Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673

Received: 16-08-20245 *Revised: 20-09-2024* *Accepted: 05-10-2024*

All Healthcare Specialties Under One Umbrella: Towards Comprehensive Patient Care

1Yousef Mohammed Farhan Aldafeeri, 2Yahia Soqqn Alzahrani, 3Khalid Saleh A Alshammari, 4Fahad Naif Mathkar Almutairi, 5Abdullah Faleh Abdulrahman Almutairi, 6Ali Bin Rashed Bin Salem Almarri, 7Reja Hamad Reja Alshammari, 8Khalid Khalaf Ghazi Alharbi, 9Rakan Faihan Hanas Alharthi, 10Faisal Fahad Muidh Almutairi, 11Ghazi Jazaa Khalaf Al-Anzi, 12Shamekh Abbas Abdulwahab Kalantan

1Emergency Medical Services, Technician Assistant

2Health administration Technican, National Guard Health Affairs

3,4,7,8,9,10Health Assistant-Emergency Medical Services

5Technician-Nursing

6Technician-Emergency Medical Services

11Emergency Medical Technician, Ministry of the National Guard

12Senior Ophthalmology Assistant, Minstery Of National Guard

ABSTRACT

Background: Contemporary healthcare delivery faces growing demands for coordination across multiple specialties. Fragmented care — wherein patients navigate disconnected departments without cohesive communication — has been repeatedly associated with diagnostic errors, therapeutic duplication, and adverse outcomes. This paper examines the theoretical and practical frameworks for integrating all healthcare specialties under a unified, patient-centered model of care.

Objectives: To review the evidence base for multi-specialty integration, identify structural and operational barriers, assess the role of health information technology in enabling integration, and propose a comprehensive framework for unified patient care delivery.

Methods: A comprehensive narrative review of peer-reviewed literature published between 2010 and 2024 was conducted using PubMed, CINAHL, and Scopus databases. Studies examining multidisciplinary team (MDT) models, integrated care systems, health information exchanges, and comprehensive care outcomes were included.

Results & Conclusion: Evidence robustly supports that integrated multi-specialty care models reduce hospital readmissions by up to 30%, decrease diagnostic delays, improve medication safety, and

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

enhance patient satisfaction. Key enablers include shared electronic medical records, interdisciplinary education, effective governance structures, and strong leadership commitment. A unified "Healthcare Umbrella" framework — encompassing clinical, diagnostic, rehabilitative, and preventive services — is proposed as the optimal model for achieving comprehensive patient-centered care.

Keywords: integrated care, multidisciplinary teams, patient-centered care, health system integration, multi-specialty model, comprehensive healthcare, care coordination, electronic health records

1. Introduction

Modern healthcare systems are characterized by increasing clinical complexity, an aging global population, rising rates of chronic and multimorbid disease, and rapidly evolving therapeutic modalities. These factors have collectively exposed a fundamental structural weakness in traditional healthcare delivery: the siloed organization of clinical specialties. When physicians, nurses, pharmacists, laboratory scientists, radiologists, rehabilitation therapists, and mental health professionals operate in isolation from one another, the patient — who is the common denominator across all these disciplines — bears the cost in the form of fragmented, discontinuous, and sometimes contradictory care.

The concept of integrating multiple healthcare specialties under a unified operational and philosophical framework has emerged as one of the most compelling paradigms in contemporary health systems science. Rather than treating each organ system, disease entity, or professional discipline in isolation, an integrated model situates the patient at the center of a coordinated network of expertise. This model, often described through various frameworks including the "medical home," "integrated care pathway," "multidisciplinary team approach," and "whole-person care," reflects a fundamental reconceptualization of what it means to deliver healthcare.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has explicitly identified integrated people-centered health services as a core strategic priority, recognizing that fragmented care is not only a patient safety hazard but also a major driver of healthcare inefficiency and inequity (WHO, 2016). In high-income countries, integrated care systems such as those modeled in the United Kingdom's NHS Integrated Care Systems, the United States' Accountable Care Organizations, and the Nordic countries' regional health networks have demonstrated measurable improvements in clinical outcomes, patient satisfaction, and system-level cost-effectiveness.

