reduce medical errors have emphasized standardization and technology, such as computerized physician order entry, barcode medication administration, electronic health records, surgical checklists, and clinical decision support. These interventions are important, but they do not eliminate the influence of motivation on day-to-day behavior. A checklist does not ensure that a team communicates effectively, and an electronic alert does not guarantee that a clinician will investigate it carefully. When staff are disengaged, overworked, or feel psychologically unsafe, they may bypass safety steps, fail to escalate concerns, or avoid incident reporting.

Employee motivation matters because it is closely linked to attention, persistence, ethical commitment, and willingness to cooperate. Motivated staff are more likely to double-check high-risk tasks, adhere to infection prevention practices, and seek clarification when information is unclear. They also participate in learning activities and quality-improvement projects, which strengthens organizational resilience. Conversely, demotivation often coexists with burnout, cynicism, reduced professional efficacy, and turnover intentions. These conditions can degrade safety by increasing cognitive errors, reducing teamwork, and eroding the “speak-up” climate.

The aim of this paper is to provide a structured analysis of how employee motivation affects medical errors in hospitals and to translate the evidence into practical strategies. The focus is not limited to clinicians; it also includes allied health professionals and support staff who



influence patient safety through specimen handling, imaging quality, device maintenance, sterilization, transport, and documentation.

## **2. Medical Errors in Hospitals**

### **2.1 Definitions and classification**

Medical error is commonly defined as the failure of a planned action to be completed as intended or the use of an incorrect plan to achieve an aim. Errors can occur at the level of individual performance (for example, administering the wrong medication) or at the level of the system (for example, a confusing labeling process that increases the chance of selection errors). Patient-safety science distinguishes between active failures at the frontline and latent conditions embedded in processes, staffing, training, and equipment.

Common categories include medication errors (wrong drug, wrong dose, wrong route, wrong timing), diagnostic errors (missed, delayed, or incorrect diagnosis), procedural and surgical errors, transfusion and specimen identification errors, infection prevention lapses, and communication and documentation errors. Many of these categories involve multiple handoffs and depend on reliable teamwork.

### **2.2 Contributing factors**

Medical errors typically arise from interacting factors rather than a single cause. Human factors include fatigue, inexperience, stress, cognitive overload, interruptions, and poor communication. Organizational factors include understaffing, inadequate supervision, poorly designed workflows, weak safety culture, and punitive responses to incident reporting. Environmental factors include noise, crowded units, and insufficient access to equipment. Technology-related factors include usability issues and alert fatigue.

### **2.3 Consequences**

The consequences of medical errors are severe and include patient injury, prolonged hospitalization, increased costs, legal risk, and reputational harm. Errors also harm staff. Clinicians involved in errors may experience guilt, anxiety, and reduced confidence; the “second victim” phenomenon can contribute to burnout and turnover. From an organizational perspective, preventing errors is therefore both a moral and operational priority.

## **3. Understanding Employee Motivation in Healthcare**

### **3.1 Motivation as a performance driver**

Employee motivation refers to the psychological forces that determine the direction, intensity, and persistence of work behavior. In hospitals, motivation influences whether staff follow safety routines when under time pressure, whether they ask for help, whether they escalate concerns, and whether they engage in improvement activities. Motivation is



dynamic: it changes with leadership behavior, workload, team climate, and perceived fairness.

### 3.2 Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation

Intrinsic motivation arises from internal satisfaction such as purpose, professional identity, mastery, autonomy, and compassion for patients. Many healthcare workers enter the profession because the work is meaningful, and purpose can be a powerful protective factor when the organization supports it.

Extrinsic motivation arises from external rewards and conditions, including salary, benefits, job security, recognition, promotion, performance feedback, and scheduling flexibility. In hospitals, extrinsic factors also include staffing adequacy, access to resources, and fair policies. Poor extrinsic conditions can undermine intrinsic motivation by creating chronic stress and a sense that the organization does not value staff.

### 3.3 Motivation and safety behavior

Motivation affects a range of safety behaviors. Examples include consistent hand hygiene, correct patient identification, adherence to medication administration steps, accurate documentation, careful specimen labeling, and appropriate escalation of clinical deterioration. Motivation also affects discretionary behaviors that are not always captured in job descriptions, such as mentoring new staff, helping colleagues, and participating in safety huddles.

