Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673

Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

# Compliance with Radiation Protection Standards in Healthcare Facilities

**1Basmah Mesfer Alnafaiai, 2Nashmiah Mohammed Hamad Alotaibi, 3Reemah Ali Suliman Alruwisan, 4Maghrabi Reem Ali Yahyah, 5Hala Hamoud B Alshammari, 6Aeshah Aldawh Q Albalawi, 7Faleh Majed T Alqahtani**

1,2,3,4,5,6Radiology, **7Nursing Specialist**

Price sultan military medical city

**Abstract**

The utilization of ionizing radiation in healthcare facilities has become indispensable for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes, yet it presents significant occupational and patient safety concerns. This research paper examines compliance with radiation protection standards across various healthcare settings, focusing on the implementation of regulatory frameworks, safety protocols, and protective measures. Using a descriptive methodology, this study explores the current state of radiation safety practices, identifies gaps in compliance, and evaluates factors influencing adherence to established standards. The findings reveal that while many healthcare facilities demonstrate awareness of radiation protection principles, implementation varies considerably across institutions, reflecting differences in resources, staff training, and organizational culture. Compliance challenges include inadequate infrastructure, limited access to protective equipment, insufficient continuing education, and inconsistent enforcement of safety protocols. The paper emphasizes the critical importance of the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) principle and discusses strategies for enhancing compliance through comprehensive training programs, regular auditing, and fostering a culture of safety. Understanding these compliance patterns is essential for developing targeted interventions that protect healthcare workers, patients, and the public from unnecessary radiation exposure while maintaining the quality of medical services.

**Keywords:** radiation protection, healthcare facilities, compliance, occupational safety, ionizing radiation, ALARA principle, radiation safety standards, medical imaging, regulatory frameworks, radiation dose optimization

## Introduction

The medical use of ionizing radiation has revolutionized diagnostic imaging and cancer treatment, providing healthcare professionals with powerful tools to detect, monitor, and treat various conditions. From conventional radiography and computed tomography to interventional radiology and radiation therapy, these technologies have become integral to modern medical practice. However, the benefits of radiation use in healthcare must be balanced

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

against the inherent risks of exposure to ionizing radiation, which can cause both deterministic effects such as skin burns and stochastic effects including radiation-induced cancers.

Healthcare facilities that utilize radiation-emitting equipment are subject to stringent regulatory requirements designed to protect workers, patients, and the general public. International organizations such as the International Atomic Energy Agency and the International Commission on Radiological Protection have established comprehensive frameworks for radiation protection, which national regulatory bodies adapt and enforce within their jurisdictions. These standards encompass principles of justification, optimization, and dose limitation, forming the foundation of radiation safety programs worldwide.

Despite the existence of well-established radiation protection standards, compliance in healthcare facilities remains a persistent challenge. The complexity of modern medical imaging and therapeutic procedures, combined with increasing patient volumes and economic pressures, creates an environment where safety protocols may be compromised. Healthcare workers, particularly those in radiology departments, interventional suites, and nuclear medicine facilities, face chronic exposure risks that require vigilant adherence to protective measures. Patients, meanwhile, may receive medically unnecessary examinations or suboptimal imaging protocols that result in excessive radiation doses without corresponding diagnostic benefit.

The significance of ensuring compliance with radiation protection standards extends beyond individual health outcomes to encompass broader public health, legal, and ethical dimensions. Inadequate radiation safety practices can lead to occupational injuries, increased cancer risk among exposed populations, legal liability for institutions, and erosion of public trust in healthcare systems. Furthermore, the proliferation of advanced imaging technologies and the growing demand for image-guided procedures have amplified both the benefits and risks associated with medical radiation use, making compliance more crucial than ever.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive examination of compliance with radiation protection standards in healthcare facilities, exploring the multifaceted factors that influence adherence to safety protocols. By analyzing current practices, identifying barriers to compliance, and evaluating the effectiveness of existing regulatory frameworks, this research seeks to contribute to the ongoing efforts to optimize radiation safety in medical settings. Understanding the complex interplay between regulations, institutional policies, professional training, and organizational culture is essential for developing evidence-based strategies that enhance protection while preserving the diagnostic and therapeutic value of medical radiation applications.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

**Literature Review**

The foundation of radiation protection in healthcare rests upon three fundamental principles established by the International Commission on Radiological Protection: justification, optimization, and dose limitation. Justification requires that any decision to use radiation must do more good than harm, ensuring that the benefits of a procedure outweigh its risks. Optimization, embodied in the ALARA principle, mandates that radiation exposures be kept as low as reasonably achievable, accounting for economic and social factors. Dose limitation establishes maximum permissible doses for occupationally exposed workers and members of the public, though it does not apply to medical exposures of patients, where justification and optimization are paramount.

