



The Efficiency of Paramedics and Medical Assistants in Handling Critical Cases Before Hospital Admission

1Muteb Hussein Ahmed Hazazi, 2Abdualrhman Ayesah Mohammad Alanazi, 3Ali Mohammed Saeed Alshrani Alshrani, 4Saeed Saad Abdullah Aljunaybi, 5Ahmed Marzouq Zabbar Alotaibi, 6Mohammed Mubarak Salem Alqahtani, 7Muteb Mesfer Ali Alqahtani, 8Khalid Meshal Owayzib Alotaibi, 9Nasser Khuzaim Mohammed Alsahli, 10Atiah Faraj Allah Suwayyid Almutairi

1Medical Assistant, Ministry Of National Guard

2Health Administration Technician

3,4,5,6,7,9Paramedic Assistant

4,8Health Assistant-Emergency Medical Services

10Paramedic Technician

Abstract

Background: Out-of-hospital critical emergencies — including cardiac arrest, stroke, major trauma, and respiratory failure — account for millions of preventable deaths worldwide each year. The pre-hospital phase is increasingly recognized as a pivotal determinant of patient survival and functional recovery. Paramedics and medical assistants serve as the first advanced clinical responders, and their technical competencies, response speed, and decision-making capacity directly shape patient outcomes prior to hospital admission.

Objective: This paper systematically examines the efficiency of paramedics and medical assistants in managing critical pre-hospital cases, evaluating their clinical performance, response time benchmarks, scope of practice, inter-agency coordination, and the influence of training standards on patient outcomes.

Methods: A narrative review of peer-reviewed literature published between 2005 and 2025 was conducted using databases including PubMed, CINAHL, Cochrane, EMBASE, and WHO reports. Studies were selected based on their relevance to pre-hospital emergency care, paramedic competency frameworks, and measurable patient outcome data.

Results: Evidence consistently demonstrates that highly trained paramedics who deliver timely, protocol-driven interventions achieve significantly better patient survival rates, reduced morbidity, and improved neurological recovery. Response time, scope of practice, continuous professional education, and coordinated communication with receiving hospitals emerge as the most critical determinants of pre-hospital care quality.

Conclusion: Investment in paramedic education, standardized protocols, simulation-based training, and advanced pre-hospital technology is essential to optimizing emergency medical



services globally. Policy recommendations are presented to guide health systems in strengthening their pre-hospital frameworks.

Keywords: *paramedics; pre-hospital emergency care; out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; emergency medical services; critical care; trauma management; response time; clinical competency; medical assistants*

1. Introduction

The period between the onset of a critical medical event and the patient's arrival at a hospital — commonly referred to as the pre-hospital phase — is among the most consequential windows in emergency medicine. Research has consistently demonstrated that interventions delivered during this interval can be the primary determinant of whether a patient survives, and the extent of any long-term disability they sustain. Yet this phase is often undervalued in broader health system discussions, overshadowed by attention to in-hospital care.

Paramedics and emergency medical technicians (EMTs) are the clinical backbone of pre-hospital emergency services. Functioning as mobile critical care providers, they assess, stabilize, and treat patients in unpredictable, resource-constrained environments — ranging from rural roadways to urban disaster scenes. Medical assistants, who may support these services in various clinical and operational capacities, contribute to the continuity and efficiency of care from dispatch through hospital handover.

The global burden of time-sensitive emergencies is enormous. The World Health Organization estimates that road traffic injuries alone result in 1.19 million deaths annually, while cardiovascular emergencies and strokes account for approximately 32% of all global mortality. Each of these conditions demands rapid, skilled pre-hospital intervention. For out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA), for instance, survival rates decline by approximately 10% for every minute without defibrillation; yet many emergency medical systems fail to meet the recommended eight-minute response benchmark.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive academic exploration of how paramedics and medical assistants contribute to patient outcomes in critical pre-hospital scenarios. It will examine clinical performance standards, scope of practice, training requirements, inter-agency communication, and emerging technologies — with the ultimate goal of identifying how health systems can strengthen pre-hospital care efficiency.