## 4. Theoretical Perspectives Linking Motivation and Errors

### 4.1 Maslow's hierarchy of needs

Maslow's model proposes that individuals must satisfy basic needs (such as physiological needs and safety) before higher-level needs (such as esteem and self-actualization) become strong motivators. In hospitals, basic needs are reflected in safe staffing, rest, manageable shifts, physical security, and stable employment conditions. When these are compromised, staff may shift their focus from patient-centered excellence to short-term survival, which can weaken attention and compliance.

### 4.2 Herzberg's two-factor theory

Herzberg differentiates between hygiene factors (working conditions, policies, pay, supervision) that prevent dissatisfaction and motivators (achievement, recognition, responsibility, growth) that create satisfaction. For error prevention, hygiene factors reduce the risk of stress-induced lapses, while motivators increase engagement and the likelihood that staff go beyond minimum requirements, such as proactively identifying hazards.

### 4.3 Self-determination theory



Self-determination theory emphasizes autonomy, competence, and relatedness. In hospitals, autonomy is supported when staff can participate in decisions about workflow and patient-safety solutions. Competence is strengthened through training, simulation, and feedback. Relatedness is supported through teamwork and respectful communication. When these needs are met, staff show higher quality performance and more persistence during demanding tasks.

#### 4.4 High-reliability and safety-culture frameworks

High-reliability principles include preoccupation with failure, reluctance to simplify, sensitivity to operations, commitment to resilience, and deference to expertise. Motivation interacts with these principles by influencing whether staff pay attention to weak signals, report near-misses, and learn from events. Safety culture models, including “just culture,” explain how fairness and trust motivate staff to speak up and participate in learning rather than hiding mistakes.

### **5. Mechanisms: How Motivation Reduces Medical Errors**

#### 5.1 Increased vigilance and cognitive engagement

Motivated staff allocate more mental resources to their tasks, which improves situation awareness and reduces slips and lapses. Vigilance is especially important for high-risk processes such as medication preparation, blood transfusion checks, and monitoring of deteriorating patients. Motivation does not replace competence, but it increases the likelihood that competence is applied consistently.

#### 5.2 Stronger adherence to protocols under pressure

Protocols and checklists reduce variability, but they must be used properly. When staff are motivated, they are more likely to follow protocols even when the unit is busy. They are also more likely to pause and “stop the line” when they detect a mismatch or unclear order. Demotivated staff may take shortcuts, rationalize workarounds, or skip double-check steps.

#### 5.3 Better communication, teamwork, and handoffs

Many errors are rooted in communication failures during handoffs, transfers, and interdisciplinary coordination. Motivation influences willingness to communicate clearly, confirm understanding, and ask questions. Motivated teams tend to use structured tools (such as SBAR), participate in briefings and debriefings, and treat speaking up as a shared responsibility.

#### 5.4 More reporting and organizational learning

Incident reporting and near-miss reporting are essential for learning. Motivation to report is strongly shaped by trust, fairness, and perceived usefulness of reporting systems. In a supportive environment, staff are motivated to report hazards because they believe the



organization will respond constructively. In punitive environments, staff may hide errors, which prevents learning and allows risks to persist.

#### 5.5 Reduced burnout and fatigue-related errors

Motivation is both affected by burnout and can protect against it when supported by resources and meaning. Burnout, characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced efficacy, is associated with increased self-reported error rates and reduced safety behavior. By supporting motivation through staffing, leadership, and well-being programs, hospitals can reduce the fatigue and cynicism that contribute to mistakes.

### **6. Evidence Linking Motivation-Related Factors to Patient Safety**

#### 6.1 Leadership and safety outcomes

Leadership style is consistently linked to safety culture and error outcomes. Transformational leaders who communicate a clear safety vision, provide support, and encourage participation tend to increase psychological safety and engagement. When leaders recognize safe practices and respond fairly to incidents, staff are more motivated to comply with standards and to report concerns.

#### 6.2 Work environment, staffing, and error rates

Studies in nursing and hospital medicine repeatedly show that staffing adequacy and supportive work environments correlate with better patient outcomes and fewer adverse events. When staffing is insufficient, staff experience time pressure, missed care, and cognitive overload, which increases the probability of errors. Adequate staffing also has a motivational dimension: it signals respect for staff and enables them to deliver the level of care they value.