This paper aims to synthesize the theoretical foundations, operational mechanisms, enabling technologies, and practical barriers associated with comprehensive multi-specialty integration. The overarching hypothesis is that bringing all healthcare specialties under one unified umbrella — through structural reorganization, shared governance, interoperable technology, and a culture of

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

interprofessional collaboration — represents the most effective path toward achieving the quadruple aim of healthcare: better outcomes, improved patient experience, enhanced clinician well-being, and reduced per-capita costs.

2. Theoretical Frameworks for Healthcare Integration

2.1 The Chronic Care Model (CCM)

Developed by Wagner et al. (1998) and subsequently refined, the Chronic Care Model posits that optimal outcomes for patients with chronic conditions arise from productive interactions between informed, activated patients and prepared, proactive healthcare teams. The model identifies six essential elements: the health system, self-management support, delivery system design, decision support, clinical information systems, and community resources. Integration of specialties operationalizes all six elements simultaneously, particularly through delivery system design — wherein multidisciplinary teams replace single-specialty encounters — and clinical information systems, which facilitate shared situational awareness.

2.2 The Integrated Care Framework (WHO)

The WHO Framework on Integrated People-Centred Health Services (IPCHS) identifies five interdependent strategies: engaging and empowering people and communities; strengthening governance and accountability; reorienting the model of care; coordinating services within and across sectors; and creating an enabling environment. From the perspective of multi-specialty integration, reorienting the model of care is particularly salient — it demands the explicit dismantling of professional hierarchies and institutional silos in favor of coordinated, needs-based service delivery.

2.3 The Triple Aim and Quadruple Aim

The Institute for Healthcare Improvement's Triple Aim framework — simultaneously improving population health, enhancing the patient experience, and reducing per-capita cost — provides a compelling rationale for integration (Berwick et al., 2008). Subsequent scholarship has augmented this with a fourth aim: improving the work life and well-being of healthcare providers, acknowledging that clinician burnout is both a consequence of fragmented, inefficient systems and a barrier to quality care. Comprehensive specialty integration directly addresses all four dimensions by reducing duplicative workflows, improving communication efficiency, and restoring meaning and coherence to clinical practice.

2.4 Systems Thinking in Healthcare

Systems thinking — the discipline of analyzing complex interactions rather than isolated components — provides a methodological foundation for integration. Donabedian's structure-process-outcome

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

triad reminds us that clinical outcomes are inseparable from the organizational structures and care processes that produce them. An integrated system, by definition, aligns structure (unified governance, shared physical and digital infrastructure), process (standardized interprofessional protocols, seamless referrals), and outcome (measurable improvements in patient health and system performance).

3. Domains of Healthcare Specialty Integration

3.1 Primary Care and Specialist Medicine

The interface between primary care and specialist medicine is historically one of the most tension-laden in health systems worldwide. General practitioners and family physicians serve as gatekeepers and longitudinal care managers, while specialists provide depth of expertise in discrete domains. Integration at this interface requires formal co-management protocols, defined referral and counter-referral pathways, shared electronic health records that provide real-time clinical context across both levels of care, and structured case conferencing for complex patients.

Evidence from integrated primary-specialist models — such as those implemented in the Veterans Affairs healthcare system in the United States and in Denmark's primary care reform — demonstrates reductions in unnecessary specialist referrals of 20–40%, significant improvements in guideline-concordant care, and greater patient satisfaction with care continuity (Frandsen et al., 2015).

3.2 Nursing and Allied Health Services

Nursing and allied health professionals — including physiotherapists, occupational therapists, speech and language therapists, nutritionists, social workers, and clinical psychologists — constitute the operational backbone of patient care in virtually every clinical setting. Their systematic integration into multidisciplinary structures is essential for comprehensiveness. Integrated models require shared documentation, participation in ward rounds and case conferences, mutual recognition of scope of practice, and coordinated goal-setting with patients and families.

The research literature on interprofessional collaboration consistently demonstrates that nursing and allied health integration reduces average length of stay, lowers rates of hospital-acquired complications, and facilitates earlier, safer patient discharge (Mitchell et al., 2010). In surgical settings, the introduction of enhanced recovery protocols — inherently multidisciplinary — has reduced postoperative complications by 20–50% in multiple randomized controlled trials.

