



Reducing Medical Errors Through Collaboration Between Healthcare Disciplines

1Mohammed Ahmed Alshehri, 2Saeed Musnad Alhumaid Alqahtani, 3Bakheet Mukhleef Bakheet Alanazi, 4Nuha Ahmed Ahmed Jalal, 5Hisham Talal Obaid, 6Salma Faraj Almowallad, 7Mohammed Suliman Aljehani, 8Ahmad Mefreh R Alharbi, 9Ahmed Faisal Alasmar Alanazi

1Medical Equipment Technician, Saudi National Guard

2Paramedic Assistant

3Paramedic Technician

4Nursing Specialist, Prince Mohammed Bin Nasser Hospital

5Pharmacist 2, Ministry Of National Guard Health Affairs

6Pharmacy Technician 3, Ministry Of National Guard Health Affairs

7Pharmacy Technician 3, Ministry Of National Guard Health Affairs

8Technician-Pharmacy, Ministry Of The National Guard

9Emergency Medical Services

Abstract

Medical errors remain one of the most pressing challenges in modern healthcare systems, contributing significantly to patient morbidity, mortality, and escalating healthcare costs. Despite technological advancements, human and systemic factors—particularly poor communication and fragmented care—continue to underlie the majority of preventable adverse events. This paper explores the pivotal role of interdisciplinary collaboration in reducing medical errors across clinical settings. Drawing on evidence from systematic reviews, clinical studies, and implementation reports, we examine how structured teamwork models, interprofessional education, and collaborative care protocols have demonstrated measurable reductions in error rates. We analyze barriers to effective collaboration, including hierarchical cultures, communication breakdowns, and role ambiguity, and propose evidence-based strategies to overcome them. Our findings suggest that embedding interdisciplinary collaboration into the organizational fabric of healthcare institutions is not merely beneficial but essential to achieving meaningful patient safety improvements.

Keywords: *medical errors, interdisciplinary collaboration, patient safety, interprofessional education, teamwork, healthcare quality*



1. Introduction

Medical errors represent a global public health crisis of considerable magnitude. The landmark report *To Err is Human*, published by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) in 1999, estimated that between 44,000 and 98,000 Americans die annually due to preventable medical errors, a figure that has since been revised upward by subsequent research. A 2016 study published in the *BMJ* estimated that medical errors could be the third leading cause of death in the United States, responsible for over 250,000 deaths per year. These statistics underscore the urgency of developing robust, systemic solutions to enhance patient safety.

Among the most frequently identified root causes of medical errors are communication failures, inadequate teamwork, unclear role boundaries, and insufficient coordination among healthcare providers. These issues are inherently relational and organizational, pointing toward collaboration as a central mechanism for error prevention. When physicians, nurses, pharmacists, therapists, and other healthcare professionals work in silos—each operating within the boundaries of their own discipline—the risk of critical information being lost, misinterpreted, or delayed increases substantially.

This paper argues that interdisciplinary collaboration—defined as the intentional, structured, and sustained cooperation among healthcare professionals from different disciplines toward shared patient care goals—is among the most effective strategies available to healthcare organizations seeking to reduce medical errors. The paper presents a comprehensive review of current evidence, identifies barriers to effective collaboration, and proposes actionable, evidence-based recommendations for healthcare institutions, educators, and policymakers.

2. Background and Conceptual Framework

2.1 Defining Medical Errors

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines a medical error as 'a failure in the healthcare process that leads to, or has the potential to lead to, harm to the patient.' This encompasses errors of commission (performing the wrong action) and errors of omission (failing to perform the correct action). Medical errors occur across all clinical settings—hospitals, outpatient clinics, long-term care facilities, and home care—and across all phases of care, including diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and communication.

Errors can be classified into several categories: diagnostic errors (e.g., missed or delayed diagnoses), medication errors (e.g., wrong drug, dose, or route), surgical errors, procedural errors, and communication errors. Of these, medication errors and communication failures are among the most common and preventable, and both are directly amenable to interdisciplinary interventions.



