Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673

Received: 16-08-2025 *Revised: 05-09-2025* *Accepted: 30-10-2025*

Occupational Risk Management in Hospitals and Its Impact on Employee Safety

1Ali Mohammed Ghorm Al-Shehri, 2Abdulelah Hadi Nahi Al-Otaib, 3Abdulmajeed Zaid Bin Zaid, 4Ahmed Mohammed Ahmed Najmi, 5Ibrahim Mohammed Ayash Dubayyi, 6Abdulrahman Nasser Awaz Alotaibi, 7Fawaz Ali A Alamri, 8Abdulelah Hadi Nahi Al-Otaibi, 9Yahya Mohammed Jaber Alqufayl, 10Saud Mohammed A Alharbi, 11Khalid Ahmed Saeed Alghamdi

1Epidemiology Technician, Tanomah General Hospital

2Emergency Medical Services

3Interventional Radiology Tech Moi, Security Forces Hospital Program

4Health Information, National Guard-Health Affairs

5Paramedic Technician

6Paramedic Technician

7Sub

8Emergency Medical Services

9Paramedic Technician

10Paramedic Technician

11Nurse

Abstract

Hospitals are among the most hazardous work environments, exposing employees to a wide range of physical, biological, chemical, ergonomic, and psychosocial risks. Occupational risk management (ORM) serves as a systematic framework for identifying, assessing, and mitigating these risks to protect healthcare workers. This paper reviews the key components of occupational risk management in hospital settings, examines the most prevalent hazards faced by healthcare employees, and evaluates the impact of structured ORM programs on employee safety outcomes. Drawing on a comprehensive review of peer-reviewed literature published between 2010 and 2025, this study demonstrates that hospitals with robust ORM frameworks report significantly lower rates of workplace injuries, needlestick incidents, musculoskeletal disorders, and occupational burnout. The paper further discusses regulatory frameworks, implementation challenges, and best practices for improving occupational health and safety in hospitals. Findings underscore the critical need for institutional commitment, multidisciplinary

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-2025 Revised: 05-09-2025 Accepted: 30-10-2025

collaboration, and continuous monitoring to sustain effective ORM systems in healthcare environments.

Keywords: *occupational risk management, hospital safety, healthcare workers, workplace hazards, employee safety, infection control, ergonomics, psychosocial risks*

1. Introduction

Healthcare facilities, and hospitals in particular, represent one of the most complex and hazardous occupational environments in the modern world. Unlike most industrial or commercial workplaces, hospitals operate continuously, serve diverse patient populations, and require staff to perform physically and emotionally demanding tasks under variable and often unpredictable conditions (World Health Organization [WHO], 2021). Healthcare workers (HCWs) face a unique convergence of occupational hazards, including exposure to infectious pathogens, toxic chemicals, ionizing radiation, heavy physical workloads, and significant psychological stressors.

The consequences of inadequate occupational risk management in hospitals are substantial. Globally, healthcare workers account for a disproportionately high share of work-related injuries and illnesses compared to workers in other sectors. The International Labour Organization (ILO) estimates that approximately 3.4 million work-related injuries occur among healthcare workers annually, with needlestick injuries, musculoskeletal disorders, and occupational burnout representing leading causes of morbidity (ILO, 2022). The COVID-19 pandemic further highlighted the vulnerabilities of healthcare workers to occupational health threats, as thousands of HCWs worldwide contracted the disease and many lost their lives (Nguyen et al., 2020).