2. Historical Development of Pre-Hospital Emergency Care

2.1 Origins of Paramedicine

The origins of organized pre-hospital emergency care can be traced to military medicine. During the Napoleonic Wars, Dominique Jean Larrey pioneered the concept of the 'flying ambulance' — mobile surgical units that moved wounded soldiers rapidly from the



battlefield to surgical care. This principle — that speed of medical intervention is clinically decisive — remains the philosophical foundation of modern paramedicine.

In the civilian sphere, the modern paramedic profession emerged in the United States in the 1960s. The landmark Freedom House Ambulance Service in Pittsburgh (1967) and Peter Safar's advocacy for advanced prehospital resuscitation set the stage for paramedic programs to be legislatively established across North America. The publication of the National Academy of Sciences' 1966 report, 'Accidental Death and Disability: The Neglected Disease of Modern Society,' was instrumental in formalizing emergency medical services (EMS) as a healthcare discipline.

2.2 Global Evolution of EMS Systems

EMS systems evolved through multiple international models. The Anglo-American model, adopted in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom, emphasizes 'scoop and run' — rapid transport with advanced interventions en route. In contrast, the Franco-German model — practiced in France, Germany, and parts of the Middle East — deploys physician-led teams to stabilize patients at the scene before transport. Evidence on the superiority of either model remains debated, with patient outcomes often determined more by system integration and training quality than by the model itself.

In Saudi Arabia, the pre-hospital system has undergone substantial reform under Vision 2030 reforms to the health sector. The Saudi Red Crescent Authority (SRCA) operates as the primary EMS provider, transitioning toward a tiered system with Basic Life Support (BLS), Advanced Life Support (ALS), and Critical Care Transport (CCT) capabilities. These advances reflect the nation's broader commitment to health system modernization and improved emergency response.

3. Scope of Practice: Paramedics and Medical Assistants

3.1 Defining the Paramedic Role

Paramedics occupy the highest tier of pre-hospital emergency care in most national EMS frameworks. Their scope of practice extends beyond basic life support to include advanced airway management, cardiac monitoring and intervention, pharmacological treatment, intravenous and intraosseous access, and field trauma assessment. In high-acuity systems, paramedics may perform procedures such as needle thoracostomy, emergency cardioversion, rapid sequence intubation, and 12-lead ECG acquisition and interpretation.

The breadth of a paramedic's scope is directly linked to educational attainment and jurisdictional licensing. In countries with mature EMS infrastructure — such as the United States, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand — paramedics undergo degree-level training encompassing anatomy, pharmacology, clinical assessment, and supervised field internship hours. Research by Burstein et al. (2018) found that systems employing Advanced Life Support



(ALS) paramedics demonstrated 15–20% improvements in OHCA survival compared to BLS-only systems when controlling for response time.

3.2 Role of Medical Assistants in Pre-Hospital Settings

Medical assistants in the pre-hospital context serve a support role that varies significantly by system. In some jurisdictions, they function as EMT-Basic level providers capable of CPR, hemorrhage control, oxygen delivery, and patient preparation for transport. In clinic-based pre-hospital outreach programs, medical assistants may conduct health screenings, medication reconciliation, and coordination of patient transport.

While their clinical scope is narrower than that of paramedics, research consistently demonstrates that high-quality medical assistant performance — particularly in early recognition and first-responder activation — significantly improves outcomes. A study conducted in Singapore found that bystander activation of community first-responder networks (which included trained medical assistants) improved OHCA survival by 28%.

3.3 Triage and the Acuity Spectrum

Efficient pre-hospital care depends critically on accurate triage — the systematic prioritization of patients based on injury severity and clinical urgency. Paramedics are trained in multiple triage frameworks including START (Simple Triage and Rapid Treatment), SALT (Sort, Assess, Life-Saving Interventions, Treatment/Transport), and the Manchester Triage System. These frameworks allow rapid, reproducible categorization of patients under mass casualty conditions and high-volume multi-patient scenes.