#### 6.3 Recognition, fairness, and retention

Perceived organizational justice and recognition are strongly associated with job satisfaction and commitment. Hospitals that apply consistent policies, distribute workload fairly, and provide meaningful recognition improve motivation and reduce turnover. Lower turnover supports safety by preserving team cohesion and reducing reliance on unfamiliar temporary staff.

#### 6.4 Training, competence, and confidence

Motivation is strengthened when staff feel competent and supported to learn. Simulation training, competency-based assessments, and constructive feedback improve both skill and confidence, which reduces errors and encourages safe escalation behaviors. Training is also a signal that the organization invests in staff, which enhances commitment.



## **7. Interventions to Improve Motivation and Reduce Errors**

Hospitals can strengthen motivation and patient safety through integrated interventions that address both intrinsic and extrinsic drivers.

### **7.1 Leadership practices**

Leaders can increase motivation by setting explicit safety priorities, modeling respectful communication, and being visible in clinical areas. Regular safety walk-rounds, listening sessions, and rapid follow-up on reported hazards create credibility. Leaders should separate blame from accountability by applying “just culture” principles: focusing on system improvements while addressing reckless behavior appropriately.

### **7.2 Work design and staffing**

Improving staffing ratios, balancing skill mix, and designing schedules that reduce excessive consecutive shifts are fundamental. Hospitals should also reduce unnecessary interruptions, improve equipment availability, and streamline documentation. When work is designed to support success, staff experience less frustration and more pride, which sustains motivation.

### **7.3 Recognition and reward systems**

Recognition should reinforce safe behaviors rather than only productivity. Examples include acknowledging accurate patient identification, high compliance with medication double-checks, or constructive incident reporting. Rewards can be non-financial, such as public appreciation, career opportunities, or protected time for professional development.

### **7.4 Professional development and career pathways**

Clear career pathways, mentoring, and continuing education support intrinsic motivation by promoting mastery and growth. Hospitals can develop clinical ladders for nurses, advanced roles for allied health professionals, and leadership development programs. These approaches reduce turnover and preserve expertise.

### **7.5 Psychological safety and team-based learning**

Team training programs (for example, TeamSTEPPS-based approaches) improve communication, mutual support, and speaking up. Safety huddles and structured debriefings after critical events allow teams to identify improvements without fear. Psychological safety increases motivation to report and to learn.

### **7.6 Well-being and burnout prevention**

Well-being programs should address root causes, not only individual resilience. Interventions include workload management, rest spaces, access to mental health support, peer support



after adverse events, and flexible scheduling where possible. When staff feel cared for, engagement increases and error risk decreases.

## **8. Discussion**

The relationship between motivation and medical errors can be understood as a chain of influence from organizational conditions to individual and team behavior. Hospitals are socio-technical systems: technology and protocols provide guardrails, but people interpret information, coordinate actions, and adapt to unexpected changes. Motivation affects whether that adaptation is safe.

Importantly, motivation is not a substitute for system design. A highly motivated employee can still make mistakes in a poorly designed process with high workload and confusing interfaces. However, motivation amplifies the effectiveness of safety systems by increasing compliance, attention, and willingness to engage in improvement. Motivation also shapes ethical behavior: in healthcare, professional values and moral commitment are crucial for preventing neglect and ensuring respectful care.

A balanced approach is therefore needed. Extrinsic improvements (staffing, resources, fair policies) reduce barriers and prevent chronic demotivation. Intrinsic supports (purpose, autonomy, mastery, and belonging) sustain engagement and encourage proactive safety behavior. Leadership acts as the connector: it determines whether staff experience fairness, voice, and recognition.

There are also risks in poorly designed incentive systems. If incentives focus narrowly on speed or volume, staff may take unsafe shortcuts. If performance metrics are perceived as punitive, reporting may decline. Effective motivation strategies align incentives with patient safety and create a learning culture.

## **9. Implementation Roadmap for Hospitals**

Hospitals often ask how to move from broad recommendations to practical implementation. A staged roadmap can help convert motivation concepts into measurable safety improvement.

Phase 1: Diagnose the current state. Hospitals should begin with a structured assessment of motivation and safety climate. Tools may include staff engagement surveys, safety culture surveys, focus groups, and review of incident reporting patterns. The purpose is to identify where motivation is being damaged: for example, chronic understaffing, unfair scheduling, lack of recognition, poor supervisor behavior, or fear of blame. It is also important to identify unit-level variation because motivation and error risk often differ between departments.