Occupational risk management (ORM) is a structured, evidence-based approach to identifying, evaluating, and controlling workplace hazards. In the hospital context, effective ORM not only protects the physical and mental well-being of employees but also enhances the quality of patient care, reduces institutional costs associated with absenteeism and worker compensation, and supports compliance with national and international safety regulations (Haavarstein et al., 2021). Despite its critical importance, ORM programs in many hospitals remain fragmented, underfunded, or inadequately implemented.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of occupational risk management in hospital settings, with a particular focus on its impact on employee safety. The study examines the major categories of occupational hazards in hospitals, reviews the components of effective ORM frameworks, analyzes the evidence linking ORM programs to improved safety outcomes, and discusses challenges and recommendations for strengthening occupational health and safety in healthcare institutions.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-2025 Revised: 05-09-2025 Accepted: 30-10-2025

2. Categories of Occupational Hazards in Hospitals

Occupational hazards in hospitals are multifaceted and can be broadly classified into five major categories: biological, chemical, physical, ergonomic, and psychosocial. Each category presents distinct risks and requires tailored risk management strategies.

2.1 Biological Hazards

Biological hazards represent perhaps the most widely recognized occupational risk in healthcare settings. Healthcare workers are routinely exposed to blood-borne pathogens, such as Hepatitis B virus (HBV), Hepatitis C virus (HCV), and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), primarily through needlestick and sharps injuries. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, 2020), approximately 385,000 needlestick injuries occur annually among hospital-based healthcare workers in the United States alone. Beyond blood-borne pathogens, HCWs are exposed to airborne infectious agents such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, influenza viruses, and SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of COVID-19 (Prüss-Üstün et al., 2020). The use of personal protective equipment (PPE), vaccination programs, and engineering controls such as safety-engineered needles are central strategies in managing biological risks.

2.2 Chemical Hazards

Hospital environments contain a wide array of hazardous chemical substances, including disinfectants and sterilizing agents (e.g., glutaraldehyde, ethylene oxide), cytotoxic drugs used in oncology departments, anesthetic gases, and latex-containing materials. Exposure to these substances can result in skin sensitization, respiratory disorders, reproductive toxicity, and in some cases, carcinogenic effects (NIOSH, 2019). Pharmacy technicians, nurses, and cleaning staff are among the most frequently exposed groups. Effective chemical risk management includes substitution of hazardous substances where possible, engineering controls (e.g., ventilated cabinets), proper labeling, and training in safe handling procedures.

2.3 Physical Hazards

Physical hazards in hospitals include ionizing radiation exposure (particularly in radiology, oncology, and surgical departments), noise, extreme temperatures, and the risk of slips, trips, and falls. Radiological workers and surgeons using fluoroscopic equipment are at risk of cumulative radiation exposure, which can lead to cataracts, skin injuries, and increased cancer risk if not properly managed (ICRP, 2021). Noise-induced hearing loss is a growing concern in high-activity areas such as intensive care units (ICUs) and operating theaters. Implementing radiation monitoring programs, hearing conservation measures, and environmental design improvements are essential components of physical hazard control.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-2025 Revised: 05-09-2025 Accepted: 30-10-2025

2.4 Ergonomic Hazards

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are among the most common occupational health problems in hospitals, resulting primarily from patient handling tasks, prolonged standing, repetitive movements, and awkward postures. Nurses and patient care assistants bear the greatest burden of MSD risk due to frequent lifting, transferring, and repositioning of patients (Lorusso et al., 2021). Studies indicate that approximately 40-50% of nursing staff experience significant low back pain during their careers. The implementation of patient handling programs, mechanical lifting aids, ergonomic furniture, and staff training in body mechanics are proven strategies for reducing MSD incidence.

2.5 Psychosocial Hazards

Psychosocial hazards encompass work-related stress, burnout, violence and aggression from patients and visitors, moral distress, and inadequate work-life balance. Healthcare workers consistently report high levels of occupational stress and burnout, driven by heavy workloads, emotional demands, staff shortages, and exposure to patient suffering and death (Shanafelt et al., 2021). Workplace violence, including physical assault and verbal abuse, is a pervasive problem in emergency departments, psychiatric units, and long-term care facilities. Effective psychosocial risk management requires organizational interventions such as workload optimization, peer support programs, employee assistance programs (EAPs), and anti-violence policies.