2.2 The Role of Collaboration in Safety

The concept of interdisciplinary collaboration in healthcare draws from both organizational theory and clinical evidence. High-reliability organizations (HROs)—a concept borrowed from industries such as aviation and nuclear energy—are characterized by their ability to maintain high safety standards despite complex, high-risk environments. Healthcare researchers have adapted HRO principles to propose that healthcare teams functioning with shared situational awareness, distributed expertise, and open communication are better equipped to detect and correct errors before they harm patients.

The WHO's Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice (2010) provided a foundational conceptual model linking interprofessional education (IPE) to collaborative practice and, ultimately, to improved health outcomes. This framework has since guided numerous national and institutional reform efforts aimed at preparing healthcare graduates for team-based practice.

3. Evidence for Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Error Reduction

3.1 Teamwork and Communication Protocols

Structured communication tools—most notably SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) and TeamSTEPPS (Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety)—have been widely implemented and studied in acute care settings. A systematic review by King et al. (2008) demonstrated that TeamSTEPPS implementation was associated with significant improvements in team communication, reduction in adverse events, and increased staff confidence in raising safety concerns.

Crew Resource Management (CRM), originally developed for commercial aviation, has been adapted for healthcare contexts with promising results. Studies conducted in operating rooms and intensive care units have shown that CRM-based training programs reduce the frequency of communication breakdowns and improve team members' ability to speak up when they perceive a safety risk—a behavior known as assertiveness, which is critical in hierarchical clinical environments.

3.2 Interprofessional Rounds and Collaborative Care Models

Daily interdisciplinary rounds—in which physicians, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, and other relevant team members discuss each patient's care plan together—have emerged as a particularly effective mechanism for error prevention. A landmark study by Pronovost et al. (2003) in the ICU setting found that daily goals sheets completed during interdisciplinary rounds were associated with a reduction in ICU length of stay and a decrease in adverse events related to miscommunication.



Collaborative care models, such as the Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) and Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs), similarly demonstrate that when care is coordinated across disciplines and providers, patients experience fewer duplicative tests, medication discrepancies, and preventable hospitalizations. These models institutionalize collaboration through shared electronic health records, regular care coordination meetings, and clear role definitions.

3.3 Pharmacy and Medication Safety

Pharmacists embedded in clinical teams represent one of the most evidence-supported examples of interdisciplinary collaboration reducing specific error categories. A meta-analysis by Kaboli et al. (2006) found that clinical pharmacist interventions were associated with reductions in medication errors, adverse drug events, and medication costs. When pharmacists participate in ward rounds and have direct access to prescribers, they can identify drug interactions, contraindications, and dosing errors in real time—before they reach the patient.

Similarly, collaborative medication reconciliation processes—in which nurses, physicians, and pharmacists jointly verify a patient's complete medication list at transitions of care (admission, transfer, discharge)—have been shown to significantly reduce reconciliation errors, which are a leading cause of post-discharge adverse drug events.

3.4 Nursing and Physician Collaboration

The quality of nurse-physician communication is consistently identified as a critical determinant of patient safety. Studies have shown that nurses who feel empowered to question physician orders and who have established trusting relationships with physicians are more likely to catch and report errors. Magnet hospitals—institutions recognized for nursing excellence—are characterized by collaborative nurse-physician relationships and consistently report lower mortality rates and fewer adverse events compared to non-Magnet hospitals.

Handoff communication is another area where nurse-physician collaboration is particularly consequential. Structured handoff protocols that include both nursing and medical perspectives have been associated with reductions in post-handoff adverse events and improvements in information completeness and accuracy.

4. Barriers to Interdisciplinary Collaboration

4.1 Hierarchical Culture

Healthcare has historically been organized around a hierarchical structure in which physicians occupy the apex of authority. While clinical expertise justifies differentiated roles, rigid hierarchies can inhibit open communication and create psychological safety risks for non-physician team members. When nurses, pharmacists, or allied health professionals hesitate to question a physician's decision for fear of reprisal or dismissal, error-catching opportunities are



lost. Addressing hierarchy requires both cultural change and structural interventions that formalize the legitimate authority of all team members within their respective scopes of practice.