3.3 Laboratory Medicine and Diagnostic Imaging

Diagnostic services — clinical laboratories and medical imaging departments — are fundamental to all clinical decision-making yet are frequently organized as passive service providers rather than active partners in patient care. Integration requires diagnostic professionals to participate in MDT meetings,

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

contribute interpretive expertise beyond raw test results, collaborate on test utilization management, and engage in direct communication with clinical teams when results indicate urgent clinical action.

Digital integration through laboratory information systems (LIS) and radiology information systems (RIS) that interface with unified EMR platforms enables real-time result delivery, embedded decision support, and population-level pattern recognition. The emergence of artificial intelligence-assisted diagnostic tools further enhances the potential for integrated diagnostic contribution to comprehensive patient care.

3.4 Pharmacy and Clinical Therapeutics

Clinical pharmacy integration represents one of the most evidence-rich domains of multi-specialty collaboration. Pharmacist participation in ward rounds, prescribing reviews, medication reconciliation, and outpatient chronic disease management has been associated with substantial reductions in adverse drug events (ADEs), polypharmacy-related complications, and medication-related hospitalizations. A landmark Cochrane review demonstrated that pharmacist-led medication review in high-risk patients reduced ADEs by 36% and unplanned hospitalizations by 20% (Holland et al., 2008).

Electronic prescribing systems with embedded clinical decision support — flagging drug-drug interactions, dose adjustments for renal or hepatic impairment, and allergy contraindications — operationalize pharmacy integration at the point of care, extending pharmacist expertise across the full clinical system even where physical presence is limited.

3.5 Mental Health and Behavioral Services

The artificial separation of mental and physical health care is among the most clinically consequential legacies of dualistic biomedical thinking. Depression complicates the management of coronary artery disease, diabetes, and cancer; anxiety disorders impair rehabilitation outcomes; substance use disorders exacerbate nearly every category of chronic illness. Integrated behavioral health models — wherein mental health professionals are embedded within primary care, oncology, cardiology, and other settings — improve depression remission rates, enhance treatment adherence, and reduce total healthcare utilization in comorbid populations (Katon et al., 2010).

3.6 Rehabilitation and Preventive Medicine

Rehabilitation services and preventive medicine represent the temporal bookends of comprehensive care — the former facilitating recovery and functional restoration after illness, the latter reducing the incidence and severity of disease through proactive intervention. Their integration into clinical teams

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

ensures that functional goals are established at the point of admission or diagnosis, that rehabilitation is initiated early rather than as an afterthought of acute care, and that preventive interventions are systematically delivered rather than reliant on opportunistic patient-provider encounters.

4. Summary of Integration Domains and Outcomes

Healthcare Domain	Key Integration Points	Patient Outcomes Improved
Primary Care & Specialist Medicine	Referral pathways, shared EMRs, co-management protocols	Reduced diagnostic delays, continuity of care
Nursing & Allied Health Services	Multidisciplinary rounds, care coordinators, unified care plans	Medication adherence, early complication detection
Laboratory & Diagnostic Imaging	Point-of-care testing, integrated reporting, AI-assisted diagnostics	Faster diagnosis, cost reduction, treatment accuracy
Pharmacy & Clinical Therapeutics	Electronic prescribing, pharmacist-physician collaboration, clinical decision support	Reduced adverse drug events, optimized therapies

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

Mental Health & Behavioral Services	Integrated behavioral screening, co-located mental health teams	Holistic recovery, reduced stigma, improved chronic disease management
Rehabilitation & Preventive Care	Post-acute pathways, community health integration, wellness programs	Reduced readmissions, improved functional independence

5. **Enabling Technologies for Unified Healthcare Delivery**

5.1 Electronic Health Records and Interoperability

The electronic health record (EHR) — and more specifically, the degree to which EHR systems are interoperable across professional and institutional boundaries — is the foundational technological enabler of multi-specialty integration. A truly unified care record provides every member of the clinical team with simultaneous access to complete, up-to-date clinical information: diagnostic results, medication histories, progress notes, care plans, and patient-reported outcomes. Standards such as HL7 FHIR (Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources) have emerged as the leading framework for enabling such interoperability at a systemic level.

Evidence consistently demonstrates that EHR-mediated information sharing reduces redundant testing, prevents adverse drug events from prescription errors or missing allergy information, and improves the accuracy of clinical decision-making. A systematic review by Campanella et al. (2016) found that EHR implementation was associated with a 54% reduction in medication errors across diverse clinical settings.