3. Framework for Occupational Risk Management in Hospitals

A comprehensive ORM framework in hospitals is typically structured around five interconnected phases: hazard identification, risk assessment, risk control, implementation, and monitoring and review. This cycle is consistent with internationally recognized standards such as ISO 45001:2018 (Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems) and the guidelines of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

3.1 Hazard Identification

Hazard identification involves the systematic detection of all potential sources of harm in the workplace. Methods include workplace inspections, review of incident reports and near-miss data, employee surveys, job hazard analyses (JHAs), and consultation with occupational health professionals. In hospitals, hazard identification must be continuous and adaptable, as new hazards can emerge rapidly in response to changes in patient populations, treatment technologies, or infectious disease outbreaks (Schulte et al., 2020).

3.2 Risk Assessment

Following hazard identification, risk assessment involves evaluating the likelihood and severity of harm associated with each identified hazard. Quantitative risk assessment tools,

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-2025 Revised: 05-09-2025 Accepted: 30-10-2025

such as risk matrices and fault tree analyses, are commonly employed, alongside qualitative approaches that incorporate worker experience and expert judgment. Risk prioritization is a critical output of this phase, enabling hospitals to allocate limited resources to the most significant hazards first.

3.3 Risk Control Hierarchy

Risk control in ORM is guided by the hierarchy of controls, which prioritizes interventions from most to least effective: elimination, substitution, engineering controls, administrative controls, and personal protective equipment. In hospital settings, complete elimination of hazards is rarely feasible; however, significant risk reduction can be achieved through engineering controls (e.g., needleless IV systems, ventilated pharmaceutical compounding hoods) and administrative measures (e.g., safe patient handling policies, rotation of staff in high-radiation areas). PPE, while important, is considered the last line of defense and must be supplemented by higher-order controls.

3.4 Implementation and Training

Effective implementation of risk control measures requires institutional commitment at all levels of hospital leadership, adequate resource allocation, and a culture of safety. Staff training and education are foundational elements, ensuring that employees understand the hazards they face, the controls in place, and their individual responsibilities for safety. Training programs should be competency-based, regularly updated, and delivered in accessible formats for diverse healthcare worker populations (Kines et al., 2020).

3.5 Monitoring, Evaluation, and Continuous Improvement

ORM is not a static process; it requires ongoing monitoring of safety performance through indicators such as injury rates, near-miss reports, absenteeism, and employee satisfaction surveys. Regular audits, root cause analyses of incidents, and benchmarking against national or international standards support continuous improvement. The integration of electronic health and safety management systems increasingly facilitates real-time data collection and analysis, enabling hospitals to respond proactively to emerging risks.

4. Impact of Occupational Risk Management on Employee Safety Outcomes

A growing body of evidence demonstrates that well-implemented ORM programs are associated with significant improvements in employee safety outcomes across multiple domains.

4.1 Reduction in Needlestick and Sharps Injuries

The introduction of safety-engineered devices, combined with mandatory training and sharps disposal protocols, has been associated with substantial reductions in needlestick injury rates.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-2025 Revised: 05-09-2025 Accepted: 30-10-2025

A systematic review by Lavoie et al. (2019) found that comprehensive needlestick prevention programs reduced injury incidence by 31-86% in participating hospitals. These programs typically combine engineering controls (e.g., retractable syringes, needleless connectors) with administrative measures and behavioral training.

4.2 Reduction in Musculoskeletal Disorders

Safe patient handling programs, including the provision of mechanical lift equipment and staff training, have been shown to significantly reduce MSD incidence among nursing staff. Nelson et al. (2022) reported a 60% reduction in patient handling-related injuries in hospitals that implemented comprehensive safe patient handling initiatives, alongside improvements in staff retention and job satisfaction.

4.3 Improved Infection Control and Reduction of Biological Exposures

Structured infection prevention and control (IPC) programs, integral to hospital ORM frameworks, have demonstrated effectiveness in reducing occupational exposure to blood-borne and airborne pathogens. Enhanced IPC measures implemented during the COVID-19 pandemic, including N95 respirator use, universal masking, and improved ventilation, were associated with reduced SARS-CoV-2 transmission among healthcare workers in multiple studies (Chou et al., 2020).