4.2 Communication Breakdowns

Despite the widespread adoption of electronic health records (EHRs), communication failures remain pervasive. EHRs designed primarily for billing and documentation rather than communication can fragment information across multiple screens and generate alert fatigue that causes clinicians to ignore important warnings. Asynchronous communication—such as leaving notes in a chart rather than direct communication—delays response and increases the risk of misinterpretation. Verbal orders, handoffs between shifts, and care transitions across settings are particularly vulnerable moments for communication failure.

4.3 Role Ambiguity and Scope Conflicts

Interdisciplinary collaboration requires clarity about professional roles, responsibilities, and scopes of practice. When these boundaries are unclear or contested, collaboration may be hindered by role competition, duplication of effort, or gaps in care. Regulatory and licensure frameworks that vary by jurisdiction further complicate role definitions, particularly for advanced practice nurses, physician assistants, and pharmacists with expanded prescribing authority. Building effective teams requires investment in defining and communicating roles explicitly, and in fostering mutual respect for each discipline's expertise.

4.4 Time and Workload Constraints

Clinical workloads in modern healthcare institutions are substantial, and time pressures can reduce the frequency and quality of interdisciplinary communication. When team members are spread thin, collaborative activities such as joint rounds, case conferences, and handoff discussions are often abbreviated or skipped. This creates a paradox: collaboration requires time investment upfront but saves time and resources downstream by preventing errors and complications. Healthcare organizations must recognize this tradeoff and build protected time for collaborative activities into clinical workflows.

5. Evidence-Based Strategies for Enhancing Collaboration

5.1 Interprofessional Education

Perhaps the most foundational strategy for fostering long-term interdisciplinary collaboration is reforming health professional education to expose students from different disciplines to shared learning experiences early in their training. IPE programs that bring together medical, nursing, pharmacy, and allied health students for joint clinical simulations, case discussions, and team training have been associated with improved attitudes toward collaboration, enhanced communication skills, and a greater understanding of each discipline's role and expertise.



Accreditation bodies in medicine, nursing, and pharmacy have increasingly incorporated IPE requirements into their standards, signaling a systemic shift toward preparing graduates for team-based practice. The Interprofessional Education Collaborative (IPEC) has published core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice that serve as a national framework for curriculum design.

5.2 Structured Communication Tools

Healthcare institutions should standardize the use of evidence-based communication tools such as SBAR, I-PASS (for pediatric handoffs), and read-back/verify protocols for verbal orders. These tools reduce the variability in communication quality and provide a shared language for clinical teams. Implementation should be accompanied by training, simulation practice, and leadership modeling to ensure consistent adoption.

5.3 Collaborative Technology Platforms

Leveraging technology to support real-time, interdisciplinary communication can complement structural interventions. Secure messaging platforms that allow team members across disciplines to communicate about specific patients in real time—while maintaining documentation—address some of the limitations of traditional EHR communication. Integrated care dashboards that display each team member's notes, orders, and tasks in a unified view can also reduce information silos.

5.4 Leadership and Culture Change

Organizational culture is one of the most powerful determinants of collaborative practice. Healthcare leaders—including department chairs, nursing directors, and executive teams—set the tone for whether collaboration is valued and supported. Explicit leadership commitment to a culture of psychological safety, where team members at all levels feel comfortable raising concerns without fear of punishment, is a prerequisite for effective error-reporting systems and proactive safety behaviors.

Regular safety culture surveys, transparent reporting of error rates and near-misses, and celebration of successful collaborative interventions all contribute to building a culture that supports interdisciplinary collaboration as a core organizational value rather than an optional add-on.