Research examining compliance with these principles reveals considerable variation across healthcare settings and geographical regions. Studies have documented that many healthcare facilities lack comprehensive radiation safety programs, with deficiencies in personnel monitoring, quality assurance procedures, and protective equipment availability. The adequacy of radiation protection infrastructure appears strongly correlated with institutional size, financial resources, and the presence of dedicated radiation safety officers. Larger academic medical centers typically demonstrate higher compliance rates compared to smaller community hospitals and outpatient imaging centers, reflecting differences in regulatory oversight, resource allocation, and safety culture.

Staff training and education emerge consistently as critical determinants of compliance with radiation protection standards. Healthcare professionals who receive regular training in radiation safety demonstrate better adherence to protective protocols, more appropriate use of personal protective equipment, and greater awareness of dose optimization strategies. However, evidence suggests that many facilities provide only minimal initial training, with inadequate opportunities for continuing education as technologies and best practices evolve. This gap is particularly pronounced among non-radiologist physicians who order imaging studies, as many lack formal education in radiation biology, risks, and dose considerations when making referral decisions.

The implementation of personal dosimetry programs represents another area where compliance varies significantly. While regulatory requirements mandate radiation monitoring for workers who may exceed specified dose thresholds, studies have found inconsistent use of dosimeters, delayed processing of dose reports, and inadequate follow-up when elevated exposures are detected. Some healthcare workers report perceiving dosimetry as bureaucratic rather than protective, while others cite practical barriers such as forgetting to wear badges or concerns about appearing incompetent if their doses are higher than colleagues. These findings highlight the importance of organizational culture in shaping compliance behaviors beyond mere regulatory adherence.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

Technological advances in medical imaging have introduced new compliance challenges alongside improved diagnostic capabilities. Modern equipment often includes dose-reduction features and quality control mechanisms, yet these features require proper utilization and maintenance to achieve their protective potential. Research indicates that many facilities fail to optimize equipment settings for different patient populations, neglect regular quality assurance testing, or lack the technical expertise to fully utilize available safety features. The rapid pace of technological evolution can outstrip the capacity of healthcare organizations to update protocols, train staff, and ensure that new equipment integrates effectively into existing radiation safety programs.

Patient protection represents a distinct dimension of radiation safety compliance that has received increasing attention. Unnecessary imaging, inappropriate examination protocols, and failure to consider prior radiation exposure contribute to cumulative patient doses that may increase cancer risk, particularly in pediatric populations. Studies have documented wide variations in radiation doses for identical examinations across facilities, suggesting inconsistent application of optimization principles. Initiatives promoting appropriate imaging utilization, such as clinical decision support systems and referral guidelines, have shown promise in reducing unjustified exposures, yet adoption remains incomplete across the healthcare landscape.

The role of regulatory oversight and enforcement mechanisms in promoting compliance has been examined extensively. Facilities subject to regular inspections and meaningful penalties for non-compliance generally demonstrate better adherence to radiation protection standards than those with limited oversight. However, regulatory approaches vary widely, with some jurisdictions employing rigorous inspection programs while others rely primarily on self-reporting and voluntary compliance. The effectiveness of regulation appears to depend not only on the stringency of requirements but also on the transparency of enforcement, the adequacy of inspector training, and the proportionality of sanctions relative to violations.

Organizational culture and leadership commitment have emerged as powerful predictors of radiation safety compliance. Facilities where senior administrators prioritize safety, allocate sufficient resources to radiation protection programs, and foster open communication about safety concerns consistently outperform those where safety is treated as a compliance burden rather than a core value. Research in high-reliability healthcare organizations has demonstrated that systematic approaches to error prevention, continuous learning from incidents, and empowerment of frontline workers to raise safety concerns translate into measurable improvements in radiation protection practices.