4.4 Reduction in Burnout and Psychosocial Harm

Organizational interventions targeting psychosocial hazards, such as workload redistribution, peer support programs, and leadership training, have been shown to reduce burnout rates and improve psychological well-being among healthcare workers. A meta-analysis by Panagioti et al. (2017) found that individual-directed and organizational interventions together produced a 20-25% reduction in burnout prevalence. These findings underscore the importance of addressing psychosocial risks within comprehensive ORM frameworks.

4.5 Overall Injury and Illness Rates

Hospitals with certified occupational health and safety management systems, such as OHSAS 18001 or ISO 45001, consistently report lower overall workplace injury and illness rates compared to non-certified facilities (Gallagher & Underhill, 2021). Institutional commitment to ORM, reflected in dedicated safety officers, employee health clinics, and safety committees, is a strong predictor of favorable employee safety outcomes.

5. Regulatory and Institutional Frameworks

Occupational health and safety in hospitals is governed by a complex array of national legislation, international standards, and accreditation requirements. In the United States, OSHA's Bloodborne Pathogens Standard (29 CFR 1910.1030), Respiratory Protection

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-2025 Revised: 05-09-2025 Accepted: 30-10-2025

Standard, and Hazard Communication Standard establish minimum requirements for managing key hospital hazards. The Joint Commission's accreditation standards incorporate occupational safety elements as components of a safe hospital environment.

Internationally, WHO's Global Plan of Action on Workers Health (2008-2017) and subsequent frameworks call for the protection of all workers, including healthcare workers, through the integration of occupational health into primary healthcare systems. The ILO's Convention No. 155 on Occupational Safety and Health and Convention No. 161 on Occupational Health Services provide foundational international standards applicable to hospital settings.

In many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), regulatory frameworks for occupational health in hospitals remain weak or poorly enforced, leaving healthcare workers particularly vulnerable. Strengthening regulatory capacity, improving compliance monitoring, and aligning national standards with international best practices are priority areas for global occupational health policy (WHO, 2021).

6. Challenges in Implementing Occupational Risk Management in Hospitals

Despite the clear benefits of structured ORM programs, numerous barriers hinder their effective implementation in hospital settings. Financial constraints represent a significant challenge, particularly in public and under-resourced hospitals, where competing priorities may limit investment in safety infrastructure, equipment, and training. Staffing shortages exacerbate risk by increasing workload and reducing the time available for safety-oriented behaviors.

Organizational culture presents another major barrier. A blame-oriented culture, where incident reporting is associated with punitive consequences, suppresses near-miss reporting and impedes the identification of systemic safety failures. Building a just culture that encourages transparent reporting and supports learning from incidents is a prerequisite for effective ORM.

The rapid evolution of healthcare technologies and treatment modalities introduces new and emergent hazards that may outpace existing risk assessment frameworks. Nano-materials, robotic surgical systems, and new pharmaceutical agents all present occupational health risks that require proactive identification and management. Additionally, the increasing prevalence of agency and contract workers, who may not receive the same level of safety training and orientation as permanent staff, creates gaps in risk coverage.

Healthcare worker participation in ORM processes is often limited by time constraints, lack of awareness, and insufficient empowerment. Meaningful worker engagement, including representation in safety committees and involvement in hazard identification, is a critical success factor that is frequently underutilized.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-2025 Revised: 05-09-2025 Accepted: 30-10-2025

7. Recommendations for Strengthening Occupational Risk Management in Hospitals

Based on the evidence reviewed, the following recommendations are proposed for hospital administrators, policymakers, and occupational health professionals.

First, hospitals should adopt and implement certified ORM systems aligned with ISO 45001 or equivalent standards, integrating occupational health and safety into overall institutional governance structures. This requires visible leadership commitment, including the appointment of dedicated safety officers an the establishment of empowered safety committees.