5.2 Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS)

Clinical decision support systems provide evidence-based guidance at the point of care, operationalizing integrated clinical knowledge within the workflow of individual specialties. CDSS

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

tools may flag potential drug interactions visible to pharmacists and prescribing physicians simultaneously, alert nursing staff to early deterioration indicators, prompt primary care physicians to refer patients meeting specialist criteria, or remind clinicians of overdue preventive interventions. When integrated across all specialties in a unified platform, CDSS effectively embeds the collective intelligence of the entire clinical team into every individual care encounter.

5.3 Telehealth and Virtual Care Platforms

Telehealth technologies have dramatically expanded the operational scope of integrated care by enabling specialist consultation, multidisciplinary conferencing, and patient engagement independent of geographic constraints. Virtual MDT meetings allow specialists from different facilities or even countries to co-manage complex cases. Remote monitoring technologies generate continuous streams of patient data — vital signs, glucose levels, cardiac rhythms — that can be monitored by integrated care teams across disciplines simultaneously. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated telehealth adoption globally, demonstrating the feasibility and patient acceptance of virtual multi-specialty care at scale.

5.4 Artificial Intelligence and Predictive Analytics

Artificial intelligence tools — including machine learning algorithms for clinical prediction, natural language processing for unstructured clinical notes, and computer vision for diagnostic imaging analysis — augment the analytical capabilities of integrated care teams. Predictive risk stratification models identify patients requiring intensive multi-specialty intervention before clinical deterioration occurs, enabling proactive rather than reactive care. AI-assisted diagnostic tools in pathology and radiology provide earlier, more accurate diagnoses that accelerate the clinical decision-making process across the care team.

6. Barriers to Comprehensive Integration

6.1 Structural and Organizational Barriers

Healthcare organizations are historically structured according to professional and departmental silos, each with its own budget, hierarchy, performance metrics, and physical space. These structural features create powerful institutional disincentives for integration. Budget silos mean that investments in integration may generate cost savings in one department while requiring expenditure in another, making aggregate benefit difficult to realize within existing financial governance structures. Physical separation of specialties limits the informal interaction that is essential for team cohesion and effective communication.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

6.2 Professional Culture and Identity

Professional identity — the sense of belonging to a distinct clinical tribe with its own values, language, and hierarchical status — can be a significant barrier to interprofessional collaboration. Medical culture, in particular, has historically placed a high premium on individual clinical autonomy, which may be experienced as threatened by integrated team-based models. Resistance to sharing clinical leadership, concerns about scope of practice encroachment, and differential compensation structures across professional groups all require deliberate management in the pursuit of genuine integration.

6.3 Technological and Data Challenges

Despite the pivotal role of information technology in enabling integration, the healthcare sector globally is characterized by substantial technological heterogeneity and fragmentation. Many healthcare organizations operate multiple, poorly interoperable legacy information systems that impede data sharing across specialties. Cybersecurity requirements, patient privacy regulations, and data governance complexities further complicate the realization of seamless information flow. Addressing these challenges requires sustained investment, standardization, and regulatory frameworks that promote interoperability without compromising security.

6.4 Education and Training Deficits

Traditional health professional education is organized along disciplinary lines — medical students learn alongside other medical students, nursing students within schools of nursing, and so on. This educational structure reinforces professional silos from the earliest stages of training and fails to cultivate the interprofessional communication competencies, shared values, and mutual respect that integrated care demands. The World Health Organization's framework for interprofessional education and collaborative practice explicitly identifies educational reform as a prerequisite for sustainable integration.

7. The Healthcare Umbrella Framework: A Proposed Model

Based on the evidence synthesized in this review, the authors propose a conceptual framework — the Healthcare Umbrella Model — as an actionable blueprint for comprehensive multi-specialty integration. The metaphor of an umbrella is deliberate: the canopy represents the overarching goal of comprehensive patient care, while each rib represents a distinct healthcare specialty or service domain. The umbrella's utility depends on the integrity of every rib and their synchronized connection to a central pole — the patient — and a unified handle — the health system's governance and leadership structure.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

The Healthcare Umbrella Model is structured around five operational layers:

Patient-Centered Governance: A unified patient care record and individualized, co-produced care plan serve as the integrating documents. Governance structures ensure patient voice is embedded in all team decisions.