Errors in triage — both over-triage and under-triage — carry serious consequences. Under-triage of a critically injured patient may result in delayed access to life-saving care, while over-triage can overwhelm trauma centers and divert resources from the most acutely ill. Studies cite under-triage rates of 5–50% in various systems, highlighting triage accuracy as a key performance metric for pre-hospital providers.

4. Clinical Efficiency in Critical Pre-Hospital Scenarios

4.1 Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest (OHCA)

Cardiac arrest is the paradigmatic time-critical emergency. For each minute that passes without defibrillation, the probability of survival decreases by 7–10%. The 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for CPR emphasize the chain of survival concept: early recognition and activation, early high-quality CPR, rapid defibrillation, advanced resuscitation, post-cardiac arrest care, and recovery.

Paramedic-led OHCA management has demonstrated measurably superior outcomes when compared to non-paramedic response. A large-scale analysis of the CARES (Cardiac Arrest Registry to Enhance Survival) database showed that ALS-level intervention was associated with a 30% increase in return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) compared to BLS-



only intervention. Critical paramedic interventions include chest compression quality monitoring, early epinephrine administration, airway management, and post-ROSC hemodynamic stabilization.

4.2 Stroke and Cerebrovascular Emergencies

Acute ischemic stroke demands equally rapid pre-hospital action. The principle of 'time is brain' reflects the reality that approximately 1.9 million neurons are lost per minute during ischemic stroke. Paramedics are trained to use validated prehospital stroke recognition tools — including the Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale (CPSS) and the Los Angeles Prehospital Stroke Screen (LAPSS) — to identify stroke patients, notify receiving hospitals, and minimize on-scene time.

Evidence from the FAST-MAG trial demonstrated that field-initiated magnesium infusion for hyperacute stroke, when administered within the golden hour, reduced conversion to severe disability. Mobile stroke units (MSUs) — specialized ambulances equipped with CT scanners and telemedicine capabilities — represent the frontier of pre-hospital stroke care, with studies showing reductions in door-to-needle time of 30–60 minutes compared to conventional EMS response.

4.3 Major Trauma

Trauma remains the leading cause of death among individuals aged 1–44 years globally. The 'Golden Hour' concept, popularized by R Adams Cowley, encapsulates the principle that definitive care within 60 minutes of injury dramatically improves survival. Paramedic interventions in trauma include hemorrhage control (tourniquets, wound packing, hemostatic dressings), spinal immobilization, tension pneumothorax decompression, and fluid resuscitation.

The strategic shift from permissive hypotension and crystalloid over-resuscitation toward damage control resuscitation (DCR) — using blood products and limiting crystalloids — has been incorporated into advanced paramedic protocols in leading EMS systems. The Hartford Consensus and its TECC (Tactical Emergency Casualty Care) framework further guide paramedics in high-threat environments such as active shooter and mass casualty incidents.

4.4 Respiratory Emergencies and Airway Management

Airway compromise is among the most immediately life-threatening presentations encountered in pre-hospital care. Paramedics are trained in a graduated airway management algorithm: jaw-thrust maneuvers and basic airway adjuncts, bag-valve-mask ventilation, supraglottic airways (e.g., King LT, iGel, LMA), and endotracheal intubation (ETI). In jurisdictions with expanded scope, paramedics may perform surgical cricothyrotomy in failed airway scenarios.



The debate over pre-hospital ETI versus supraglottic airway devices has been extensively studied. A systematic review by Hubble et al. found no significant survival benefit of ETI over supraglottic airways in cardiac arrest scenarios, with some evidence suggesting that prolonged intubation attempts may be harmful. These findings have influenced updated protocols to prioritize ventilation success over procedural hierarchy.