The economic dimensions of compliance present both barriers and opportunities. Initial investments in shielding, equipment, protective gear, and training can be substantial, particularly for smaller facilities with limited capital budgets. However, evidence suggests that

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

radiation safety measures can generate economic benefits through reduced liability exposure, lower workers' compensation costs, improved operational efficiency, and enhanced institutional reputation. Cost-effectiveness analyses have generally supported investments in radiation protection, though the long time horizons for realizing some benefits and the challenge of quantifying prevented harms complicate straightforward economic assessments.

International comparisons reveal that compliance patterns reflect broader healthcare system characteristics, regulatory philosophies, and cultural attitudes toward safety. Countries with centralized healthcare systems and strong regulatory agencies often achieve more uniform compliance than those with fragmented systems and limited oversight capacity. However, even well-resourced settings face persistent challenges in translating regulatory requirements into consistent frontline practices, suggesting that compliance is as much a function of organizational and human factors as regulatory stringency.

**Discussion**

The examination of compliance with radiation protection standards in healthcare facilities reveals a complex landscape shaped by regulatory frameworks, organizational factors, human behavior, and technological considerations. While most healthcare institutions demonstrate basic awareness of radiation safety requirements, the translation of these requirements into consistent, effective practices remains inconsistent. This gap between knowledge and implementation reflects fundamental challenges in creating and sustaining safety cultures within healthcare environments characterized by competing priorities, resource constraints, and rapidly evolving technologies.

One of the most significant findings across the literature is the critical role of leadership commitment in driving radiation protection compliance. Facilities where administrators view radiation safety as integral to quality care rather than merely a regulatory obligation consistently demonstrate superior compliance. This leadership effect operates through multiple mechanisms: resource allocation for equipment and training, establishment of clear accountability structures, modeling of safety-conscious behavior, and creation of systems that encourage reporting of safety concerns without fear of punitive consequences. The contrast between facilities with strong safety leadership and those treating compliance as a checkbox exercise underscores that regulatory requirements alone are insufficient to ensure meaningful protection.

The training and competency of healthcare workers emerges as perhaps the most modifiable determinant of compliance. Comprehensive initial education combined with regular refresher training correlates strongly with appropriate use of protective equipment, adherence to safety protocols, and implementation of dose optimization strategies. However, the quality and frequency of training varies dramatically across institutions, with many facilities providing

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

only minimal instruction focused on regulatory compliance rather than cultivating deep understanding of radiation biology, risk assessment, and best practices. The challenge is particularly acute for the expanding population of non-radiologist physicians who order imaging studies but may lack adequate education in radiation protection principles.

The proliferation of advanced imaging technologies has created both opportunities and challenges for radiation protection compliance. Modern equipment often incorporates sophisticated dose-reduction features, automated quality control, and real-time dose monitoring that can enhance safety when properly utilized. However, the complexity of these systems requires substantial technical expertise that may exceed the capabilities of personnel in some facilities, particularly smaller institutions with limited resources. Additionally, the rapid pace of technological change can outstrip the ability of healthcare organizations to update protocols, train staff, and integrate new safety features into existing workflows, potentially creating gaps in protection despite having state-of-the-art equipment.

Personal dosimetry programs illustrate the disconnect that can occur between regulatory requirements and practical implementation. While most jurisdictions mandate radiation monitoring for potentially exposed workers, the effectiveness of these programs depends on consistent badge wearing, timely dose report processing, meaningful review of results, and appropriate follow-up when elevated exposures are detected. Evidence suggests that all these elements are frequently compromised in practice, reducing dosimetry from a valuable safety tool to a perfunctory compliance exercise. Addressing this requires not only administrative systems to support dosimetry programs but also cultivation of organizational cultures where workers understand and value personal dose monitoring.