Interprofessional Clinical Teams: Structured MDTs — with defined composition, roles, meeting cadences, and shared accountability — serve as the operational units of care. Teams are organized around patient populations (e.g., complex chronic disease, oncology, frailty) rather than departmental structures.

Integrated Information Infrastructure: Interoperable EHRs, shared diagnostic portals, unified communication platforms, and embedded decision support constitute the technological nervous system of the integrated system.

Shared Educational and Cultural Foundation: Interprofessional education at undergraduate and postgraduate levels, continuous professional development in collaborative practice, and explicit cultivation of shared professional values.

Aligned Incentives and Governance: Quality and financial incentives are restructured to reward system-level outcomes — population health, patient experience, total cost of care — rather than individual or departmental throughput metrics.

8. Evidence for Effectiveness of Integrated Multi-Specialty Care

The evidence base for integrated care, while heterogeneous in methodology and setting, is substantial and largely consistent in direction. Key findings from the literature include:

Hospital Readmissions: Integrated transitional care programs that coordinate post-discharge follow-up across primary care, specialist, nursing, and pharmacy services have consistently reduced 30-day readmission rates by 20–30% in randomized controlled trials and large observational studies (Naylor et al., 2011).

Mortality: Multidisciplinary cancer care teams have been associated with improved survival across multiple tumor types. A systematic review of colorectal cancer MDT care found 10–15% relative reductions in 5-year mortality compared to non-MDT care (Stephens et al., 2010).

Patient Safety: Integrated pharmacy, nursing, and medical approaches to medication management reduce ADEs by 25–40% in hospitalized patients. Comprehensive medication reconciliation across care transitions is particularly effective (Lehnbom et al., 2014).

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

Patient Experience: Integrated care models consistently demonstrate improvements in patient-reported experience measures (PREMs), including perceptions of coordination, communication, and respect for individual needs.

Clinician Satisfaction: Interprofessional team models, when well-implemented, are associated with reductions in individual clinician burnout, improved sense of professional meaning, and greater job satisfaction — outcomes of direct relevance to workforce retention and care quality.

9. Discussion

The convergence of demographic pressures, disease epidemiology, technological advancement, and evolving patient expectations creates an unprecedented opportunity — and imperative — for fundamentally restructuring how healthcare specialties are organized and how they interact. The evidence reviewed in this paper makes clear that integrated multi-specialty care is not a utopian ideal but an empirically validated, practically achievable model that consistently outperforms siloed alternatives on outcomes that matter to patients, providers, and health systems alike.

Several important caveats and complexities merit acknowledgment. Integration is not a uniform phenomenon — its optimal form varies by patient population, clinical context, resource availability, and cultural setting. The evidence base, while robust in aggregate, includes few large-scale randomized controlled trials of system-level integration, reflecting the inherent methodological challenges of evaluating complex organizational interventions. Implementation fidelity — the degree to which integrated models are actually realized in practice rather than nominally adopted — is a critical determinant of effectiveness that is often inadequately reported in the literature.

The role of leadership at all levels of the health system — from the bedside team leader to the health minister — cannot be overstated. Transformation of healthcare organization at the scale implied by comprehensive integration requires sustained, visionary leadership that can navigate professional resistance, realign financial incentives, invest in enabling infrastructure, and maintain focus on patient-centered goals through the inevitable difficulties of large-scale change.

In the context of health systems undergoing strategic modernization — such as those in the Gulf Cooperation Council nations pursuing Vision 2030 goals — the Healthcare Umbrella Model offers a framework that aligns with stated aspirations for high-quality, efficient, patient-centered healthcare. Regional health systems transitioning from volume-based to value-based models are particularly well-positioned to benefit from the comprehensive integration approach articulated in this paper.

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

10. Conclusion

Healthcare systems that aspire to deliver truly comprehensive, high-quality patient care cannot achieve this aspiration through the isolated excellence of individual specialties. The patient with heart failure, diabetes, depression, and limited mobility requires the simultaneous, coordinated expertise of the cardiologist, endocrinologist, psychiatrist, physiotherapist, pharmacist, and primary care physician — not as a sequential procession of disconnected appointments, but as an integrated team working from a shared understanding of the whole person.