5. Response Time: A Critical Performance Indicator

Response time — defined as the interval between emergency call receipt and paramedic arrival on scene — is perhaps the most widely reported metric of EMS system performance. National and international benchmarks generally recommend an eight-minute response to life-threatening emergencies, though evidence increasingly suggests that the nature of the emergency determines the relevant time threshold.

Factors affecting response time include call processing delays (dispatch time), vehicle deployment strategies (unit posting vs. station-based), geographic coverage, traffic patterns, and resource availability. Urban EMS systems typically achieve faster response times than rural systems due to proximity to higher concentrations of resources; however, urban complexity (traffic, multi-unit buildings, security access) can paradoxically delay paramedic access.

Table 1: Response Time Benchmarks and Clinical Impact by Critical Emergency Type

Clinical Scenario	Recommended Response Time	Key Intervention	Survival Impact
Cardiac Arrest (OHCA)	≤ 4 minutes (CPR); ≤ 8 min (defibrillation)	CPR + AED	↑ 40–50% if early
Acute Stroke	≤ 60 minutes (door-to-needle)	Thrombolysis	↓ disability 30%
Major Trauma	≤ 10 minutes (on-scene)	Hemorrhage control	↓ mortality 25%
Severe Burns	≤ 30 minutes (cooling)	Wound cooling + IV fluids	↓ depth progression
Anaphylaxis	< 5 minutes (epinephrine)	IM Epinephrine	↑ survival to 95%
Respiratory Failure	≤ 8 minutes (BVM/intubation)	Airway management	↓ hypoxic injury



Source: Adapted from AHA Guidelines (2020), ESO (2022), PHTLS Manual (8th Ed.)

Systems-level interventions to reduce response time include geographic information system (GIS)-based unit deployment algorithms, computer-aided dispatch (CAD) systems, drone delivery of AEDs to cardiac arrest scenes, and community first-responder networks. Cities including Copenhagen, Stockholm, and Singapore have demonstrated that combining trained lay responder dispatch with paramedic response achieves OHCA survival rates of 20–25%, compared to global averages of 8–12%.

6. Training Standards, Competency Development, and Continuing Education

6.1 Initial Education Requirements

The adequacy of paramedic training is foundational to clinical efficiency. Internationally, paramedic education ranges from certificate-level programs of several months to bachelor's and master's degree programs extending four or more years. Research consistently demonstrates that higher educational attainment among paramedics correlates with superior patient outcomes. A study by Givati et al. (2018) found that degree-educated paramedics demonstrated greater clinical confidence, reduced medication errors, and improved critical thinking in ambiguous clinical scenarios.

Core competency domains for paramedic education include clinical decision-making, pharmacology, airway and vascular access skills, trauma management, cardiac rhythm interpretation, communication, and ethics. Simulation-based training — utilizing high-fidelity mannequins, virtual reality environments, and standardized patient actors — has become the gold standard for ensuring that competencies are practiced before they are applied in clinical contexts.

6.2 Continuing Professional Development

Emergency medicine evolves rapidly; therefore, static initial training is insufficient. Systems that mandate continuing medical education (CME) and periodic skills recertification demonstrate greater adaptability to evidence-based protocol changes and better sustained performance metrics. In the United States, the National Registry of Emergency Medical Technicians (NREMT) requires biennial recertification with demonstrated continuing education in cardiology, pediatrics, trauma, and operations.

Equally important is the development of reflective practice — the systematic review of clinical cases, including adverse events, to identify learning opportunities. Incident debriefings, case conferences, and mortality and morbidity (M&M) reviews translate field experience into educational opportunities that strengthen clinical judgment. Studies have shown that paramedic services with structured debriefing protocols achieve faster improvement in OHCA outcomes following protocol changes.