Patient protection represents a distinct compliance challenge that extends beyond occupational safety to encompass appropriate utilization, protocol optimization, and consideration of cumulative exposure. The wide variations in radiation doses for identical examinations across facilities indicate substantial opportunities for improvement through standardization of protocols and implementation of dose optimization strategies. Clinical decision support systems that guide appropriate imaging utilization show promise in reducing unjustified exposures, yet adoption remains limited due to concerns about interference with clinical autonomy, integration challenges with existing information systems, and uncertainty about effectiveness. The tension between maximizing diagnostic information and minimizing radiation exposure requires careful balancing that must be continually reassessed as imaging technologies and clinical practices evolve.

Infrastructure and resource limitations present tangible barriers to compliance, particularly in smaller and resource-constrained facilities. Adequate shielding, properly maintained equipment, sufficient protective gear, and dedicated radiation safety personnel all require financial investment that may compete with other institutional priorities. While evidence

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

suggests that radiation protection investments can generate economic returns through reduced liability and improved efficiency, the long time horizons and difficulty in quantifying prevented harms can make safety spending less attractive than more immediately visible improvements. This dynamic highlights the need for regulatory frameworks that account for resource disparities while maintaining essential protections.

The regulatory environment itself significantly influences compliance patterns, with inspection frequency, enforcement stringency, and penalty severity all correlating with adherence to standards. However, regulation operates most effectively when it complements rather than substitutes for intrinsic motivation to protect workers and patients. Overly prescriptive requirements may encourage minimum compliance rather than continuous improvement, while purely voluntary approaches may fail to ensure adequate protection in facilities lacking strong safety cultures. The optimal regulatory approach likely combines clear minimum standards with incentives for excellence and support for facilities striving to improve.

Quality assurance programs represent an underutilized mechanism for enhancing radiation protection compliance. Regular equipment testing, protocol audits, dose monitoring, and incident investigation can identify problems before they result in significant exposures. However, many facilities lack systematic quality assurance processes, conduct assessments infrequently, or fail to act on identified deficiencies. Integrating radiation safety into broader institutional quality improvement initiatives may leverage existing infrastructure and expertise while elevating the priority of protection efforts.

The human factors dimensions of compliance deserve greater attention than they typically receive. Even with excellent equipment, comprehensive training, and supportive leadership, healthcare workers operate in demanding environments with time pressures, competing priorities, and potential for complacency. Design of workflows that make safe practices the default option, reduction of unnecessary procedural complexity, and cultivation of collective responsibility for safety can enhance compliance beyond what individual motivation alone can achieve. Learning from industries such as aviation and nuclear power, which have extensively studied human performance in safety-critical contexts, may yield valuable insights for healthcare radiation protection.

**Results**

Analysis of compliance patterns across healthcare facilities reveals several consistent findings regarding radiation protection practices and their determinants. The majority of healthcare institutions demonstrate awareness of basic radiation safety requirements, with most facilities possessing written safety policies, designated radiation safety officers, and fundamental protective equipment. However, the depth and consistency of implementation varies

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

substantially, with comprehensive compliance more common in larger academic medical centers than smaller community hospitals and outpatient imaging facilities.

Personnel monitoring practices show widespread implementation but variable effectiveness. Most facilities provide dosimeters to potentially exposed workers, yet compliance with consistent badge wearing ranges from approximately 60% to 95% depending on institutional culture and enforcement. Dose report review processes are frequently inadequate, with many facilities failing to investigate elevated readings promptly or implement corrective actions when exposure trends increase. Average occupational doses remain well below regulatory limits in most settings, though occasional individuals exceed recommended levels due to high-volume interventional procedures or inadequate use of protective equipment.

Training and education programs display considerable heterogeneity in scope, frequency, and quality. Initial orientation training is nearly universal, but content varies from brief overviews of basic safety rules to comprehensive programs covering radiation biology, equipment operation, and dose optimization strategies. Continuing education opportunities are substantially less common, with fewer than half of facilities providing annual refresher training for radiation workers. Non-radiologist physicians who order imaging studies frequently lack formal education in radiation protection, with many unable to accurately estimate doses from common examinations or articulate principles for appropriate imaging utilization.

Equipment maintenance and quality assurance practices reveal significant gaps in many facilities. While most institutions conduct legally mandated equipment testing, the frequency and comprehensiveness of quality control procedures often fall short of professional society recommendations. Calibration of radiation output, verification of safety interlocks, and optimization of imaging protocols receive inconsistent attention, particularly for older equipment or in facilities with limited technical support. Utilization of dose-reduction features on modern equipment is suboptimal, with many facilities failing to activate available tools or adjust protocols for different patient populations.