Phase 2: Stabilize the basics. Addressing high-impact hygiene factors is essential before asking staff to “be more engaged.” This phase includes improving staffing and skill mix where feasible, reducing excessive overtime, ensuring essential equipment availability,



clarifying responsibilities, and simplifying confusing documentation steps. Small wins matter. When staff see that leaders remove barriers, trust increases quickly.

Phase 3: Build a just and learning culture. Hospitals should define clear incident review processes, separate human error from at-risk behavior and reckless behavior, and communicate outcomes transparently. Incident reviews should produce visible changes such as redesigned forms, standardized labeling, or improved handoff templates. When staff see that reporting leads to improvement, motivation to report grows.

Phase 4: Strengthen intrinsic drivers. Introduce shared governance or unit councils so staff can influence workflow and safety solutions. Expand mentorship and clinical coaching, provide protected time for training, and celebrate professional excellence tied to safety outcomes. Leaders should connect daily tasks to patient impact through stories and feedback from patients and families.

Phase 5: Sustain and scale. Sustaining motivation requires routine feedback loops. Hospitals can integrate safety huddles, monthly dashboards, and quarterly improvement cycles. Units that achieve improvements should share their methods so motivation spreads through peer learning. Sustainability also means continuously monitoring workload, turnover, and burnout so that gains are not lost.

## **10. Measurement and Evaluation**

To manage motivation as a safety strategy, hospitals need measurement that is credible to staff and useful for leadership decisions. Evaluation should combine outcome measures, process measures, and balancing measures.

Outcome measures include rates of preventable adverse drug events, falls with harm, healthcare-associated infections, surgical complications, transfusion errors, specimen labeling errors, and diagnostic delay indicators. These measures should be risk-adjusted when appropriate and interpreted cautiously to avoid blaming individuals.

Process measures assess whether safety behaviors are occurring: hand hygiene compliance, barcode scanning rates, completion of surgical time-outs, medication reconciliation completion, use of structured handoff tools, and response times for critical laboratory values. Process measures are actionable and can reflect motivation because motivated teams are more consistent.

Motivation and culture measures include engagement survey scores, safety culture dimensions (teamwork climate, psychological safety, perceptions of management, and non-punitive response), turnover intent, absenteeism, and participation in training. Near-miss reporting volume and quality can be an important proxy: in a healthy culture, reporting often increases initially as fear decreases.



Balancing measures are essential to prevent unintended consequences. If new safety steps increase documentation burden, staff may experience frustration. Monitoring workload perception, time spent on documentation, and burnout symptoms helps leaders adjust interventions. Evaluation should be transparent and shared with frontline teams, with a focus on learning rather than punishment.

## **11. Contextual Considerations for Saudi and Gulf Hospitals**

Although the principles of motivation and patient safety are universal, implementation can be strengthened by aligning with local workforce realities. Many hospitals in Saudi Arabia and the Gulf operate with multicultural teams, varied professional backgrounds, and a mix of public and private governance. Motivation strategies should therefore emphasize clear communication standards, consistent competency expectations, and respectful team integration.

Workforce localization programs, accreditation requirements, and rapid system transformation can create additional pressure on staff. Leaders should anticipate change fatigue and protect motivation through transparent communication, fair workload distribution, and meaningful recognition. Professional development linked to national priorities (for example, patient safety competencies, digital health skills, and infection prevention) can enhance intrinsic motivation by connecting individual growth to national health goals.

Finally, culturally sensitive approaches to feedback and recognition can improve acceptance. Combining formal recognition with team-based appreciation, and ensuring supervisors are trained in supportive coaching, helps build trust and strengthens the speak-up culture needed to prevent errors.

## **12. Limitations and Future Research**

This paper is a narrative synthesis rather than a systematic review, and it emphasizes conceptual pathways supported by established research traditions. In practice, the relationship between motivation and errors is influenced by many confounders such as patient acuity, resource differences, and reporting bias. Some error outcomes are difficult to measure reliably, and incident reporting depends on culture.

Future research should test specific motivation-focused interventions using robust designs, including stepped-wedge or cluster randomized trials where feasible. Studies should also examine subgroup differences across professions and departments, because the motivational drivers for nurses, physicians, pharmacists, laboratory professionals, and technicians may differ. Finally, research should explore how digital transformation and artificial intelligence tools affect motivation, autonomy, and alert fatigue, and how these changes influence error risk.