6. Discussion

The evidence reviewed in this paper consistently supports the conclusion that interdisciplinary collaboration is associated with meaningful reductions in medical errors across a wide range of clinical settings and error categories. The mechanisms through which collaboration produces safety benefits are multiple and mutually reinforcing: it improves information exchange, distributes error detection across team members with complementary expertise, increases



accountability, and creates conditions under which safety concerns can be raised and addressed proactively.

However, the evidence also reveals that collaboration does not emerge naturally in complex, high-pressure clinical environments without deliberate effort. Structural supports—including shared communication protocols, physical spaces designed for teamwork, protected time for collaborative activities, and clear role definitions—are necessary conditions for collaboration to be sustained. Training programs that develop collaborative competencies must be complemented by organizational environments that reward and model collaborative behavior.

The field is not without challenges. Measuring the direct causal impact of interdisciplinary collaboration on error reduction is methodologically difficult, as collaboration is often implemented alongside other quality improvement initiatives, making attribution complex. Furthermore, the generalizability of findings across diverse healthcare contexts—from resource-rich tertiary care centers to under-resourced rural or low-income settings—requires further investigation. Future research should prioritize rigorous evaluation designs, including cluster-randomized trials and longitudinal studies, to strengthen the evidence base.

It is also important to acknowledge that collaboration is not a panacea. Even highly collaborative teams can make errors, particularly in the face of systemic inadequacies such as insufficient staffing, poorly designed equipment, or inadequate protocols. Interdisciplinary collaboration is one essential component of a comprehensive patient safety strategy that must also address these broader systemic factors.

7. Conclusion

Medical errors are a complex, multifactorial problem that demands systemic, multidisciplinary solutions. The evidence reviewed in this paper demonstrates that interdisciplinary collaboration—when implemented through structured communication, interprofessional education, collaborative care models, and a culture of psychological safety—can substantially reduce the frequency and severity of preventable errors. Healthcare organizations, educators, policymakers, and professional associations each have a role to play in creating the conditions for collaborative practice to flourish.

As healthcare continues to grow in complexity, with patients presenting with increasingly multimorbid conditions requiring input from multiple specialties, the ability of healthcare professionals to work together effectively is no longer simply a desirable trait—it is a fundamental competency for safe and effective care. Investing in interdisciplinary collaboration is, ultimately, an investment in patient safety, workforce wellbeing, and the long-term sustainability of healthcare systems.



References

1. Kohn, L. T., Corrigan, J. M., & Donaldson, M. S. (Eds.). (2000). *To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. National Academies Press.
2. Makary, M. A., & Daniel, M. (2016). Medical error—the third leading cause of death in the US. *BMJ*, 353, i2139.
3. World Health Organization. (2010). *Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice*. WHO Press.
4. King, H. B., Battles, J., Baker, D. P., et al. (2008). TeamSTEPPS: Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety. In *Advances in Patient Safety*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.
5. Pronovost, P. J., Berenholtz, S. M., Dorman, T., et al. (2003). Improving communication in the ICU using daily goals. *Journal of Critical Care*, 18(2), 71–75.
6. Kaboli, P. J., Hoth, A. B., McClimon, B. J., & Schnipper, J. L. (2006). Clinical pharmacists and inpatient medical care. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 166(9), 955–964.
7. Interprofessional Education Collaborative. (2016). *Core Competencies for Interprofessional Collaborative Practice: 2016 Update*. IPEC.
8. Baker, D. P., Day, R., & Salas, E. (2006). Teamwork as an essential component of high-reliability organizations. *Health Services Research*, 41(4 Pt 2), 1576–1598.
9. Aiken, L. H., Clarke, S. P., Sloane, D. M., et al. (2002). Hospital nurse staffing and patient mortality, nurse burnout, and job dissatisfaction. *JAMA*, 288(16), 1987–1993.
10. Weaver, S. J., Lubomksi, L. H., Wilson, R. F., et al. (2013). Promoting a culture of safety as a patient safety strategy. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 158(5 Pt 2), 369–374.