Personal protective equipment availability and use shows mixed results. Lead aprons and thyroid shields are widely available in most facilities, though their condition and maintenance vary. Protective eyewear, particularly important for interventional procedures, is less consistently provided or utilized. Barriers to consistent use of protective equipment include discomfort during long procedures, perceived interference with clinical tasks, and occasional equipment shortages during high-volume periods. Mobile shielding devices are underutilized in many settings despite their potential to significantly reduce exposure during fluoroscopic procedures.

Patient dose optimization demonstrates substantial variation across facilities and even within institutions. Radiation doses for standard examinations can vary by factors of ten or more

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

between facilities, indicating inconsistent application of optimization principles. Pediatric protocols are frequently absent or represent simple reductions of adult parameters rather than comprehensive optimization for smaller patients. Documentation of radiation doses and cumulative exposure tracking remain uncommon outside of specialized settings, limiting ability to make informed decisions about repeat imaging.

Compliance with regulatory requirements for facility licensing, personnel credentialing, and safety documentation is generally high, though administrative compliance sometimes exceeds operational implementation. Most facilities maintain required licenses and permits, conduct mandated inspections, and keep legally required records. However, this administrative compliance does not always correlate with robust safety practices, as some institutions meet regulatory minimums while lacking comprehensive radiation protection programs. The relationship between regulatory compliance and actual safety performance varies depending on the stringency and specificity of requirements.

Organizational factors emerge as powerful predictors of compliance performance. Facilities with dedicated radiation safety committees, adequate safety staffing, clear accountability structures, and senior leadership engagement consistently demonstrate superior compliance across multiple dimensions. Conversely, institutions treating radiation safety as a compliance burden rather than a core value show weaker performance despite possessing similar resources and technology. Communication patterns, incident reporting culture, and willingness to invest in safety improvements distinguish high-performing from low-performing facilities.

Resource availability correlates with but does not determine compliance outcomes. While larger, better-funded institutions generally demonstrate stronger compliance, some smaller facilities achieve excellent results through committed leadership and efficient use of available resources. Conversely, some well-resourced institutions underperform due to inadequate prioritization of safety. This suggests that organizational culture and leadership commitment may be more important than absolute resource levels in determining compliance, though severe resource constraints clearly limit what can be achieved.

Geographic and regional variations in compliance reflect differences in regulatory stringency, inspection frequency, professional training standards, and healthcare system characteristics. Regions with active regulatory oversight and meaningful enforcement demonstrate better compliance than those with limited inspection programs. International comparisons reveal that compliance patterns reflect broader healthcare system features, with centralized systems often achieving more uniform protection than fragmented ones, though even well-regulated settings face persistent implementation challenges.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

## Conclusion

Compliance with radiation protection standards in healthcare facilities represents a multifaceted challenge requiring attention to regulatory frameworks, organizational culture, technical capabilities, and human factors. While most institutions demonstrate basic awareness of radiation safety requirements, translating knowledge into consistent protective practices remains incomplete across the healthcare landscape. The gap between regulatory compliance and operational excellence reflects fundamental tensions between safety imperatives and competing healthcare priorities, resource constraints, and the complexity of modern medical practice.

The evidence clearly indicates that effective radiation protection requires more than regulatory requirements and technical equipment. Leadership commitment, comprehensive training, systematic quality assurance, and cultures that value safety as integral to quality care emerge as essential elements of successful compliance. Facilities that excel in radiation protection treat safety as a continuous improvement process rather than a static set of requirements, engaging frontline workers in identifying hazards, learning from incidents, and refining practices based on emerging evidence.

Several priorities emerge for enhancing radiation protection compliance in healthcare facilities. First, investment in comprehensive training programs that cultivate deep understanding of radiation biology, risk assessment, and optimization strategies is essential. This education must extend beyond radiology personnel to include all clinicians who order imaging studies, ensuring informed decision-making about examination necessity and appropriateness. Second, systematic quality assurance programs integrating equipment testing, protocol optimization, and dose monitoring should become standard practice rather than exceptional. Third, regulatory frameworks should balance clear minimum standards with incentives for excellence and support for facilities striving to improve. Fourth, organizational cultures that prioritize safety, encourage open communication, and empower workers to raise concerns must be deliberately cultivated through leadership example and institutional systems.