### **13. Conclusion**

Employee motivation is a central, modifiable determinant of patient safety in hospital settings. Motivated staff are more vigilant, more consistent in following safety protocols, more collaborative during handoffs, and more willing to report and learn from near-misses. Hospitals that treat motivation as a strategic safety intervention, rather than as a secondary human-resources issue, can achieve more reliable reductions in preventable medical errors.

Effective action requires a balanced approach that improves extrinsic conditions (staffing, resources, fairness, and supportive supervision) while strengthening intrinsic drivers (purpose, autonomy, mastery, and belonging). When these elements align within a just culture and a learning system, hospitals move closer to high reliability and safer patient outcomes.

#### **Economic and Ethical Rationale for Motivation-Focused Safety**

Hospitals often evaluate patient-safety programs through a compliance or technology lens, yet employee motivation is also a cost-effective safety lever. Preventable harm generates direct costs (additional diagnostics, treatment of complications, longer length of stay, and higher use of critical care) and indirect costs (legal claims, insurance premiums, loss of patient trust, and reputational damage). In parallel, demotivated staff are more likely to leave, increasing turnover and reliance on overtime or temporary staffing, both of which are associated with fatigue and error risk. When motivation strengthens protocol adherence, communication, and early escalation of concerns, the downstream effect is typically fewer adverse events and lower total cost of care.

The ethical argument is equally important. Healthcare organizations have a duty of care not only to patients but also to staff. A workplace that chronically relies on heroic effort, tolerates disrespect, or ignores psychological strain implicitly normalizes unsafe conditions. This can create moral distress, particularly when clinicians recognize risks but feel unsupported to act. Ethical leadership therefore includes designing work that is realistically achievable, providing adequate staffing and training, and creating channels where concerns can be raised without fear. In this framing, motivation is not about pushing employees to work harder; it is about enabling professionals to deliver safe care consistent with their values and standards.

Motivation-focused safety strategies also align with the principles of a just culture. A just culture distinguishes human error, at-risk behavior, and reckless behavior, responding with learning and system improvement rather than blame when appropriate. When employees trust that reporting will lead to improvement, their willingness to share near-misses and hazards increases. This is a motivational mechanism: staff perceive that their voice matters and that leadership is committed to safety. Hospitals that invest in feedback loops (closing the loop



after incident reports, sharing lessons learned, and recognizing teams for improvements) reinforce intrinsic motivation and sustain engagement over time.

Finally, motivation contributes to equity and sustainability. Units with chronic understaffing and high turnover often experience the greatest safety burdens. Addressing motivation through fair recognition, transparent scheduling, professional development, and supportive supervision can reduce these disparities. Over the long term, a motivated workforce supports organizational resilience: hospitals can adapt to surges, implement new protocols, and maintain high reliability without excessive burnout. For these reasons, hospital boards and executives should treat motivation as a strategic safety asset and include it alongside clinical quality indicators in governance and accountability structures.

## References (APA Style)

1. Aiken, L. H., Clarke, S. P., & Sloane, D. M. (2002). Hospital staffing, organization, and quality of care. *Medical Care*, 40(2), 94-109.
2. Bodenheimer, T., & Sinsky, C. (2014). From triple to quadruple aim: Care of the patient requires care of the provider. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 12(6), 573-576.
3. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227-268.
4. Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350-383.
5. Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. (1959). *The motivation to work*. Wiley.
6. IOM. (2000). *To err is human: Building a safer health system*. National Academies Press.
7. Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370-396.
8. Reason, J. (2000). Human error: Models and management. *BMJ*, 320(7237), 768-770.
9. Shanafelt, T. D., et al. (2010). Burnout and medical errors among American surgeons. *Annals of Surgery*, 251(6), 995-1000.
10. Shanafelt, T. D., et al. (2015). Relationship between burnout and professional conduct and attitudes among US physicians. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 90(12), 1600-1613.
11. Sexton, J. B., et al. (2006). The Safety Attitudes Questionnaire: Psychometric properties, benchmarking data, and emerging research. *BMC Health Services Research*, 6, 44.
12. Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2007). *Managing the unexpected: Resilient performance in an age of uncertainty* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
13. World Health Organization. (2019). *Patient safety: Global action on patient safety*. WHO.