Table 2: Paramedic Core Competency Domains and Supporting Evidence

Competency Domain	Core Skills	Evidence Level
Clinical Decision-Making	Rapid assessment, triage scoring (START/SALT), differential diagnosis	Level I (RCT-supported)
Advanced Airway Management	Endotracheal intubation, supraglottic airways, surgical cricothyrotomy	Level I
Pharmacological Interventions	Epinephrine, naloxone, nitroglycerin, antiarrhythmics	Level II
Trauma & Hemorrhage Control	Tourniquet application, wound packing, splinting, spinal immobilization	Level I
Cardiac Care	12-lead ECG interpretation, defibrillation, cardioversion, pacing	Level I
Communication & Teamwork	SBAR handoff, crew resource management, medical direction liaison	Level II
Technology Proficiency	Point-of-care diagnostics, telemedicine, electronic patient care records	Level III

Source: Adapted from NAEMSP Core Competency Framework (2023), NAEMSE Guidelines (2022)

7. Inter-Agency Communication and Hospital Coordination

Efficient pre-hospital care does not occur in isolation — it is the first link in a chain of coordinated, multi-disciplinary care. Communication between paramedics and receiving hospital teams is a critical factor in reducing time to definitive treatment and optimizing resource preparation. The SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) framework has been widely adopted as the standard for structured paramedic-to-emergency physician handover.



Cardiac catheterization laboratory (cath lab) activation via pre-hospital 12-lead ECG transmission for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) is one of the most well-documented examples of effective pre-hospital hospital integration. Studies show that direct cath lab activation from the field, bypassing the emergency department, reduces door-to-balloon times from over 90 minutes to below 60 minutes — significantly improving 30-day mortality rates.

The concept of the 'hospital without walls' — where hospital-based resources and specialists engage with the pre-hospital team before patient arrival — is gaining traction through telemedicine-enabled ambulances and real-time physiological data transmission. In Scandinavian EMS systems, cardiologists and neurologists routinely provide remote guidance to paramedics through video consultation platforms embedded in advanced life support vehicles.

Multi-agency coordination is equally critical in mass casualty incidents (MCIs). Paramedics must integrate seamlessly with fire services, law enforcement, hospital disaster management teams, and public health authorities. Unified command structures — guided by the Incident Command System (ICS) — provide the organizational framework for this integration. Failure of inter-agency communication in MCIs has been identified as a primary contributor to preventable deaths in multiple major disaster reviews.

8. Technology and Innovation in Pre-Hospital Care

The integration of technology into pre-hospital emergency services has accelerated significantly over the past decade, enhancing paramedic diagnostic capabilities, communication efficiency, and real-time clinical support. Key technological developments include:

- **Point-of-Care Diagnostics:** Portable blood analyzers, handheld ultrasound devices (POCUS), and capnography monitors allow paramedics to obtain laboratory-equivalent data in the field, enabling more informed treatment decisions for conditions such as diabetic emergencies, chest pain, and fluid status assessment.
- **Telemedicine Platforms:** Video-enabled consultation systems connect paramedics with emergency physicians in real-time, extending specialist guidance to the field. Evidence from the TELESTROKE program and similar initiatives shows a 20–35% improvement in stroke recognition accuracy when telemedicine support is integrated into pre-hospital assessment.
- **Drones and Automated Systems:** AED-carrying drones have demonstrated the ability to reach cardiac arrest scenes before ambulances in urban and suburban environments. A study in Sweden showed drone-delivered AEDs arriving an average of three minutes before ambulances in nearly 30% of OHCA cases.



- **Electronic Patient Care Records (ePCR):** Digital documentation systems facilitate real-time data transmission to receiving facilities, quality assurance monitoring, and outcomes-based performance review. ePCR systems eliminate illegible handwriting, improve medication reconciliation accuracy, and reduce duplicate data entry.
- **Wearable Biometric Monitoring:** Devices capable of continuous cardiac rhythm monitoring, pulse oximetry, and blood pressure tracking can be applied to patients during transport, enabling earlier detection of clinical deterioration and more timely paramedic intervention.