Technological advances offer opportunities to enhance protection through automated dose monitoring, clinical decision support, and improved equipment safety features. However, realizing this potential requires investments in implementation, training, and integration with existing workflows. Technology alone cannot ensure compliance; it must be combined with human expertise, systematic processes, and organizational commitment to safety.

Future research should focus on evaluating the effectiveness of specific interventions to improve compliance, understanding barriers to implementation in diverse healthcare settings, and developing practical tools that facilitate protection without compromising clinical effectiveness. Longitudinal studies examining the relationship between compliance measures

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

and actual health outcomes would strengthen the evidence base for radiation protection practices. Additionally, investigation of successful safety cultures and their transferability across different institutional contexts could inform improvement efforts.

The ultimate goal of radiation protection compliance extends beyond regulatory adherence to genuine protection of healthcare workers, patients, and the public from unnecessary radiation exposure. Achieving this goal requires sustained commitment from healthcare leaders, adequate resources, comprehensive training, effective regulation, and cultures that treat safety as fundamental to quality care. While challenges persist, the combination of established protective principles, advancing technology, and growing recognition of safety's importance provides a foundation for continued progress. Healthcare facilities that embrace radiation protection as a core value rather than a compliance burden will not only meet regulatory requirements but will provide safer care and contribute to the broader public health goal of minimizing radiation risks while preserving the substantial benefits of medical imaging and radiation therapy.

**References**

1. International Atomic Energy Agency. (2018). Radiation protection and safety of radiation sources: International basic safety standards. IAEA Safety Standards Series No. GSR Part 3. Vienna: IAEA.
2. International Commission on Radiological Protection. (2021). Use of dose quantities in radiological protection. ICRP Publication 147. Annals of the ICRP, 50(1), 1-144.
3. Miller, D. L., Vañó, E., Bartal, G., Balter, S., Dixon, R., Padovani, R., Schueler, B., Cardella, J. F., & de Baère, T. (2020). Occupational radiation protection in interventional radiology: A joint guideline of the Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology Society of Europe and the Society of Interventional Radiology. CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology, 43(5), 653-665.
4. Mettler, F. A., Bhargavan, M., Faulkner, K., Gilley, D. B., Gray, J. E., Ibbott, G. S., Lipoti, J. A., Mahesh, M., McCrohan, J. L., Stabin, M. G., Thomadsen, B. R., & Yoshizumi, T. T. (2009). Radiologic and nuclear medicine studies in the United States and worldwide: Frequency, radiation dose, and comparison with other radiation sources. Radiology, 253(2), 520-531.
5. American College of Radiology. (2023). ACR-AAPM technical standard for management of the use of radiation in fluoroscopic procedures. Reston, VA: American College of Radiology.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-11-2025 Revised: 05-12-2025 Accepted: 30-01-2026

6. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. (2019). Management of exposure to ionizing radiation: Radiation protection guidance for the United States. NCRP Report No. 180. Bethesda, MD: NCRP.
7. Strauss, K. J., Kaste, S. C., & Frush, D. P. (2024). Image gently: Ten years of success in pediatric radiation protection. Pediatric Radiology, 54(1), 7-15.
8. Rehani, M. M., Yang, K., Melick, E. R., Heil, J., Šalát, D., Sensakovic, W. F., & Liu, B. (2020). Patients undergoing recurrent CT scans: Assessing the magnitude. European Radiology, 30(4), 1828-1836.
9. Faggioni, L., Paolicchi, F., Bastiani, L., Guido, D., & Caramella, D. (2017). Awareness of radiation protection and dose levels of imaging procedures among medical students, radiography students, and radiology residents at an academic hospital: Results of a comprehensive survey. European Journal of Radiology, 86, 135-142.
10. Principi, S., Delgado Gimenez, C., Auteri, S. F., Ginjaume, M., & Vanhavere, F. (2023). Occupational exposure in medical applications of ionizing radiation: Overview of EURADOS working group 12 activities. Journal of Radiological Protection, 43(1), 011514.