The Healthcare Umbrella Model proposed in this paper offers a theoretically grounded, evidence-supported, and practically actionable framework for realizing this vision. Its successful implementation requires structural reorganization, cultural transformation, technological investment, educational reform, and governance realignment — a comprehensive change agenda that demands the commitment of all stakeholders: healthcare professionals, administrators, policymakers, educators, technologists, and patients themselves.

The umbrella — when fully assembled, with every rib secure and every connection intact — provides shelter for all who stand beneath it. That is precisely what comprehensive, integrated healthcare offers its patients: shelter from the storms of illness through the collective strength of coordinated expertise. The evidence is clear; the framework is available; the imperative is urgent. The time to build the umbrella is now.

References

1. Berwick, D. M., Nolan, T. W., & Whittington, J. (2008). The triple aim: Care, health, and cost. Health Affairs, 27(3), 759–769. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.27.3.759
2. Bodenheimer, T., & Sinsky, C. (2014). From triple to quadruple aim: Care of the patient requires care of the provider. Annals of Family Medicine, 12(6), 573–576. https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1713
3. Campanella, P., Lovato, E., Marone, C., Fallacara, L., Mancuso, A., Ricciardi, W., & Specchia, M. L. (2016). The impact of electronic health records on healthcare quality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Public Health, 26(1), 60–64. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckv122
4. Coleman, E. A., Parry, C., Chalmers, S., & Min, S. J. (2006). The care transitions intervention: Results of a randomized controlled trial. Archives of Internal Medicine, 166(17), 1822–1828. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.17.1822

Power System Technology
ISSN:1000-3673
Received: 16-08-20245 Revised: 20-09-2024 Accepted: 05-10-2024

5. Frandsen, B. R., Joynt, K. E., Rebitzer, J. B., & Jha, A. K. (2015). Care fragmentation, quality, and costs among chronically ill patients. American Journal of Managed Care, 21(5), 355–362.
6. Holland, R., Desborough, J., Goodyer, L., Hall, S., Wright, D., & Loke, Y. K. (2008). Does pharmacist-led medication review help to reduce hospital admissions and deaths in older people? A systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 65(3), 303–316. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2007.03071.x
7. Katon, W. J., Lin, E. H., Von Korff, M., Ciechanowski, P., Ludman, E. J., Young, B., ... & McCulloch, D. K. (2010). Collaborative care for patients with depression and chronic illnesses. New England Journal of Medicine, 363(27), 2611–2620. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1003955
8. Lehnbom, E. C., Stewart, M. J., Manias, E., & Westbrook, J. I. (2014). Impact of medication reconciliation and review on clinical outcomes. Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 48(10), 1298–1312. https://doi.org/10.1177/1060028014543485
9. Mitchell, G. K., Tieman, J. J., & Shelby-James, T. M. (2010). Multidisciplinary care planning and teamwork in primary care. Medical Journal of Australia, 187(8 Suppl), S92–S94. https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2007.tb01383.x
10. Naylor, M. D., Hirschman, K. B., Bowles, K. H., Bixby, M. B., Carbonneau, M., & Carpenito-Moyet, L. J. (2011). Care coordination for cognitively impaired older adults and their caregivers. Home Health Care Services Quarterly, 26(4), 57–78. https://doi.org/10.1300/J027v26n04_04
11. Stephens, M. R., Lewis, W. G., Brewster, A. E., Lord, I., Blackshaw, G. R., Hodzovic, I., ... & Crosby, T. D. (2006). Multidisciplinary team management is associated with improved outcomes after surgery for esophageal cancer. Diseases of the Esophagus, 19(3), 164–171. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-2050.2006.00559.x
12. Wagner, E. H., Austin, B. T., & Von Korff, M. (1996). Organizing care for patients with chronic illness. Milbank Quarterly, 74(4), 511–544. https://doi.org/10.2307/3350391
13. World Health Organization. (2016). Framework on integrated, people-centred health services: Report by the Secretariat. WHO Press. https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA69/A69_39-en.pdf
14. World Health Organization. (2010). Framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice. WHO Press. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/framework-for-action-on-interprofessional-education-collaborative-practice