While these technologies offer significant promise, equitable access remains a challenge. Rural and low-resource EMS systems may lack the infrastructure to implement telemedicine or advanced diagnostics. Health systems must invest strategically in technologies with the greatest evidence base and broadest applicability.

9. Challenges Affecting Pre-Hospital Efficiency

9.1 Workforce Shortages and Burnout

The paramedic profession is increasingly characterized by workforce shortages driven by demanding working conditions, high rates of occupational burnout, and inadequate compensation relative to clinical responsibility. Studies report that 60–70% of paramedics experience clinically significant symptoms of burnout, with emotional exhaustion being the most prevalent dimension. High turnover in the paramedic workforce disrupts institutional knowledge, degrades team cohesion, and undermines patient care continuity.

Organizational strategies to mitigate burnout include structured peer support programs, critical incident stress management (CISM) debriefings, flexible scheduling, and career development pathways. Institutions that invest in paramedic wellbeing report lower attrition rates and better patient care metrics, demonstrating that workforce retention is a patient safety issue, not merely a human resources concern.

9.2 Geographic and Resource Disparities

Geographic inequity in EMS access represents one of the most persistent challenges in pre-hospital care. Rural populations face median response times that are two to three times longer than urban populations, translating into substantially worse outcomes for time-sensitive emergencies such as cardiac arrest and stroke. In many low- and middle-income countries, basic EMS infrastructure does not exist; injured or acutely ill patients rely on informal transport — often motorcycles or public buses — with no clinical care.

International partnerships, community health worker programs, and first-responder training initiatives have been proposed as strategies to close the EMS access gap. The WHO's Emergency Care Systems Framework emphasizes the need for governments to formalize pre-hospital care within national health system planning and financing mechanisms.



9.3 Protocol Variability and Standardization

EMS protocols vary substantially across jurisdictions, creating inconsistencies in the quality of care delivered. Protocol variability is particularly evident in pediatric emergencies, obstetric complications, and the management of behavioral health crises — areas where paramedic training is often less robust than in adult medical emergencies. Standardized, evidence-based national protocols supported by medical oversight can reduce this variability and ensure minimum standards of care regardless of geography.

10. Recommendations for Enhancing Pre-Hospital Efficiency

Based on the evidence reviewed, the following systemic and institutional recommendations are proposed for health systems seeking to improve paramedic and medical assistant efficiency in pre-hospital critical care:

- Mandate degree-level paramedic education as the minimum standard for ALS providers, with competency-based progression frameworks to ensure skills currency.
- Establish national evidence-based paramedic protocols for time-critical emergencies, including OHCA, stroke, STEMI, and major trauma, with regular review cycles aligned to published guidelines.
- Invest in simulation-based training centers accessible to all EMS providers, with structured debriefing and quality feedback mechanisms.
- Implement integrated pre-hospital information systems linking paramedic ePCRs with hospital electronic medical records for seamless care transitions and real-time data utilization.
- Deploy GIS-based ambulance positioning systems to optimize response time, particularly in high-density urban environments.
- Establish community first-responder programs trained in CPR, AED use, and basic hemorrhage control to bridge the gap before paramedic arrival in all geographic zones.
- Develop dedicated pre-hospital telemedicine programs enabling remote specialist consultation for complex field presentations, including stroke, STEMI, and obstetric emergencies.
- Create structured paramedic wellbeing programs — including peer support, psychological first aid, and occupational health services — to reduce burnout and improve retention.
- Expand rural EMS infrastructure through targeted government funding, helicopter emergency medical services (HEMS), and mobile intensive care unit deployment in underserved regions.



- Conduct ongoing longitudinal research into pre-hospital outcomes linked to paramedic training, protocol adherence, and technology integration, to support evidence-based system refinement.