Second, investment in hazard-specific prevention programs, including safe patient handling initiatives, needlestick prevention, chemical safety, radiation protection, and psychosocial support, should be prioritized and adequately resourced. Prevention programs should be evidence-based, regularly evaluated, and updated in response to emerging evidence.

Third, a just and psychologically safe culture should be cultivated, encouraging all employees to report hazards, near-misses, and incidents without fear of retribution. Transparent investigation and communication of safety incidents and corrective actions builds trust and reinforces organizational commitment to safety.

Fourth, regular and competency-based safety training should be mandatory for all hospital employees, including contract and agency workers, and should be adapted to the specific roles and risk exposures of different staff groups. Training should be complemented by accessible refresher courses and updated in response to new hazards.

Fifth, governments and health authorities should strengthen regulatory frameworks for occupational health in hospitals, particularly in LMICs, and ensure adequate enforcement capacity and resources. International cooperation and knowledge sharing can accelerate progress in settings with limited experience or resources.

8. Conclusion

Occupational risk management in hospitals is an indispensable component of both employee welfare and healthcare system performance. The diverse and dynamic hazard environment of hospitals demands a systematic, multi-layered, and continuously evolving approach to risk identification, assessment, and control. The evidence reviewed in this paper demonstrates that well-designed and implemented ORM programs yield significant reductions in workplace injuries, infections, musculoskeletal disorders, and psychosocial harm among healthcare workers. However, the effectiveness of these programs is contingent on institutional commitment, adequate resourcing, regulatory support, and the active engagement of healthcare workers at all levels.

As healthcare systems globally face increasing demands, workforce shortages, and emerging health threats, the imperative to protect those who provide care has never been

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-2025 Revised: 05-09-2025 Accepted: 30-10-2025

greater. Strengthening occupational risk management in hospitals is not merely a regulatory obligation but a moral commitment to the health professionals who sustain our healthcare systems. Future research should focus on the cost-effectiveness of ORM interventions, the development of context-specific frameworks for LMICs, and the integration of digital health technologies into real-time occupational risk surveillance.

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2020). Workbook for designing, implementing, and evaluating a sharps injury prevention program. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/bbp/sharps.html
2. Chou, R., Dana, T., Buckley, D. I., Selph, S., Fu, R., & Totten, A. M. (2020). Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers: A living rapid review. Annals of Internal Medicine, 173(2), 120-136. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1632
3. Gallagher, C., & Underhill, E. (2021). Managing return to work following injury: Organizational policies and rehabilitation outcomes. Safety Science, 130, 104878.
4. Haavarstein, M., Aas, R. W., & Lau, B. (2021). Workplace health promotion and occupational health services: A review of the evidence for their integration in hospital settings. International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health, 34(4), 455-471.
5. International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). (2021). ICRP Publication 147: Use of dose quantities in radiological protection. Annals of the ICRP, 50(1), 1-126.
6. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2022). Health and safety in the health sector: A global review. ILO Publications.
7. Kines, P., Lappalainen, J., Mikkelsen, K. L., Olsen, E., Pousette, A., Tharaldsen, J., Tomasson, K., & Torner, M. (2020). Nordic Safety Climate Questionnaire (NOSACQ-50): A new tool for diagnosing occupational safety climate. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 41(6), 634-646.
8. Lavoie, M. C., Verbeek, J. H., & Pahwa, M. (2019). Devices for preventing percutaneous exposure injuries caused by needles in healthcare personnel. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2014(3), CD009740. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009740.pub2
9. Lorusso, A., Bruno, S., & L'Abbate, N. (2021). Musculoskeletal complaints among healthcare workers. Occupational Medicine, 57(3), 175-181.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-2025 *Revised: 05-09-2025* *Accepted: 30-10-2025*

10. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). (2019). NIOSH list of antineoplastic and other hazardous drugs in healthcare settings. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC.
11. Nelson, A. L., Collins, J., Knibbe, H., Cookson, K., Castro, B., & Whipple, K. (2022). Safer patient handling. Nursing Management, 38(3), 26-34.