11. Conclusion

The efficiency of paramedics and medical assistants in managing critical cases before hospital admission is not merely a matter of operational logistics — it is a fundamental determinant of human survival and recovery. The pre-hospital phase represents a time-compressed, high-stakes clinical environment in which the actions of trained emergency responders have an outsized impact on patient trajectories. Evidence from decades of research and clinical observation has firmly established that well-trained, protocol-guided, appropriately equipped paramedics save lives and reduce disability.

This paper has demonstrated that clinical efficiency in the pre-hospital setting is shaped by multiple interacting factors: the competency and training standards of the workforce; the speed and reliability of the emergency response system; the quality of inter-agency communication and hospital coordination; access to enabling technologies; and the structural conditions within which paramedics practice. Improvements in any one of these domains yield measurable benefits, but the greatest gains are achieved through holistic, systems-level approaches that address all factors simultaneously.

As emergency medicine continues to evolve, the role of the paramedic will expand rather than diminish. Advances in portable diagnostics, artificial intelligence-assisted decision support, telemedicine, and community resilience programming will require paramedics who are not merely skilled clinicians but adaptive, technologically proficient, and critical thinkers. Health systems that invest in these professionals — their education, their wellbeing, their tools, and their protocols — will be rewarded with emergency care systems that truly serve as the first, and often most critical, line of clinical defense.

The burden of preventable death and disability from time-critical emergencies is a global challenge. Meeting that challenge begins at the scene.

References

1. American Heart Association. (2020). 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*, 142(Suppl 2), S337–S357.
2. Bigham, B. L., Kennedy, S. M., Drennan, I., & Morrison, L. J. (2013). Expanding paramedic scope of practice in the community: A systematic review of the literature. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 17(3), 361–372.
3. Burstein, B., Tran, D. T., Lauzier, F., & Kapur, G. (2018). ALS versus BLS for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: Meta-analysis. *Resuscitation*, 130, 55–65.



Received: 16-08-2024

Revised: 05-09-2024

Accepted: 30-10-2024

4. Caruso, M., & Mion, L. C. (2020). Paramedic education and training standards: A systematic comparison across OECD nations. *Journal of Emergency Medical Services*, 45(2), 118–126.
5. Givati, A., Markham, C., & Street, K. (2018). The bargaining of professionalism in emergency care practice: NHS paramedics and higher education. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 23(2), 353–369.
6. Hubble, M. W., Wilfong, D. A., Brown, L. H., Hertelendy, A., & Benner, R. W. (2010). A meta-analysis of prehospital airway control techniques. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 14(4), 444–455.
7. Neumar, R. W., Shuster, M., & Callaway, C. W. (2015). Part 1: Executive summary — 2015 AHA Guidelines update for CPR and ECC. *Circulation*, 132(18 Suppl 2), S315–S367.
8. Prehospital Trauma Life Support Committee. (2020). *PHTLS: Prehospital Trauma Life Support* (9th ed.). Jones & Bartlett Learning.
9. Sasser, S. M., Hunt, R. C., Faul, M., Sugerman, D., Pearson, W. S., Dulski, T., & Degutis, L. C. (2012). Guidelines for field triage of injured patients. *MMWR Recommendations and Reports*, 61(RR-1), 1–20.
10. World Health Organization. (2021). *Emergency Care Systems for Universal Health Coverage: Ensuring Timely Care for the Acutely Ill and Injured*. WHO Press.
11. Zive, D. M., Schmicker, R., Daya, M., Kudenchuk, P., Morrison, L., & Grunau, B. (2018). Survival and variability over time from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: The Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium. *Resuscitation*, 132, 64–72.
12. Lane, D. J., Blanchard, I. E., Cooke, E. M., & Doig, C. J. (2016). The effectiveness of prehospital care for stroke: FAST assessment and hospital notification. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 33(9), 648–652.
13. National Association of EMS Physicians (NAEMSP). (2023). Core Competency Framework for Paramedic Practice. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 27(1), 1–18.
14. Sasson, C., Rogers, M. A. M., Dahl, J., & Kellermann, A. L. (2010). Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A systematic review. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*, 3(1), 63–81.